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# Initial Prompt

## Description (50 words max) :

Summarize the research paper, focusing on its objective, methodology, and key findings. Include the role of systematic reviews in agricultural research as discussed.

## Generated Summary (100 words max) :

The paper explores the effectiveness of systematic reviews in agricultural research, aiming to develop a structured framework for conducting these reviews. Systematic reviews are highlighted as a rigorous, unbiased alternative to traditional narrative reviews, requiring more effort but providing comprehensive insights. The study compares narrative and systematic reviews, emphasizing the latter’s ability to produce evidence-based conclusions. A case study demonstrates the adoption of systematic reviews in agriculture, revealing that only a third of eligible studies were accessible, and fewer met the criteria for inclusion, indicating the need for broader use of systematic reviews in the field.

# Iteration 1

## Description (50 words max) :

Refine the summary by highlighting the comparison between narrative and systematic reviews. Include the advantages of systematic reviews and a brief mention of the proposed framework.

## Generated Summary (100 words max) :

The paper compares narrative and systematic reviews in agricultural research, emphasizing the objectivity and rigor of systematic reviews. Unlike narrative reviews, which are subjective and prone to bias, systematic reviews provide comprehensive, repeatable, and evidence-based conclusions. The study highlights the advantages of systematic reviews, including their ability to reduce bias and offer a thorough examination of existing literature. The proposed framework guides researchers in conducting effective systematic reviews, demonstrated through a case study showing limited adoption in agriculture. The findings underscore the need for wider use of systematic reviews to enhance research quality in the field.

# Iteration 2

## Description (50 words max) :

Further refine by adding specific examples, such as the case study on systematic reviews in agriculture. Emphasize how the framework was applied and the implications

## Generated Summary (100 words max) :

The paper contrasts narrative reviews with systematic reviews, highlighting the latter’s rigor and objectivity. Systematic reviews, unlike narrative ones, reduce bias and provide comprehensive, evidence-based insights. The study introduces a framework for conducting systematic reviews, demonstrated through a case study on their adoption in agriculture. The case study revealed that only 32.5% of eligible agricultural research studies are systematic reviews, indicating limited use. By applying the framework, the study underscores the importance of expanding systematic reviews in agriculture to enhance research accuracy and guide future investigations more effectively.

# Final Prompt

## Description (50 words max) :

Provide a final, concise summary capturing the paper’s objective, methodology, review comparisons, proposed framework, case study, and conclusions on the use of systematic reviews in agriculture.

## Generated Summary (100 words max) :

The paper aims to establish a framework for systematic reviews in agricultural research, contrasting them with traditional narrative reviews. Systematic reviews offer rigorous, unbiased analysis, as demonstrated in a case study revealing limited adoption in agriculture—only 32.5% of eligible studies were systematic. The proposed framework was applied to assess these reviews, highlighting their potential to enhance research accuracy and guide future studies. The study concludes that while systematic reviews are underutilized in

agriculture, their structured approach is crucial for advancing evidence-based agricultural research.

# Insights and Applications

## Key Insights (150 words max) :

The research paper provides key insights into the importance and methodology of systematic reviews in agricultural research. It emphasizes the limitations of traditional narrative reviews, which are often subjective and prone to bias.

Systematic reviews, in contrast, offer a structured, replicable approach, reducing bias and providing a comprehensive summary of existing literature. The paper introduces a detailed framework for conducting systematic reviews, which can be applied to various agricultural topics. The case study reveals that systematic reviews are underutilized in agriculture, with only 32.5% of reviewed studies adopting this method. However, the study highlights the growing trend and potential of systematic reviews to enhance research quality, offer

evidence-based conclusions, and identify gaps in the literature. The findings suggest that wider adoption of systematic reviews could significantly advance agricultural research by improving the accuracy and reliability of study outcomes .

## Potential Applications (150 words max) :

The research paper’s findings have significant implications for advancing agricultural research. By adopting systematic reviews, researchers can produce more reliable, evidence-based conclusions, leading to better-informed decisions in agricultural policy and practice. The proposed framework can be used to enhance the rigor and transparency of literature reviews across various subfields, such as sustainable farming, climate change adaptation, pesticide use, and environmental impacts. Systematic reviews can also help identify research gaps, guiding future studies toward areas of critical need. The paper’s emphasis on the structured approach of systematic reviews suggests that their broader application could lead to more consistent and comprehensive assessments of agricultural practices and innovations. Additionally, the case study highlights the potential for systematic reviews to become a standard practice in agricultural research, ultimately improving the overall quality and impact of scientific contributions in this field.

# Evaluation

## Clarity (50 words max) :

The final summary and insights clearly outline the paper’s objective, methodology, review comparisons, proposed framework, case study, and conclusions, providing a concise overview of systematic reviews in agriculture. The language is straightforward, making the core findings and implications easy to understand.

## Accuracy (50 words max) :

The final summary and insights accurately reflect the paper’s focus on the benefits and limitations of systematic reviews compared to narrative reviews. The case study details and conclusions align with the paper’s findings, ensuring the summary and insights are precise and true to the original research.

## Relevance (50 words max) :

The insights and applications are highly relevant, as they address the practical benefits of systematic reviews in improving agricultural research quality. The framework’s application and the case study’s findings emphasize the importance of adopting rigorous review methods to enhance research outcomes and guide future studies effectively .

# Reflection

## (250 words max) :

I personally gained lot of knowledge while working on this project, and it was a great one to do . I gained lot of experiences and faced a few challenges too .

Talking about the challenge, was to differentiate the prompts , like I personally thought that the iteration 1 was to make a better prompt than the previous one , but that is not the case here . The iteration 1 is a prompt which is used to refine the previous prompt , also to get some specific information which the previous prompt didn’t get . So I clarified and cross checked this whether my prompts are proper or not.

Talking about the insights , I gained a good knowledge on how to ask promts to the GPT’s .