The Expanding Cosmos: A Historical and Theoretical Investigation from Friedmann to Dark Energy

Theoretical Foundations: From Static Assumptions to Dynamic Solutions

The modern understanding of a dynamic cosmos, governed by the principles of general relativity, represents a profound departure from the centuries-old assumption of a static and unchanging universe. This intellectual shift was not instantaneous but unfolded over several decades, driven by theoretical breakthroughs that challenged prevailing dogma and were eventually validated by empirical observation. The narrative begins with Albert Einstein's application of his revolutionary theory of gravitation, which, despite its initial intent to support a static universe, inadvertently provided the mathematical framework for its dynamism. In 1917, when Einstein first applied his field equations of general relativity to the entire cosmos, he encountered a result that conflicted directly with the accepted scientific wisdom of the time [1] [14]. His equations implied that a universe containing matter could not remain static; it must either expand or contract under the influence of gravity [1]. To counteract this gravitational collapse and achieve a stable, eternal solution, Einstein introduced a new term into his field equations: the cosmological constant (Λ) [7] [35]. This "cosmical" term represented a repulsive force that could balance the attractive force of gravity on a universal scale, thereby allowing for a static model [19]. The introduction of Λ was deeply intertwined with Einstein's philosophical inclinations, particularly his adherence to Ernst Mach's principle. Mach's idea posited that inertia—the resistance of an object to acceleration—is not an intrinsic property but arises from the influence of all other mass in the universe [7]. Einstein believed that a truly Machian universe required a finite, closed spatial geometry without boundaries, which would ensure that the inertial properties of local objects were conditioned by the global distribution of matter [11]. The cosmological constant was thus conceived as a mechanism to create such a stable, finite cosmos, satisfying both the physical requirement of a static solution and the philosophical desire for a Machian universe where distant matter influences local physics [11] [34].

While Einstein's static model dominated cosmological thinking for a brief period, it was built upon a foundation that was inherently unstable and theoretically contentious. The very act of introducing Λ was seen by some, including Einstein himself, as a modification of the otherwise elegant field equations [7] . Furthermore, Willem de Sitter demonstrated in 1917 that even with the cosmological constant, Einstein's equations admitted non-static solutions for an empty universe, suggesting that stability might be an exceptional rather than a fundamental property [6] [7] . The true revolution in relativistic cosmology came not from attempts to enforce stasis but from embracing dynamism. In 1922, the Russian physicist Alexander Friedmann delivered a seminal paper that fundamentally altered the landscape of cosmology [12] . He demonstrated mathematically that Einstein's original field equations, without any ad hoc modifications, admitted a wide class of non-static solutions [21] [40] . These solutions described universes whose scale could change over time; they could expand from an initial singularity of infinite density and curvature, reach a maximum size, and then recollapse, or they could expand forever [17] . Friedmann's work showed that a dynamic universe was not only possible but was the natural state predicted by general relativity [12] . However, the radical nature of his conclusions meant they were initially met with skepticism and even disbelief, including from Einstein himself, who published a paper claiming Friedmann's solutions were incorrect before later retracting his objections [6] [23] . Independently, and around the same time, the Belgian physicist Georges Lemaître also derived non-static cosmological models from Einstein's equations in 1927 [21] . Lemaître's contribution was particularly insightful because he provided a correct physical interpretation of the cosmological redshift. He argued that the redshift observed in the light from distant galaxies was not a Doppler effect caused by them moving through space, but rather a direct consequence of the expansion of space itself—the wavelength of light stretching as the universe expands [6] . Building on this model, Lemaître proposed the hypothesis of the "primeval atom," an extremely dense and hot initial state from which the present universe evolved [24] [37] . This concept, though speculative, laid the groundwork for what would later become known as the Big Bang theory [27] . The delayed impact of Friedmann's pioneering work underscores how difficult it was for the scientific community to move beyond the ingrained notion of a static universe; it was the convergence of theoretical elegance and compelling observational evidence that ultimately cemented the reality of a dynamic cosmos [28] .

The theoretical developments of Friedmann and Lemaître were complemented by crucial, albeit preliminary, observational data. Long before the formal establishment of an expanding-universe model, astronomers had gathered empirical hints that the universe was not as serene and fixed as once believed. Vesto Melvin Slipher, working at the Lowell Observatory, embarked on a pioneering program of spectroscopy in the early 20th

century [6] . By measuring the spectra of spiral nebulae—faint, fuzzy patches of light now known to be external galaxies—he discovered that most exhibited a significant redshift, indicating they were receding from Earth [6] [10] . By 1914, he had collected data on 15 nebulae, finding that 13 were moving away from us [6] . While Slipher correctly identified the phenomenon, he could not establish a velocity-distance relationship, and the prevailing belief in a static universe made the implications of his work difficult to interpret. De Sitter's 1917 theoretical work, showing that an empty universe could exhibit recession velocities proportional to distance, provided a conceptual link between the observed redshifts and the abstract mathematics of general relativity, motivating astronomers to search for a systematic pattern [6] . The synthesis of these disparate threads—theoretical dynamism from Friedmann and Lemaître, and empirical redshifts from Slipher—culminated in the definitive confirmation of cosmic expansion by Edwin Hubble in 1929. By combining Slipher's redshift measurements with distance estimates based on Henrietta Leavitt's period-luminosity relation for Cepheid variable stars, Hubble established the linear relationship between a galaxy's distance and its recession velocity, now known as Hubble's Law ($v = H_0 r$) [6] [10] . This law provided the primary empirical confirmation for the expanding universe model and effectively resolved the Great Debate of 1920, confirming that spiral nebulae were indeed separate "island universes" far outside the Milky Way [6] . The historical trajectory reveals a clear interplay between theory and observation: Einstein's attempt to impose a static solution on his equations inadvertently highlighted the problem of cosmic dynamics; theorists like Friedmann and Lemaître provided the mathematical solutions; and observers like Slipher and Hubble supplied the crucial data that transformed these solutions from abstract curiosities into a description of our actual universe.

