

# AMAZON REVIEWS ANALYSIS

## Comprehensive Project Report

### Data Processing, NLP Modeling & Sentiment Analysis

Prepared by: Abhishek Yewale

Report Generated: February 22, 2026

Project Components: Data Preprocessing | NLP Model Development | Visualization Dashboard

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Executive Summary
2. Project Overview & Objectives
3. Dataset Description
4. Data Preprocessing & SQL Cleaning
5. Data Quality Assessment
6. NLP Model Development
7. Model Implementation Details
8. Model Performance & Evaluation
9. Power BI Dashboard Architecture
10. Key Findings & Insights
11. Technical Stack & Tools
12. Challenges & Solutions
13. Recommendations & Future Enhancements
14. Conclusion
15. Appendix & Code References

# **1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This comprehensive project report details the development and implementation of an advanced Amazon Reviews Analysis System. The project encompasses three primary components: data preprocessing using SQL, Natural Language Processing (NLP) model development using Python scikit-learn, and interactive visualization through a Power BI dashboard. The dataset contains 1,597 Amazon Kindle Paperwhite product reviews with ratings and textual content. Through systematic data cleaning, feature extraction, and machine learning model training, we developed a Multinomial Naive Bayes classifier capable of sentiment analysis and review classification. The system successfully identifies positive ("Good") and negative ("Bad") sentiments with robust accuracy metrics. This report provides detailed insights into methodologies, technical implementations, challenges encountered, and recommendations for future enhancements.

## **2. PROJECT OVERVIEW & OBJECTIVES**

### **2.1 Project Goals**

The primary objective of this project is to build an end-to-end data analytics pipeline for Amazon product reviews. The specific goals include: (1) Develop a robust data preprocessing system using SQL to clean and validate raw review data, (2) Build an NLP-based sentiment classification model to automatically categorize reviews as positive or negative, (3) Create an interactive Power BI dashboard for stakeholders to visualize review trends and insights, and (4) Establish a reusable framework for future review analysis across different product categories.

### **2.2 Project Scope**

The project scope encompasses three distinct phases: Data Preparation Phase (SQL-based cleaning and validation), Model Development Phase (Python-based NLP and machine learning), and Visualization Phase (Power BI dashboard creation). The analysis focuses specifically on Kindle Paperwhite reviews, a premium e-reader product with extensive customer feedback. The project includes handling of missing data, duplicate records, invalid ratings, and text preprocessing techniques such as stopword removal and tokenization.

### 3. DATASET DESCRIPTION

#### 3.1 Dataset Overview

The Amazon Reviews Analysis dataset contains 1,597 records of customer reviews for Amazon products, primarily focused on the Kindle Paperwhite device. The dataset spans multiple years of customer feedback and includes various metadata fields alongside review content. Key dataset characteristics: Total Records: 1,597 | Review Dates: 2015-2017 | Rating Range: 1-5 stars | Text Content: Detailed customer feedback | Average Rating: 4.36 stars.

#### 3.2 Data Fields & Structure

Field Name	Data Type	Description
id	String	Product identifier (ASIN)
name	String	Product name
brand	String	Product brand
reviews_rating	Float	Rating value (1-5 stars)
reviews_text	String	Full review text content
reviews_title	String	Review title
reviews_date	DateTime	Review submission date
categories	String	Product category

#### 3.3 Statistical Overview

Metric	Value
Total Records	1,597
Records with Rating	1,177
Average Rating	4.36 stars
5-star Reviews	741 (63.0%)
4-star Reviews	236 (20.1%)
3-star Reviews	124 (10.5%)
1-2 star Reviews	76 (6.4%)

## **4. DATA PREPROCESSING & SQL CLEANING**

### **4.1 SQL Preprocessing Techniques**

The SQL preprocessing script (Preprocessing\_Tech.sql) implements comprehensive data cleaning operations to ensure data quality and consistency. Key preprocessing operations include: (1) Data Validation - Checking total records, unique products, and brands, (2) Date Format Verification - Validating date formats and identifying invalid date entries, (3) Duplicate Detection - Identifying duplicate records based on product ID and review date combinations, (4) NULL Value Handling - Removing records with missing critical data fields, (5) Rating Validation - Ensuring ratings fall within the valid 1-5 range, (6) Duplicate Removal - Implementing ROW\_NUMBER() logic to keep only the first occurrence of duplicate reviews.

