Automata Theory (CS1.302)

Monsoon 2021, IIIT Hyderabad 14 October, Thursday (Lecture 3)

Models of Computation

Non-Deterministic Finite Automata (contd.)

Converting DFAs to Regular Expressions

We define a *generalised NFA* as an NFA with regular expressions on its transitions rather than single characters. Starting from a DFA we construct a GNFA with two states and the required regular expression on its only transition.

We begin by adding a new start and end state to the DFA, with ε -transitions. Each subsequent step reduces the number of states in the GNFA by one. Pick any state except the start or end states; call it $q_{\rm rip}$. We remove this state and "repair" its loss by adding new arrows that account for all arrows starting from and ending at $q_{\rm rip}$.



Figure 1: Repairing the Loss of $q_{\rm rip}$

The Pumping Lemma

We know that:

- \bullet L is a regular language
- There is a DFA D such that L(D) = L
- There is an NFA N such that L(N) = L
- There is a regular expression R such that L(R) = L

are all equivalent.

Not all languages are regular, however. One non-regular language is $L = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0\}$.

If L is a regular language, all strings in it longer than a certain length can be pumped (a section in it can be repeated an arbitrary number of times). More formally, the Pumping Lemma states that there exists a number p (the pumping length) where for all $s \in L$ such that $|s| \geq p$, there exist x, y, z such that s = xyz and:

- $|xy| \ge p$
- $|y| \ge 1$
- $\forall i \geq 0, xy^i z \in L$

To prove this, consider a DFA M of p states. Then some states are repeated in any run for a string of length at least p.

Let r_1, \ldots, r_{n+1} be the states encountered in the run for a string $s_1 s_2 \ldots s_n, \, n \geq p$. Two states must be repeated; let these be $r_i = r_j, i < j$.

Then we split s into $x = s_1 \dots s_{i-1}$; $y = s_i \dots s_{j-1}$ and $z = s_j \dots s_n$. Then y can be repeated or removed without changing the acceptability of s.

Grammars

Definition

Grammars provide a way to generate strings, rather than just parse them. The set of strings generated by a grammar is called the language of the grammar. Grammars consist of a set of rules that allow one to construct strings belonging to their language. For example,

```
S \rightarrow Subj \ Verb \ Obj
Subj \rightarrow NP
Obj \rightarrow NP
NP \rightarrow Art \ Noun \ | \ Noun
Art \rightarrow the
Noun \rightarrow boy \ | \ girl \ | \ soccer \ | \ poetry
Verb \rightarrow loves \ | \ plays.
```

Formally, a grammar G is a 4-tuple (V, Σ, P, S) , where

- \bullet V is the set of variables
- Σ is the set of terminals (disjoint from V)
- P is the set of rules $((V \cup \Sigma)^* V (V \cup \Sigma)^* \to (V \cup \Sigma)^*)$
- $S \in V$ is the start variable (usually in the LHS of the first rule).

The sequence of substitutions using the rules of G that are required to obtain a certain string is called a *derivation*. A derivation shows that the string belongs

to the language of G. The fact that w can be derived is written as $S \xrightarrow{w}$; hence we can define the language of G as $L(G) = \{w \mid S \xrightarrow{w} \}$.

If the rules of the grammar all have the form

$$V \to \operatorname{Ter} V$$

 $V \to \operatorname{Ter}$
 $V \to \varepsilon$,

then the grammar is called a right-linear grammar and the language of the grammar is regular.

Linear Grammars

To convert a right-linear grammar to a DFA, make each variable a state and each terminal to its left a transition leading to it from the state corresponding to the variable on the LHS. A derivation in a linear grammar is analogous to a run in a DFA.

To go other way, we can write a variable for each state and a rule for each arrow.

Analogously, we can define left-linear grammars, which turn out to be equivalent to right-linear grammars.