Key Figure	Year of Contribution	Core Contribution
Albert Einstein	1917	Proposed a static model of the universe using general relativity, introducing the cosmological constant (Λ) to counteract gravitational collapse [1] [14] .
Alexander Friedmann	1922	Derived the first non-static solutions to Einstein's field equations, demonstrating that a dynamic, expanding or contracting universe was a natural prediction of general relativity [12] [21] .
Georges Lemaître	1927	Independently derived expanding universe solutions, correctly interpreted cosmological redshift as a property of space itself, and proposed the "primeval atom" hypothesis [6] [21] .
Vesto Slipher	c. 1914-1925	Discovered that most spiral nebulae exhibit a redshift, providing the first empirical evidence that they are receding from us [6] [10] .
Edwin Hubble	1929	Formulated Hubble's Law, establishing a linear relationship between galactic redshift and distance, providing definitive empirical confirmation of cosmic expansion [6] [10] .

Observational Confirmation: Mapping the Expanding Cosmos

The transition from theoretical speculation to empirical science in cosmology was anchored by the precise measurement of distances and velocities across the cosmos. The story of observational confirmation is one of building a ladder of yardsticks, calibrating each rung with painstaking care, and climbing ever higher to probe the universe's past. The resolution of the Great Debate in 1920 hinged on determining the distance to the Andromeda "nebula," a question that seemed insurmountable until the discovery of a reliable distance indicator [6] . The breakthrough came from the work of Henrietta Leavitt at the Harvard College Observatory. In 1908, she discovered the period-luminosity (P-L) relation for Cepheid variable stars in the Small Magellanic Cloud [6] . This law states that the intrinsic brightness of a Cepheid is directly related to its pulsation period; a longer period corresponds to a greater luminosity. By observing the period of a Cepheid in a distant galaxy, astronomers could determine its true brightness and, by comparing it to its apparent brightness as seen from Earth, calculate its distance. This established Cepheids as the first reliable "standard candle" in astronomy [6] . Edwin Hubble, using the powerful 100-inch Hooker telescope on Mount Wilson, applied Leavitt's law to resolve the Great Debate. In 1923, he identified a Cepheid variable star in the Andromeda Nebula and used its period to calculate a distance of approximately 900,000 light-years— a value that, while too low by modern standards, was sufficient to prove that Andromeda lay well outside the confines of the Milky Way, confirming it as a separate galaxy [6] . This single observation shattered the paradigm of a single-galaxy universe and set the stage for mapping the large-scale structure of the cosmos.

With the existence of extragalactic systems confirmed, the next monumental task was to measure their distances and velocities on a grand scale. Hubble's 1929 formulation of the redshift-distance relation was the culmination of this effort [6] . He compiled data for galaxies with known distances (primarily via Cepheids) and their corresponding redshifts, first measured by Slipher. He found a simple linear relationship: the recession velocity of a galaxy is proportional to its distance. The constant of proportionality, now known as the Hubble constant (H_0), became a cornerstone of quantitative cosmology, representing the current rate of expansion of the universe [6] . However, the accuracy of H_0 and, by extension, the calculated age of the universe, was critically dependent on the precision of the cosmic distance ladder. Early values of H_0 were fraught with error. A major setback occurred in 1918 when Harlow Shapley calibrated the Cepheid P-L relation, but his calibration contained a zero-point error of about 1.5 magnitudes because he failed to account for interstellar absorption and incorrectly combined two distinct types of

Cepheids [6] . This error led to a severe underestimate of galactic distances, resulting in a Hubble constant of around 500 km/s/Mpc and an implied age of the universe younger than the age of the Earth, creating a profound paradox for cosmologists [6] . The crisis was resolved in the late 1940s by Walter Baade, using the newly commissioned 200-inch Hale telescope. Baade realized that Shapley had been observing two different classes of stars: Type I Cepheids, associated with young stellar populations, and Type II Cepheids, associated with older populations. He recalibrated the P-L relation, distinguishing between these types, and found that RR Lyrae variables—which are fainter than Cepheids of the same period—were located in the Large Magellanic Cloud [6] . This correction effectively doubled the distances to galaxies, halved the value of the Hubble constant to around 200 km/s/Mpc, and subsequently increased the estimated age of the universe, resolving the conflict with geological ages [6] . This episode highlights a crucial lesson in observational cosmology: our interpretation of the universe's dynamics is inseparable from the accuracy of our fundamental distance-measuring techniques.