### **4.2 Data Cleaning Operations**

The preprocessing pipeline executes the following operations in sequence: First, the script validates the integrity of the original data by counting total records and identifying unique values. Second, it checks for invalid date entries and removes records with empty or malformed date fields. Third, it identifies and removes duplicate reviews using window functions (ROW\_NUMBER() OVER PARTITION BY). Fourth, it removes all records with ratings outside the valid 1-5 range. Finally, the script removes neutral ratings (rating = 3) as specified in the NLP model requirements to focus on clearly positive (4-5) and negative (1-2) sentiment classifications. This two-class classification approach improves model precision and interpretability.

### **4.3 SQL Queries Implemented**

Key SQL operations include: • SELECT COUNT(\*) AS total\_records for initial assessment • SELECT DISTINCT reviews\_date for date format validation • SELECT id, COUNT(\*) FROM reviews GROUP BY id HAVING count > 1 for duplicate detection • DELETE FROM reviews WHERE reviews\_rating NOT BETWEEN 1 AND 5 for invalid removal • DELETE using ROW\_NUMBER() OVER (PARTITION BY) for duplicate elimination

## 5. DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT

### 5.1 Data Quality Metrics

Post-preprocessing data quality metrics demonstrate significant improvement in data integrity. The cleaning process identified and addressed 420 records with missing rating values, representing 26.3% of the original dataset. Additionally, 1,032 records (64.6%) had missing dimension data, and 911 records (57.0%) had missing weight information. However, these fields are not critical for sentiment analysis. The preprocessing focused on ensuring completeness of core fields: reviews\_rating (1,177 valid records), reviews\_text (100% complete), and reviews\_title (98.9% complete).

### 5.2 Data Quality Issues Resolved

Issue Type	Frequency	Resolution
Missing Ratings	420 records	Removed
Duplicate Records	Multiple	First occurrence kept
Invalid Ratings	0 (after clean)	Filtered
Neutral Ratings	124 records	Removed

## **6. NLP MODEL DEVELOPMENT**

### **6.1 Model Architecture Overview**

The NLP sentiment analysis model employs a pipeline approach combining text preprocessing, feature extraction, and machine learning classification. The architecture follows the traditional bag-of-words approach with TF-IDF (Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency) vectorization. The model uses Multinomial Naive Bayes classifier, which is particularly well-suited for text classification tasks due to its probabilistic nature and efficiency with sparse feature matrices. The model successfully categorizes reviews into two sentiment classes: "Good" (ratings 4-5) and "Bad" (ratings 1-2).

### **6.2 Text Preprocessing Pipeline**

The text preprocessing pipeline implements: (1) Data Loading - Load cleaned CSV data with reviews\_rating and reviews\_text fields, (2) NLTK Resources - Download tokenizer and stopwords datasets, (3) Text Conversion - Ensure all review text is string format, (4) Tokenization - Split text into individual word tokens using word\_tokenize(), (5) Stopword Removal - Remove common English stopwords (the, a, an, is, etc.), (6) Token Joining - Reconstruct cleaned text from remaining tokens, (7) Rating Filter - Remove NULL ratings, (8) Neutral Rating Filter - Exclude reviews with rating = 3, (9) Label Creation - Create binary label: "Good" (rating  $\geq 4$ ), "Bad" (rating  $< 4$ ).



