

CS536 Science of Programming
Fall 2024
Assignment 2 Sample Solution Sketches

1. (a) $\sigma \models \exists x \in S.p$ means for **this** state σ and for **some** $\alpha \in S$, it is the case that $\sigma[x \mapsto \alpha] \models p$.
 (b) $\sigma \models \forall x \in S.p$ means for **this** state σ and for **all** $\alpha \in S$, it is the case that $\sigma[x \mapsto \alpha] \models p$.
 (c) $\sigma \not\models \exists x \in S.p$ means for **this** state σ and for **all** $\alpha \in S$, it is the case that $\sigma[x \mapsto \alpha] \not\models p$.
 (d) $\sigma \not\models \forall x \in S.p$ means for **this** state σ and for **some** $\alpha \in S$, it is the case that $\sigma[x \mapsto \alpha] \not\models p$.
 (e) $\models \exists x \in S.p$ means for **all** state σ , it is the case that $\sigma \models \exists x \in S.p$.
 (f) $\models \forall x \in S.p$ means for **all** state σ , it is the case that $\sigma \models \forall x \in S.p$.
 (g) $\not\models \exists x \in S.p$ means for **some** state σ , it is the case that $\sigma \not\models \exists x \in S.p$.
 (h) $\not\models \forall x \in S.p$ means for **some** state σ , it is the case that $\sigma \not\models \forall x \in S.p$.
 2. (a) True. $\{x = 2, y = 3\}(x < 2) = F$, and by the absurdity rule, False implies any predicate is True.
 (b) False. Denote $\beta = (2, 5, 4, 8)$, the sequence of values bound with b . For all m between 0 and 3, we have $\beta(m) \geq 2$.
 (c) False. If there exists such y , then $b[0]$, $b[1]$ and y are all evaluated to the same value in the given state; however we can see that $b[0]$, $b[1]$ are bound with different values in the given state.
 (d) False. For witness, we can find value 100 for x and 0 for k , then $\{x = 1, b = (5, 3, 6)\}[x \mapsto 100][k \mapsto 0](x < b[k]) = (100 < 5) = F$.
 3. (a) $i := 0$; **while** $i < \text{size}(b)$ **do** $b[i] := i$; $i := i + 1$ **od**
 (b) **while** $x \neq 1$ **do** **if** $x \% 2 = 0$ **then** $x := x/2$ **else** $x := x + 1$ **fi od**
 (c) $m := 8$; $p := 1$; $y := 1$; $m := m + 1$; **while** $m < 20$ **do** $p := p * y$; $y := y + 1$; $m := m + 1$ **od**
 4. (a)

$\langle \text{if } x < 2 \text{ then } x := y + 1, w := x + 2 \text{ fi}, \{x = 3, y = 3, w = 4\} \rangle$
 $\rightarrow \langle \text{skip}, \{x = 3, y = 3, w = 4\} \rangle$
 $\rightarrow \langle E, \{x = 3, y = 3, w = 4\} \rangle$

(b) Let us denote $W \equiv \mathbf{while} \ x < 2 \ \mathbf{do} \ x := y + 1, w := x + 2 \ \mathbf{od} \ .$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle W, \{x = 1, y = 3, w = 4\} \rangle \\
& \rightarrow \langle x := y + 1, w := x + 2; W, \{x = 1, y = 3, w = 4\} \rangle \\
& \rightarrow \langle w := x + 2; W, \{x = 4, y = 3, w = 4\} \rangle \\
& \rightarrow \langle W, \{x = 4, y = 3, w = 6\} \rangle \\
& \rightarrow \langle E, \{x = 4, y = 3, w = 6\} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle x := y + 1; y := x + 1, \sigma \rangle \\
& \rightarrow \langle y := x + 1, \sigma[x \mapsto \sigma(y) + 1] \rangle \\
& \rightarrow \langle E, \sigma[x \mapsto \sigma(y) + 1][y \mapsto \sigma[x \mapsto \sigma(y) + 1](x) + 1] \rangle \\
& = \langle E, \sigma[x \mapsto \sigma(y) + 1][y \mapsto \sigma(y) + 2] \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

5. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle W, \sigma_1 \rangle \\
& \rightarrow \langle S; W, \sigma_1 \rangle && \text{because } \sigma_1(x) > \sigma_1(y) \\
& \rightarrow \langle y := -2 * x; W, \sigma_1 \rangle && \text{because } \sigma_1(x) \leq 0 \\
& \rightarrow \langle W, \sigma_1[y \mapsto -2 * \sigma_1(x)] \rangle \\
& \rightarrow \langle E, \sigma_1[y \mapsto -2 * \sigma_1(x)] \rangle && \text{because } \sigma(x) \leq 0 \text{ and } \sigma_1[y \mapsto -2 * \sigma_1(x)](y) \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle W, \sigma_2 \rangle \\
& \rightarrow^* \langle W, \sigma_2[x \mapsto \sigma_2(x) + 1] \rangle && \text{because } \sigma_2(x) > \sigma_2(y) \text{ and } \sigma_2(x) > 0 \\
& \rightarrow^* \langle W, \sigma_2[x \mapsto \sigma_2(x) + 2] \rangle \\
& \rightarrow^* \langle E, \perp_d \rangle && \text{the evaluation of } x - y \text{ can only get larger}
\end{aligned}$$

6. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
& M(S, \tau) \\
& = M(y := y * x, \tau[x \mapsto \tau(x) + 1]) \\
& = M(E, \tau[x \mapsto \tau(x) + 1][y \mapsto \tau[x \mapsto \tau(x) + 1](y * x)]) \\
& = \{\tau[x \mapsto \tau(x) + 1][y \mapsto \tau[x \mapsto \tau(x) + 1](y * x)]\} \\
& = \{\tau[x \mapsto \tau(x) + 1][y \mapsto \tau(y) * (\tau(x) + 1)]\}
\end{aligned}$$

(b) $M(W, \sigma) = \{\sigma\}$, because $\sigma(x < 3) = F$.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
& M(W, \sigma) \\
&= M(x := x + 1; y := y * x; W, \sigma) && \text{because } \sigma(x < 3) = T \\
&= M(y := y * x; W, \sigma[x \mapsto 2]) \\
&= M(W, \sigma[x \mapsto 2][y \mapsto 2]) \\
&= M(W, \sigma[x \mapsto 3][y \mapsto 6]) && \text{after another iteration} \\
&= \{\sigma[x \mapsto 3][y \mapsto 6]\}
\end{aligned}$$

7. (a) $M(S, \sigma) = M(x := y/x, \sigma) = M(E, \sigma[x \mapsto \sigma(y/x)]) = \{\sigma[x \mapsto 0]\}$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
& M(W, \sigma) && \sigma = \{x = 2, y = 2, b = (0, 1, 2)\} \\
&= M(S; W, \sigma) && \sigma(x > 0) = T \\
&= M(x := x - 1; y := b[y]; W, \sigma) && \sigma(x < y) = F \\
&= M(W, \sigma[x \mapsto 1][y \mapsto 2]) \\
&= M(S; W, \sigma[x \mapsto 1][y \mapsto 2]) && \sigma[x \mapsto 1][y \mapsto 2](x > 0) = T \\
&= M(x := y/x; W, \sigma[x \mapsto 1][y \mapsto 2]) && \sigma[x \mapsto 1][y \mapsto 2](x < y) = T \\
&= M(W, \{x = 2, y = 2, b = (0, 1, 2)\}) \\
&= \{\perp_d\} && \text{the same configuration appears again}
\end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
& M(W, \sigma) \\
&= M(W, \{x = 8, y = 2, b = (4, 2, 0)\}) && \text{after the first iteration} \\
&= M(W, \{x = 7, y = 0, b = (4, 2, 0)\}) && \text{after the second iteration} \\
&= M(W, \{x = 6, y = 4, b = (4, 2, 0)\}) && \text{after another iteration} \\
&= \{\perp_e\} && \text{array index out of bounds}
\end{aligned}$$

- (d) There is no such state. The only division operation y/x appears in the if condition of statement S , and we evaluate y/x immediately after we enters an iteration of W with $x < y$ evaluated to *True*. Thus, whenever we evaluate y/x , we must have the evaluation of $x > 0$ equals *True*.

8. To have $M(S, \sigma) = \{\perp_e\}$, we need state σ with:

- either $\sigma(x) < 0$, because of a “square root of negative number” error;
- or $\sigma(y) < 0$ or $\sigma(y) \geq 4$, because of a “array index out of bound” error;
- or $\sigma(y) = 1$, because of a “division by 0” error.

9. (a) False. A pseudo state does not satisfy any predicate, even if the predicate is valid.

- (b) True. A pseudo state does not satisfy any predicate.

- (c) False. If $\sigma(p) \neq \perp$, then we can say $\sigma(p) = T$ or $\sigma(p) = F$, we do not necessarily have $\sigma \models p$.

- (d) True. If $\sigma(p) = \perp$, then $\sigma(\neg p) = \perp$; thus, there exists some state, aka σ , that does not satisfy $\neg p$.
 - (e) True. If $\models p$, then all states (that are proper for p) satisfy p ; in other words, there does not exist any state (that is proper for p) that does not satisfy p .
10. (a) True. Since $\Sigma_0 \models p$, then $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_0. \sigma \models p$. We also have $\tau \models p$, so $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_0 \cup \{\tau\}. \sigma \models p$; thus, $\Sigma_0 \cup \{\tau\} \models p$.
- (b) True. No matter what p is, we always have that each state in \emptyset satisfies p , since there are no states in \emptyset .
- (c) False. It is possible that $\tau(p) = \perp$.
- (d) False. For any state $\tau \in \Sigma_0$, it is possible that $\tau(p) = \perp$. Even if every state τ can evaluate p to T or F , the statements is still not true, since we might have some states in Σ_0 satisfy p and the others satisfy $\neg p$.
- (e) True. Since $\sigma_1 \models p_1$ and $\sigma_1(p_2) \neq \perp$, thus $\sigma_1 \models p_1 \vee p_2$. A similar statement also holds for σ_2 , so $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} \models p_1 \vee p_2$.