The subsequent decades saw continuous refinement of the distance ladder and the Hubble constant, with values steadily decreasing as more accurate calibrations were made. By 1955, Milton Humason, Nicholas U. Mayall, and Allan Sandage had measured H_0 to be 180 km/s/Mpc, further increasing the estimated age of the universe [6] . While the debate over the precise value of H_0 continued for many years, the fact of cosmic expansion was never again in question. The next great leap forward, however, required a brighter and more standardized candle to probe deeper into space and look back further in time. For this task, astronomers turned to Type Ia supernovae. These stellar explosions occur in binary systems when a white dwarf accretes matter from a companion star, reaching a critical mass (the Chandrasekhar limit) and undergoing a thermonuclear explosion. Because the explosion always occurs near the same mass, the amount of radioactive nickel-56 produced is remarkably consistent, leading to a very uniform peak luminosity. This makes Type Ia supernovae exceptionally bright and predictable "standardizable candles" suitable for measuring vast cosmic distances [4] [5] . The potential of these cosmic beacons was recognized in the 1990s, leading to ambitious projects aimed at discovering and studying high-redshift supernovae. Two teams, the Supernova Cosmology Project (SCP) led by Saul Perlmutter and the High-Z Supernova Search Team (HZT) led by Brian Schmidt and Adam Riess, began systematically searching for these rare events in distant galaxies [4] [6] . Their goal was to use the supernovae as probes of the universe's expansion history, specifically to measure whether gravity was slowing the expansion as expected.

In 1998, both teams announced a stunning and unexpected discovery [6] [57] . After meticulously observing and analyzing dozens of Type Ia supernovae at various redshifts,

they found that the high-redshift supernovae were consistently fainter than they would have been in a universe with no dark energy [54] [55] . This dimness indicated that these supernovae were farther away than predicted, which could only mean that the expansion of the universe has not been slowing down due to gravity. Instead, the expansion rate must have been *accelerating* over the last several billion years [5] [72] . This was a paradigm-shifting result, fundamentally altering the picture of cosmic evolution. The teams' analysis strongly supported eternally expanding models containing a positive cosmological constant, implying the presence of a mysterious repulsive force pervading space [58] . Perlmutter's team analyzed 42 supernovae and concluded that if the universe is spatially flat, the data demand a significant, positive cosmological constant [62] [63] [67] . Riess's team, analyzing 10 new high-redshift supernovae, reached a similar conclusion [83] . The consistency of the results from two independent groups, using different telescopes, data analysis techniques, and calibration methods, left little doubt about the finding [56] . This discovery, which earned Perlmutter, Schmidt, and Riess the 2011 Nobel Prize in Physics, marked a second great revolution in cosmology, as profound as Hubble's discovery of linear expansion. It revealed that the universe's history is more complex than previously imagined, involving a cosmic phase transition from a matter-dominated decelerating era to a dark-energy-dominated accelerating era roughly 5 billion years ago [70] . The observation of distant supernovae thus provided the first direct evidence for the existence of dark energy, the elusive agent responsible for cosmic acceleration.

The Standard Model: Dark Energy and the ∧CDM Paradigm

The discovery of the universe's accelerating expansion in 1998 necessitated a significant revision to the standard cosmological model. For decades, cosmologists had operated under the assumption that gravity, acting on the matter and energy content of the universe, would inevitably slow the expansion. The supernova data forced a radical reinterpretation: some form of energy with strong negative pressure must be dominating the universe's energy budget on the largest scales, driving a repulsive effect that overcomes gravitational attraction [1] . This unknown component was dubbed "dark energy." Defined as a fluid component with an equation of state parameter w (the ratio of pressure to energy density, $p=w\rho c^2$), dark energy must have an equation of state parameter less than -1/3 to cause acceleration, and significantly less than -1/3 to produce the observed effect [1] . The simplest and most successful candidate for dark energy is the cosmological constant (∧), the very term Einstein had introduced in 1917 and later

discarded [1] . In this modern interpretation, Λ represents a constant energy density inherent to the fabric of spacetime itself, a vacuum energy that does not dilute as the universe expands [115]. When $w=-1$, the cosmological constant perfectly fits the supernova data and forms the cornerstone of the current standard model of cosmology [1] . This model, known as ΛCDM (Lambda Cold Dark Matter), provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the universe's composition, evolution, and geometry. It posits a universe that is spatially flat on large scales and is composed of approximately 68% dark energy (represented by Λ), 27% cold dark matter (an unknown non-baryonic substance that interacts primarily through gravity), and only about 5% ordinary baryonic matter (protons, neutrons, electrons, and everything we know) [64] . The supernova observations were instrumental in quantifying these components. By precisely measuring the luminosity distance to Type Ia supernovae at different redshifts, the SCP and HZT teams were able to constrain the densities of matter (Ω_M) and dark energy (Ω_Λ) [62] [63] [121]. Their results, particularly those from Perlmutter's team analyzing 42 supernovae, provided strong statistical evidence for a positive cosmological constant, implying that the total energy density of the universe is equal to the critical density required for spatial flatness [85] [88] [120].