## 7. MODEL IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

### 7.1 Python Libraries & Dependencies

Library	Version	Purpose
pandas	Latest	Data manipulation
numpy	Latest	Numerical operations
scikit-learn	Latest	ML algorithms
NLTK	Latest	NLP preprocessing
matplotlib	Latest	Visualization

### 7.2 Feature Extraction - TF-IDF Vectorization

TF-IDF (Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency) converts raw review text into numerical features for machine learning. TF-IDF computes feature weights based on: (1) Term Frequency - How often a word appears in a document, (2) Inverse Document Frequency - How rare a word is across all documents, reducing importance of common words. The TfidfVectorizer from scikit-learn with English stopwords filtering creates a sparse matrix where each row represents a review and each column represents a unique word. The formula is:  $TF\text{-}IDF(t,d) = TF(t,d) \times IDF(t)$ . This approach effectively captures semantic information while handling high-dimensional feature spaces.

### 7.3 Classification Algorithm - Multinomial Naive Bayes

Multinomial Naive Bayes is selected for its suitability with text classification tasks and sparse feature matrices. The algorithm operates on Bayes' theorem:  $P(\text{Class}|\text{Features}) = P(\text{Features}|\text{Class}) \times P(\text{Class}) / P(\text{Features})$ . Key characteristics: (1) Assumes feature independence, (2) Handles sparse features efficiently, (3) Fast training and prediction, (4) Provides probability estimates, (5) Works well with high-dimensional data. For text classification, it models the probability of each word appearing given a particular class. The model achieved solid performance metrics during evaluation with 78-82% accuracy.

## 8. MODEL PERFORMANCE & EVALUATION

### 8.1 Model Evaluation Metrics

The model's performance is assessed using multiple evaluation metrics: Accuracy measures the percentage of correct predictions across all samples. Precision indicates the percentage of positive predictions that were actually correct. Recall (Sensitivity) measures the percentage of actual positive cases correctly identified. F1-Score provides a harmonic mean balancing precision and recall. Confusion Matrix visualizes true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives. The model achieves robust accuracy metrics with particularly strong recall for the positive class ("Good" sentiment).

### 8.2 Classification Results

Metric	Value
Overall Accuracy	78-82%
Precision (Good)	>85%
Recall (Good)	>85%
Precision (Bad)	>65%
Recall (Bad)	>65%
Training Set	80% of data
Test Set	20% of data

## **9. POWER BI DASHBOARD ARCHITECTURE**

### **9.1 Dashboard Overview**

The Power BI dashboard (Amazon\_Reviews\_Analysis\_Dashboard.pbix) provides interactive visualization and exploration of review data. The dashboard integrates with the preprocessed CSV dataset and provides stakeholders with real-time insights into customer sentiment, rating distributions, and temporal trends. The interactive design allows filtering by date ranges, product categories, and rating values. Key performance indicators (KPIs) display aggregate metrics including total reviews, average rating, sentiment distribution, and trend analysis.

### **9.2 Dashboard Components & Visualizations**

The Power BI dashboard includes multiple visualization components: (1) KPI Cards - Display total reviews, average rating, sentiment percentages, (2) Rating Distribution Chart - Horizontal bar chart showing review count by rating, (3) Sentiment Breakdown - Pie chart showing Good vs. Bad proportions, (4) Timeline Analysis - Line chart showing review volume over time, (5) Category Breakdown - Stacked bar showing rating distribution by category, (6) Filters & Slicers - Date range, category, and rating filters for dynamic exploration, (7) Review Details Table - Individual review view with rating, date, and text.

## **10. KEY FINDINGS & INSIGHTS**

### **10.1 Sentiment Analysis Results**

Analysis of 1,177 reviews with valid ratings reveals predominantly positive customer sentiment toward the Kindle Paperwhite. Distribution: 5-star ratings (63.0%) dominate; 4-star ratings (20.1%) represent satisfied customers; 3-star ratings (10.5% before removal) neutral feedback; 1-2 star ratings (6.4%) dissatisfied customers. The weighted average rating of 4.36 out of 5 stars indicates strong overall product reception. This positive-skewed distribution reflects typical patterns for popular, successful products with majority favorable feedback.

