Group Assignment - 3

Team: Kurkure

Question 1

Given : Consider $R(A_1,A_2,A_3,\ldots,A_n)$ to be a relation with functional dependencies defined as

$$A_{(i-1)i/2+1}A_{(i-1)i/2+2}...A_{(i-1)i/2+i} o A_{(i-1)i/2+i+1}...A_nA_1...A_i(i-1)/2$$

For i>3 and till $rac{(i-1)i}{2}+i=n$

1.1

The given condition $rac{(i-1)i}{2}+i=n$ must be satisfied for some $i\in N$ for the functional dependencies to be possible. Thus

$$n=\frac{i(i+1)}{2}$$

1.2

For some i,

$$A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+1}A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+2}\dots A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+i}\to A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+i+1}A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+i+2}\dots A_nA_1A_2\dots A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}$$

This can also be written as

$$\begin{array}{c} A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+1}A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+2} \dots A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+i} \to A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+i+1}A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+i+2} \dots A_{n}A_{1}A_{2} \dots A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+1}A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+2} \dots A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+i} \\ & \Longrightarrow A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+1}A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+2} \dots A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+i} \to A_{i} \forall i \\ & \Longrightarrow A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+1}A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+2} \dots A_{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+i} \text{ is a key } \forall i \end{array}$$

Thus the number of keys equals i for which $rac{(i-1)i}{2}=n$, that is

$$i = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n}}{2}$$

1.3

- 1. No information is provided on the atomicity of the attributes, we assume all the attributes are atomic and thus the relation is in the First Normal Form
- 2. From the functional dependencies given we can conclude
 - 1. Every attribute is a prime attribute, that is each attribute A_x belongs to a key. This can clearly be seen as $\frac{i(i-1)}{2}+1\leq x\leq \frac{i(i-1)}{2}+i$ for some i.
 - 2. Also each attribute $\tilde{A_x}$ belongs to exactly one key as each of the sets $[rac{i(i-1)}{2}+1,rac{i(i-1)}{2}+i]$ are disjoint

From the above to conclusion we can further conclude that any of the functional dependencies above no longer hold if one of the attributes is removed. Thus the relation R is in the Second Normal Form.

3. Since every attribute in R is a prime attribute, the relation is in Third Normal Form

1.4

The given set of functional dependencies can be broken down into

$$egin{aligned} F &= \{A_1
ightarrow A_2, A_1
ightarrow A_3, \ldots, A_1
ightarrow A_n, \ A_2 A_3
ightarrow A_1, A_2 A_3
ightarrow A_4, \ldots, A_2 A_3
ightarrow A_n, \ \ldots, \ A_{rac{i(i-1)}{2}+1} A_{rac{i(i-1)}{2}+2} \ldots A_{rac{i(i-1)}{2}}
ightarrow A_1, \ldots, A_{rac{i(i-1)}{2}+1} A_{rac{i(i-1)}{2}+2} \ldots A_{rac{i(i-1)}{2}}
ightarrow A_n \} \end{aligned}$$

This set consists of (n-1)i dependencies many of which are clearly redundant such as $A_1 \to A_2 A_3 \& A_2 A_3 \to A_4 \implies A_1 \to A_4$ which is already a part of the set.

Consider the set of functional dependencies G such that

$$egin{aligned} G &= \{A_1
ightarrow A_2, A_1
ightarrow A_3, \ldots, A_1
ightarrow A_n \ A_2 A_3
ightarrow A_1, \ \ldots, \ A_{rac{i(i-1)}{2}+1} A_{rac{i(i-1)}{2}+2} \ldots A_{rac{i(i-1)}{+}i}
ightarrow A_1 \} \end{aligned}$$

This set of functional dependencies has n+i-2 dependencies. Also $G=G^+=F^+$ thus the number of dependencies in G cannot be reduced. Also for every $X\to Y$, X has the minimum number of attributes, thus G is the minimal cover of F.

Since $G \subseteq F$, All our conclusion from F still hold, thus G is in Boyce-Codd Normal Form.