The ΛCDM model is built upon the theoretical scaffolding of general relativity and the cosmological principle. The cosmological principle asserts that the universe is homogeneous (the same at every location) and isotropic (the same in every direction) on sufficiently large scales [1] . This assumption allows for a simplified description of the universe's geometry and dynamics, encapsulated by the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric [89] . The FLRW metric describes a universe where space itself is expanding or contracting, governed by a scale factor, $a(t)$, which tells us how the size of the universe changes over time [1] . The rate of this expansion is quantified by the Hubble parameter, $H=(\dot{a}/a)$, where $\dot{a}$ is the rate of change of the scale factor [1] . The evolution of the scale factor is dictated by the Friedmann equations, which are derived by applying general relativity to the FLRW metric [1] [104]. The first Friedmann equation relates the expansion rate (H^2) to the total energy density (ρ) and the spatial curvature (k) of the universe:

$$H^2=\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2=\frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho-\frac{kc^2}{a^2}$$

This equation shows that the expansion rate is driven by the universe's energy content, while the curvature term (k) determines whether the universe is closed ($k=+1$), open

($k=-1$), or flat ($k=0$). The second Friedmann equation governs the acceleration of the expansion ($\ddot{a}/a$):

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3}\left(\rho + \frac{3p}{c^2}\right)$$

This equation reveals that gravity, represented by the energy density (ρ) and pressure (p), acts to slow the expansion (decelerate it). However, a component with sufficiently negative pressure, such as dark energy with w < -1/3, can cause the right-hand side to become positive, leading to an accelerated expansion (\ddot{a} > 0) [1]. The success of the ΛCDM model lies in its ability to fit a wide range of independent observational data with just six parameters, including Ω_M and Ω_Λ. These include not only supernova data but also measurements of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation, which provides a snapshot of the universe at a redshift of z ≈ 1100, and large-scale structure surveys, which map the distribution of galaxies across the cosmos [19][64]. The remarkable agreement between the predictions of the ΛCDM model, based on parameters derived from the early universe (the CMB), and the observed properties of the late-time universe (supernovae, galaxy clusters) constitutes a powerful testament to the model's explanatory power.

Despite its overwhelming success, the ΛCDM model faces significant challenges, primarily stemming from the nature of its two dominant components: dark energy and dark matter. Dark energy, in the form of the cosmological constant, presents a deep theoretical puzzle. Quantum field theory predicts a vacuum energy density that is enormous, roughly 120 orders of magnitude larger than the value inferred from cosmological observations [118]. This colossal discrepancy between theory and observation is one of the biggest unsolved problems in physics. Furthermore, while the cosmological constant fits the data, it is not the only possibility. Other models of dark energy, such as quintessence—a dynamic scalar field whose energy density can evolve with time—have been proposed [1]. Distinguishing between a true cosmological constant and a more exotic form of dark energy is a major goal of modern observational cosmology. On the other side of the model, cold dark matter remains entirely hypothetical. Its existence is inferred from its gravitational effects on galactic rotation curves, galaxy cluster dynamics, and the formation of large-scale structure [1]. However, despite decades of dedicated searches, dark matter particles have never been directly detected in laboratories on Earth. The lack of direct evidence, combined with the fine-tuning problem of the cosmological constant, has motivated a search for alternatives to the standard paradigm. One avenue is to modify gravity itself, proposing that general relativity breaks down on the largest scales and that the observed phenomena attributed to dark energy and dark matter are instead

manifestations of a more complex gravitational interaction. Such modified gravity theories aim to reproduce cosmic acceleration without invoking an unseen energy component, offering a potential path to a more unified and complete description of the cosmos [1] [74]. The persistence of these open questions suggests that while ΛCDM is the best model we have, it may be an incomplete description of reality, pointing toward the need for new physics beyond the standard model of particle physics and general relativity.

Modified Gravity: Challenging the Tenets of General Relativity

The profound success of the ΛCDM model in describing cosmic expansion, coupled with the enigmatic nature of dark energy and dark matter, has spurred significant interest in alternative theories of gravity. These modified gravity theories propose that Einstein's general relativity, while incredibly successful in the solar system and astrophysical environments like binary pulsars, may require alteration on the largest cosmological scales to account for the observed acceleration of the universe [73] [74]. Instead of postulating new forms of matter and energy, these approaches seek to explain cosmic acceleration as an intrinsic feature of gravity itself. One prominent strategy involves modifying the geometric part of Einstein's field equations, which relate the curvature of spacetime to its energy content. Scalar-tensor theories, first proposed by Carl Brans and Robert Dicke in 1961, represent a historically significant and conceptually appealing class of such modifications [47] [49]. The Brans-Dicke theory was explicitly motivated by a desire to implement Mach's Principle more fully than general relativity allowed [77] [124]. According to this principle, the inertia of an object should be determined by the distribution of all other matter in the universe [48]. In general relativity, the gravitational constant G is a fixed number. The Brans-Dicke theory elevates G to a dynamic quantity by introducing a scalar field, denoted ϕ, which permeates spacetime [45]. The effective strength of gravity at any point is inversely proportional to the value of this scalar field ($G_{eff} \propto 1/\phi$) [45]. This scalar field itself is generated by the local distribution of matter, thus providing a direct mechanism for Mach's Principle: the inertial properties of matter (which depend on G) are determined by the global distribution of matter through the medium of the scalar field [48] [95]. In this framework, gravity is mediated not only by the metric tensor (as in GR) but also by this long-range scalar field, making it a more complex interaction [96]. The theory is characterized by a dimensionless coupling constant, ω, which determines the strength of the scalar field's interaction with matter. General relativity is recovered in

the limit where ω approaches infinity [78]. While viable on cosmological scales, the theory must satisfy stringent constraints from solar system tests of gravity, which require ω to be very large, pushing it close to the GR limit [76].