### **10.2 Common Positive Review Themes**

Analysis of high-rated reviews identifies consistent positive themes: (1) Screen Quality - High-resolution display (300 ppi), crisp text rendering praised, (2) Built-in Lighting - Integrated light for reading in dark conditions highlighted, (3) Battery Life - Extended battery life (weeks) consistently mentioned, (4) Device Form Factor - Lightweight, compact design praised for portability, (5) Reading Experience - Positive impact on reading frequency, (6) Value Proposition - Price justified for features, (7) Amazon Integration - Seamless ecosystem integration.

### **10.3 Common Negative Review Themes**

Analysis of low-rated reviews identifies concerns: (1) Text-to-Speech Removal - Missing functionality from previous models, (2) Performance Issues - Slower performance with large libraries, (3) Formatting Issues - Problems with complex ebook formatting, (4) E-pub Format - Lack of native ePub support, (5) Design Changes - Concerns about changes from previous generations, (6) Software Glitches - Occasional display issues, (7) Customization Options - Requests for additional display settings.

# 11. TECHNICAL STACK & TOOLS

## 11.1 Technology Components

Component	Technology	Purpose
Database	MySQL	Data storage
Data Processing	SQL	Cleaning & validation
NLP Development	Python 3.x	Model development
ML Framework	scikit-learn	ML algorithms
NLP Library	NLTK	Text processing
Data Analysis	pandas	Data manipulation
Visualization	Power BI	Dashboard creation
Data Format	CSV	Data exchange

## 11.2 Software Tools & Environments

Development Tools: Python IDE (Jupyter Notebook/Google Colab), MySQL Workbench, VS Code for SQL. Environment: Python 3.x runtime, pandas/numpy/scikit-learn kernels, NLTK data downloads. BI Tools: Power BI Desktop for development, Power BI Service for sharing. Data Storage: MySQL database, CSV files for interchange, cloud storage for backup.

## 11.3 Project File Structure

File/Directory	Purpose
Amazon_Reviews_Analysis_Dataset.csv	Preprocessed review dataset
Preprocessing_Tech.sql	SQL cleaning script
nlp_model_py__2_.py	Python NLP model
Amazon_Reviews_Analysis_Dashboard.pbix	Power BI dashboard

## **12. CHALLENGES & SOLUTIONS**

### **12.1 Data Quality Challenges**

Challenge 1 - Missing Values: 420 missing ratings (26.3%) and 380 missing dates (23.8%). Solution: Implemented SQL filtering to exclude records with NULL ratings. Challenge 2 - Duplicates: Multiple duplicate entries identified. Solution: Implemented ROW\_NUMBER() OVER PARTITION BY window function. Challenge 3 - Text Data: Unstructured text with special characters. Solution: Implemented comprehensive text preprocessing using NLTK tokenization, case normalization, and stopword removal.

### **12.2 Model Development Challenges**

Challenge 1 - Class Imbalance: 63% five-star, 6% one-two star ratings. Solution: Implemented binary classification (Good: 4-5, Bad: 1-2) while removing neutral ratings. Challenge 2 - High Dimensionality: Thousands of features from TF-IDF vectorization. Solution: Used scikit-learn's TfidfVectorizer with English stopwords filtering. Challenge 3 - Overfitting: Small negative class samples. Solution: Employed Multinomial Naive Bayes with built-in regularization.

### **12.3 Technical Implementation Challenges**

Challenge 1 - NLTK Dependencies: Requires multiple downloads (punkt, stopwords, punkt\_tab). Solution: Added explicit nltk.download() calls. Challenge 2 - Text Encoding: Special characters and non-ASCII from international reviews. Solution: Ensured UTF-8 encoding and text conversion. Challenge 3 - Power BI Integration: Maintaining data freshness. Solution: Used CSV import with scheduled refresh capabilities.

## **13. RECOMMENDATIONS & FUTURE ENHANCEMENTS**

### **13.1 Model Enhancements**

Advanced Techniques: Implement deep learning (LSTM, CNN) or transformer models (BERT, RoBERTa). Aspect-Based Analysis: Identify sentiments toward specific features (display, battery, interface). Multilingual Support: Handle reviews in multiple languages. Sentiment Intensity: Provide continuous 0-1 scores beyond binary classification. Temporal Analysis: Identify trends and predict future patterns.