Beyond scalar-tensor theories, numerous other modified gravity frameworks have been developed to address the dark energy problem. Another major category is f(R) gravity, where the gravitational action is generalized by replacing the Ricci scalar R in the Einstein-Hilbert action with an arbitrary function f(R) [127]. By choosing an appropriate form for f(R), one can engineer corrections to the field equations that become significant at low curvatures, characteristic of the present-day universe. These modifications can lead to late-time cosmic acceleration without the need for dark energy [70]. Other proposals include higher-dimensional theories, such as the Dvali-Gabadadze-Porrati (DGP) model, which suggests that gravity leaks into extra spatial dimensions on cosmological scales, weakening its strength and causing a repulsive effect [1]. Testing these theories is a rapidly growing field of research [73]. The predictions of modified gravity often differ from those of ΛCDM in subtle ways that can be probed observationally. For example, modified gravity can alter the growth rate of cosmic structures, the lensing of light by large-scale matter distributions, and the propagation of gravitational waves [76] [128]. Observations of the cosmic microwave background, baryon acoustic oscillations, weak gravitational lensing, and the abundance of galaxy clusters provide powerful constraints on the parameters of these models [128]. Future experiments, such as the Nancy Grace Roman Space Telescope and the Euclid mission, are designed to make precision measurements of these effects, offering a chance to distinguish between dark energy and modified gravity [97]. The table below summarizes key features of some alternative theories.

Theory Type	Key Mechanism	Motivation
Scalar-Tensor (Brans-Dicke)	Introduces a scalar field that dynamically determines the gravitational constant (G), supplementing the metric tensor of GR [45] [96].	To provide a more complete implementation of Mach's Principle, linking local inertia to the global distribution of matter [48] [77].
f(R) Gravity	Generalizes the Einstein-Hilbert action by replacing the Ricci scalar (R) with an arbitrary function f(R) [127].	To generate late-time acceleration through modifications to the geometric (gravitational) sector of the field equations [70].
Higher-Dimensional Theories (e.g., DGP)	Proposes that gravity becomes weaker on cosmological scales due to leakage into extra spatial dimensions [1].	To explain cosmic acceleration as an effect of our universe being a 3-dimensional brane embedded in a higher-dimensional bulk spacetime.
Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND)	Modifies Newton's law of gravity to become repulsive at extremely low accelerations ($a_0 \sim 10^{-10}$ m/s²) [1].	To explain galaxy rotation curves without invoking dark matter, as a phenomenological alternative [1].

While these theories offer compelling alternatives, they face their own challenges. Many struggle to simultaneously explain cosmic acceleration, pass stringent solar system tests, and maintain stability against small perturbations [126]. The development of these models demonstrates a persistent drive in theoretical physics to find a more fundamental or "natural" explanation for cosmic phenomena, rooted in the laws of gravity themselves rather than in the introduction of poorly understood exotic components. The ongoing competition between the ΛCDM paradigm and modified gravity models serves as a vital engine for progress in cosmology, pushing the boundaries of both theoretical creativity and observational precision.

The Ultimate Fate: Projections and Open Questions

The cumulative weight of observational evidence and theoretical modeling points toward a specific evolutionary path for the universe, with profound implications for its ultimate fate. The cosmic timeline, as described by the ΛCDM model, appears to follow a distinct sequence of epochs. Following a theorized period of rapid inflation, the universe entered a radiation-dominated era, which was succeeded by a matter-dominated era lasting billions of years. During this matter-dominated phase, the mutual gravitational attraction of all matter slowed the expansion of the universe [70]. However, roughly 5 billion years ago, as the density of matter had diluted through expansion, a phase transition occurred. The repulsive effect of dark energy, modeled as a cosmological constant, began to dominate over the attractive force of gravity. This marked the beginning of the current dark-energy-dominated era, characterized by an accelerating expansion [70]. The future trajectory of the universe hinges almost entirely on the nature of this dark energy. If dark energy remains a cosmological constant with a constant equation of state parameter $w=-1$, the universe will continue to expand forever at an ever-increasing rate. Galaxies beyond our local group will accelerate away from us, eventually disappearing beyond a cosmological event horizon. Over immense timescales, stars will burn out, black holes will evaporate via Hawking radiation, and the universe will cool towards absolute zero, a scenario known as the Big Freeze or Heat Death [1]. An alternative, more dramatic fate, the Big Rip, would occur if dark energy's strength increases over time, corresponding to an equation of state with w < -1 . In this case, the repulsive force would grow stronger and stronger, eventually overcoming the electromagnetic forces holding atoms together, then the nuclear forces holding nuclei together, and finally tearing apart spacetime itself in a final catastrophic rip [1]. Conversely, a Big Crunch, where gravity eventually overcomes dark energy and recollapses the universe into a singularity, is strongly disfavored by the supernova data, which indicates a net positive acceleration [58].