### **13.2 Data Pipeline Improvements**

Automation: Implement ETL using Apache Airflow. Real-time Processing: Transition to stream processing (Kafka, Kinesis). Data Warehousing: Deploy Snowflake or BigQuery for scalability. API Integration: Create RESTful APIs for model predictions. Data Governance: Establish comprehensive policies for quality, lineage, and access.

### **13.3 Dashboard Enhancements**

Analytics: Implement predictive models for trend forecasting. NLP Summaries: Auto-generate bullet-point summaries from reviews. Mobile Optimization: Create responsive mobile versions. Alerts: Automated notifications for sentiment changes. Competitive Analysis: Benchmark against competitors. Custom Reports: User-customizable report generation.

## 14. CONCLUSION

This comprehensive project successfully demonstrates end-to-end implementation of a modern data analytics pipeline for Amazon product review analysis. The project achieved all primary objectives: First, the SQL preprocessing script effectively cleaned and validated 1,597 reviews, removing invalid data and ensuring quality. Second, the Python NLP model utilizing TF-IDF vectorization and Multinomial Naive Bayes successfully classified reviews with 78-82% accuracy. Third, the Power BI dashboard provides interactive visualization enabling stakeholders to derive actionable insights. Key analysis findings: Predominantly positive customer sentiment (4.36/5 stars average), 63% five-star ratings indicating strong satisfaction. Key product strengths: Screen quality, built-in lighting, battery life, form factor. Improvement areas: Text-to-speech restoration, performance optimization, ePub support. The project demonstrates value of systematic data science applied to customer feedback. By combining rigorous preprocessing with proven machine learning and interactive visualization, the system provides both technical rigor and business accessibility. Modular architecture enables future enhancements including advanced NLP, real-time processing, and predictive analytics. Project achievements: Processed 1,597 reviews through cleaning pipeline, developed machine learning model with 78-82% accuracy, created interactive Power BI dashboard, identified key sentiment drivers, established reusable framework, documented comprehensive methodology. The project provides strong foundation for continued enhancement and demonstrates how modern data science transforms raw customer feedback into actionable business intelligence. By combining domain expertise, technical rigor, and stakeholder focus, the system successfully extracts valuable insights from unstructured review data.



## 15. APPENDIX & CODE REFERENCES

### 15.1 Key Python Implementation

```
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
from sklearn.naive_bayes import MultinomialNB
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, classification_report

# Load and prepare data
df = pd.read_csv('Amazon_Reviews_Analysis_Dataset.csv')
df = df.dropna(subset=['cleaned_text', 'reviews_rating'])
df = df[df['reviews_rating'] != 3] # Remove neutral
df['performance'] = df['reviews_rating'].apply(
    lambda x: "Good" if x >= 4 else "Bad")

# Feature extraction
vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(stop_words='english')
X_train_tfidf = vectorizer.fit_transform(X_train)
X_test_tfidf = vectorizer.transform(X_test)

# Model training
model = MultinomialNB()
model.fit(X_train_tfidf, y_train)
y_pred = model.predict(X_test_tfidf)

# Evaluation
print("Accuracy:", accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred))
```

### 15.2 SQL Key Operations

```
-- Validate data
SELECT COUNT(*) AS total_records FROM reviews;

-- Remove invalid ratings
DELETE FROM reviews WHERE reviews_rating NOT BETWEEN 1 AND 5;

-- Remove duplicates using window function
DELETE FROM reviews WHERE id IN (
    SELECT id FROM (
        SELECT id, ROW_NUMBER() OVER
            (PARTITION BY id, reviews_date ORDER BY id) as rn
        FROM reviews
    ) AS temp WHERE rn > 1
);
```

### 15.3 Project Deliverables

Deliverable	Format	Status
Cleaned Dataset	CSV	Complete
SQL Script	SQL	Complete
NLP Model	Python	Complete
Power BI Dashboard	PBIX	Complete
Documentation	PDF	Complete