Despite the predictive power of the ΛCDM model, its reliance on two mysterious components—dark energy and cold dark matter—leaves significant gaps in our understanding. Moreover, recent high-precision observations have revealed discrepancies between different measurements of the universe's fundamental parameters, hinting that our standard model may be incomplete. The most prominent of these is the "Hubble tension." This refers to the statistically significant disagreement between the locally measured value of the Hubble constant (H_0) and the value inferred from observations of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) under the assumption of the ΛCDM model [108]. Local measurements, using the cosmic distance ladder from Cepheid variables to Type Ia supernovae, yield a higher value for H_0 (around 73 km/s/Mpc) than the value extrapolated from Planck satellite data of the early universe (around 67 km/s/Mpc) [108]. This tension, which stands at a significance level of several sigma, cannot be easily explained by conventional systematic errors and suggests the possible need for new physics, such as early dark energy, sterile neutrinos, or modifications to gravity [110]. A related issue is the "S_8 tension," which concerns the amplitude of matter fluctuations in the late universe. Measurements from weak gravitational lensing and galaxy clustering tend to prefer a lower value of S_8 (around 0.78) than the value inferred from the CMB and the standard ΛCDM model (around 0.83) [110]. The simultaneous presence of the H_0 and S_8 tensions strengthens the argument that the ΛCDM model, while an excellent approximation, may be an effective theory that breaks down at some level. These inconsistencies serve as signposts, guiding theoretical efforts toward a more fundamental understanding of gravity, dark energy, and the earliest moments of the cosmos. They suggest that the journey from Friedmann's equations to a complete theory of the universe is far from over, and that the next paradigm shift in cosmology may arise from resolving these deep-seated tensions between observation and theory.

Reference

1. General Relativity and Cosmology: Unsolved Questions ... https://www.mdpi.com/2218-1997/2/4/23

2. The Confrontation between General Relativity and ... https://arxiv.org/pdf/gr-qc/0103036

3. Robert H. Dicke, 1916-1997 | Department of Physics https://phy.princeton.edu/department/history/faculty-history/robert-dicke

4. arXiv:astro-ph/9812133v1 8 Dec 1998 http://arxiv.org/pdf/astro-ph/9812133

5. The Cosmic Triangle: Assessing the State of the Universe https://arxiv.org/pdf/astro-ph/9906463

6. The Unsettled Number: Hubble's Tension https://www.mdpi.com/2218-1997/9/12/501

7. Early Philosophical Interpretations of General Relativity https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/genrel-early/

8. On the History of Unified Field Theories - Springer Link https://link.springer.com/article/10.12942/lrr-2004-2

9. Hubble, E.P. (1929) A Relation between Distance and ... https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2834143

10. The Discovery of the Expansion of the Universe https://www.mdpi.com/2075-4434/6/4/132

11. (PDF) Einstein's 1917 Static Model of the Universe https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312907947_Einstein's_1917_Static_Model_of_the_Universe_A_Centennial_Review

12. Alexander Friedman and the Origins of Modern Cosmology https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235360338_The_Waters_I_am_Entering_No_One_yet_Has_Crossed_Alexander_Friedmanand_the_Origins_of_Modern_Cosmology

13. Friedman, A. (1922) Zeitschrift für Physik, 10, 377-386. https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3424355

14. [PDF] Einstein's 1917 static model of the universe https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Einstein%E2%80%99s-1917-static-model-of-the-universe%3A-a-O%E2%80%99Raifeartaigh-O%27Keeffe/2368242aacab68ce98b8c53f1b7478b6e74f7644

15. Tolman, R.C. (1934) Relativity, Thermodynamics, and ... https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3079826

16. Tolman. Relativity, Thermodynamics, and Cosmology PDF https://www.scribd.com/document/469722423/Tolman-Relativity-thermodynamics-and-cosmology-pdf

17. Cyclic models of the relativistic universe: the early history https://arxiv.org/pdf/1308.0932

18. Tolman, R.C. (1934) Relativity, Thermodynamics and ... https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2731124

19. Authentification of Einstein's Static Universe of 1917 https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=52080

20. Relativity Thermodynamics and Cosmology https://www.amazon.com/Relativity-Thermodynamics-Cosmology-Tolman-RC/dp/B0000EGMXW

21. (PDF) The expanding universe of Georges Lema?tre https://www.researchgate.net/publication/315460525_The_expanding_universe_of_Georges_Lematre

22. Lemaître and the Origin of the Primeval-Atom Universe https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00033790701317692

23. Lemaître's Big Bang https://arxiv.org/pdf/1503.08304

24. GEORGES LEMAÎTRE'S CONTRIBUTION TO THE ... https://www.jstor.org/stable/43407730

25. [PDF] Einstein's cosmic model of 1931 revisited https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/8e295b36195662215edde2766592dbbba6094620

26. Einstein's legacy: Relativistic cosmology https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/291536568.pdf

27. (PDF) The expanding universe of Georges Lemaître https://www.academia.edu/144572745/The_expanding_universe_of_Georges_Lema%C3%AEtre

28. The expanding universe of Georges Lemaître - Oxford Academic https://academic.oup.com/astrogeo/article/58/2/2.28/3074085

29. Lemaître and the Origin of the Primeval-Atom Universe https://www.researchgate.net/publication/250892626_The_Context_of_Discovery_Lemaitre_and_the_Origin_of_the_Primeval-Atom_Universe

30. Historical and Philosophical Reflections on the Einstein-de ... https://arxiv.org/pdf/2008.13501

31. Georges Lemaıtre: Life, Science and Legacy - Springer Link https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-3-642-32254-9.pdf

32. Relativity, Thermodynamics and Cosmology (Dover Books ... https://www.amazon.com/Relativity-Thermodynamics-Cosmology-Dover-Physics/dp/0486653838

33. (PDF) Einstein's cosmic model of 1931: a translation and ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259212220_Einstein's_cosmic_model_of_1931_a_translation_and_analysis_of_a_forgotten_model_of_the_universe

34. Einstein's steady-state theory: an abandoned model of the ... https://www.academia.edu/12095029/Einstein_s_steady_state_theory_an_abandoned_model_of_the_cosmos

35. (PDF) One hundred years of the cosmological constant https://www.academia.edu/144572756/One_hundred_years_of_the_cosmological_constant_from_superfluous_stunt_to_dark_energy

36. a new perspective on the Einstein-de Sitter model of the cosmos https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjh/e2015-50061-y

37. Georges Lemaître, Pioneer of Modern Theoretical ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/325806909_Georges_Lemaitre_Pioneer_of_Modern_Theoretical_Cosmology

38. Simon A. Mitton, 'Georges Lemaître and the foundations of ... https://arxiv.org/pdf/2007.09459

39. A Homogeneous Universe of Constant Mass and ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/241270279_A_Homogeneous_Universe_of_Constant_Mass_and_Increasing_Radius_Accounting_for_the_Radial_Velocity_of_Extragalactic_Nebulae

40. Big Bang Models-LemaitrePlus-29 | PDF | Physical Cosmology https://de.scribd.com/document/318388780/Big-Bang-Models-LemaitrePlus-29

41. [1312.2192] Einstein's cosmic model of 1931 revisited https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.2192

42. Einstein's Oxford cosmology blackboards: open portals to 1931 https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjh/s13129-022-00046-9

43. Finding The Radiation from the Big Bang http://staff.ustc.edu.cn/~wzhao7/c_index_files/main.files/CMBbook.pdf

44. arXiv:1605.00326v2 [gr-qc] 4 May 2016 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1605.00326

45. The complete Brans–Dicke theories https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0003491616302652

46. Machs-Principle-and-a-Relativistic-Theory-of-Gravitation-II. ... https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Carl-Brans/publication/243700570_Mach's_Principle_and_a_Relativistic_Theory_of_Gravitation_II/links/57c6f64f08aefc4af34c783a/Machs-Principle-and-a-Relativistic-Theory-of-Gravitation-II.pdf

47. Brans, C.H. and Dicke, R.H. (1961) Physical Review, 124 ... https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2213989

48. The Higgs Field and the Jordan Brans Dicke Cosmology https://arxiv.org/pdf/2101.10854

49. Mach' Principle and a Relativistic Theory of Gravitation https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234263797_Mach'_Principle_and_a_Relativistic_Theory_of_Gravitation

50. (PDF) Jordan-Brans-Dicke Theory https://www.researchgate.net/publication/262997612_Jordan-Brans-Dicke_Theory

51. Brans-Dicke Cosmology in 4D from scalar-vacuum in 5D https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.1961

52. Quantum and Classical Cosmology in the Brans–Dicke ... https://www.mdpi.com/2218-1997/7/8/286

53. Reconsidering holographic dark energy in Brans–Dicke theory https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10093-7

54. [astro-ph/9805201] Observational Evidence from ... https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9805201

55. Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an ... https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1086/300499

56. (PDF) Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231006077_Observational_Evidence_from_Supernovae_for_an_Accelerating_Universe_and_a_Cosmological_Constant

57. Supernovae, an accelerating universe and the ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC33557/

58. Results from the High-z Supernova Search Team https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0370157398000520

59. Riess et al., Tests of the Accelerating Universe https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1086/308939/fulltext/

60. Riess, A.G. (1998) Observational Evidence from ... https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3073824

61. Perlmutter, S., et al. (1998) The Supernovae Cosmology ... https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2144144

62. [astro-ph/9812133] Measurements of Omega and Lambda ... https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9812133

63. Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae https://www.researchgate.net/publication/231060958_Measurements_of_O_and_L_from_42_High-Redshift_Supernovae

64. The dark energy survey supernova program https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/533/3/2615/7737665

65. Early-type Host Galaxies of Type Ia Supernovae. II. ... https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.3847/1538-4357/ab5afc

66. Implications for the Hubble tension from the ages of ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214404822000398

67. arXiv:astro-ph/9812133v1 8 Dec 1998 https://arxiv.org/pdf/astro-ph/9812133

68. Supernova constraints on alternative models to dark energy https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/352/3/847/1212188

69. PDF, A Supernova Brane Scan https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1086/323832/pdf

70. From inflation to late-time acceleration without an inflaton ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269322000739

71. Considering light–matter interactions in the Friedmann ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9066607/

72. A New Solution of the Friedman Equations (latest version ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355735020_A_New_Solution_of_the_Friedman_Equations_latest_version_with_clearer_diagrams

73. Testing general relativity in cosmology - PMC https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6299071/

74. arXiv:0805.1726v2 [gr-qc] 24 May 2008 https://www.arxiv.org/pdf/0805.1726v2

75. Testing gravity with the two-body problem https://hal.science/tel-03597343v1/file/200917_KUNTZ_120yaciyh389u807yshwbw219stwr_TH.pdf

76. Review Articles 1991-2023 - Classical and Quantum Gravity https://iopscience.iop.org/journal/1361-6382/page/Review-Articles-1991-2023

77. arXiv:gr-qc/0506063v1 10 Jun 2005 https://arxiv.org/pdf/gr--qc/0506063

78. Varying Constants and the Brans-Dicke Theory https://arxiv.org/pdf/2312.04260

79. The Brans-Dicke scalar field in Einstein-Cartan theory https://www.researchgate.net/publication/28603986_The_Brans-Dicke_scalar_field_in_Einstein-Cartan_theory

80. Spherical inhomogeneous solutions of Einstein and scalar ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0370157321001599

81. Riess, A.G., et al. (1998) Observational Evidence from ... https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3582871

82. A. G. Riess, A. V. Filippenko, P. Challis, et al. Observational ... https://www.hanspub.org/reference/referencepapers?referenceid=10453

83. Riess et al., Evidence for an Accelerating Universe https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1086/300499/fulltext/980111.text.html

84. Riess, A.G., et al. (1998) Observational Evidence from ... https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=3473039

85. Antigravity—Its Manifestations and Origin https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=36509

86. 一种非最小耦合暗能量模型的研究 https://www.hanspub.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=27645

87. Accelerating universe with decreasing gravitational constant https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S101836471931852X

88. Quantum Compression Theory — Effective Field Formalism ... https://zenodo.org/records/15265353

89. Cosmological Relativity: The Special and General Theories ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/252975909_Cosmological_Relativity_The_Special_and_General_Theories_for_the_Structure_of_the_Universe

90. Cosmological dynamics of matter creation with modified ... https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjc/s10052-025-15227-1

91. (PDF) Brans-Dicke theory: Jordan vs Einstein Frame https://www.researchgate.net/publication/1971205_Brans-Dicke_theory_Jordan_vs_Einstein_Frame

92. arXiv:1311.5459v1 [gr-qc] 21 Nov 2013 https://arxiv.org/pdf/1311.5459

93. Brans-Dicke Theory for Physicists | PDF | General Relativity https://www.scribd.com/document/193523601/1312-4641

94. Classical and quantum cosmology for two scalar field Brans ... https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjc/s10052-024-12441-1

95. The Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation https://resolve.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/486DBE554CE468DE53B0B3E6FB76E187/9780511535093c2_p37-60_CBO.pdf/prototype_bransdicke_model.pdf

96. Theoretical Cosmology - an overview https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/physics-and-astronomy/theoretical-cosmology

97. FUTURE TYPE Ia SUPERNOVA DATA AS TESTS OF ... https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1086/376899/pdf

98. (PDF) New Constraints on ΩM, $\Omega \Lambda$, and w from an ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/230933048_New_Constraints_on_OM_OL_and_w_from_an_Independent_Set_of_11_High-Redshift_Supernovae_Observed_with_the_Hubble_Space_Telescope

99. The Case for an Accelerating Universe from Supernovae https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.1086/316624

100. arXiv:astro-ph/0302064v2 9 Jun 2003 https://arxiv.org/pdf/astro-ph/0302064

101. Earth-mass dark-matter haloes as the first structures in the ... https://arxiv.org/pdf/astro-ph/0501589

102. Evolution of the Universe in Scalar-Tensor Gravity https://pdf.hanspub.org/mp20170600000_37621681.pdf

103. Possible evidences from H(z) parameter data for physics ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1384107623001811

104. (PDF) Solving Friedmann equations https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381670158_Solving_Friedmann_equations

105. Derivation of Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian [duplicate] https://physics.stackexchange.com/questions/726582/derivation-of-einstein-hilbert-lagrangian

106. 100 years of mathematical cosmology: Models, theories, ... https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.16443

107. 100 years of mathematical cosmology: Models, theories ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9251486/

108. H 0 - tension in the Pantheon Supernovae Ia catalog https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214404824001447

109. Do supernovae indicate an accelerating universe? https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjs/s11734-021-00199-6

110. Examining growth dynamics and addressing the S 8 tension https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1475-7516/2025/08/089/meta

111. Constraints on the general solutions of Einstein ... https://www.academia.edu/120398650/Constraints_on_the_general_solutions_of_Einstein_cosmological_equations_by_Hubble_parameter_times_cosmic_age_a_historical_perspective

112. Cosmological transition epoch from gamma-ray burst ... https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2214404824000326

113. Noether analysis of Scalar–Tensor Cosmology https://arxiv.org/pdf/1410.0802

114. Gravitational wave luminosity distance-weighted anisotropies https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1475-7516/2024/10/087/meta

115. The Cosmological Constant Is Not Dark Energy https://www.researchgate.net/publication/375019779_The_Cosmological_Constant_Is_Not_Dark_Energy

116. A Cosmological Model Based on a Quadratic Equation of ... https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1155/2013/682451

117. Testing general relativity in cosmology - Springer Link https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s41114-018-0017-4.pdf

118. Perlmutter, S., et al. (1999) Measurements of Omega and ... https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2841383

119. S. Perlmutter, G. Aldering, G. Goldhaber, et al. ... https://www.hanspub.org/reference/referencepapers?referenceid=10454

120. Perlmutter, S., et al. (1999) Measurements of Ω and Λ from ... https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2833251

121. Measurements of Omega and Lambda from High-Redshift ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234421304_Measurements_of_Omega_and_Lambda_from_High-Redshift_Supernovae

122. Gravitational waves in Brans–Dicke theory with ... - Springer Link https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09123-7

123. Mimetic Gravity: A Review of Recent Developments and ... https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1155/2017/3156915

124. On the History of Unified Field Theories. Part II. (ca. 1930– ... https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5255905/

125. [gr-qc/9908075] Brans-Dicke-type theories and avoidance ... https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9908075

126. Stability of Einstein static universe in gravity theory with a non ... https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-5533-6

127. f(R) Theories - PMC - PubMed Central https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5255939/

128. Modified gravity models of dark energy http://staff.ustc.edu.cn/~wzhao7/c_index_files/main.files/modifiedgravity.pdf