

**CS536 Science of Programming**  
**Fall 2024**  
**Assignment 4 Sample Solution Sketches**

1. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 p[y + z/x] &\equiv \left( w * x \neq 0 \wedge z \leq 2 \rightarrow f(w) > 0 \wedge \forall x. \exists y. 0 \leq y \leq x \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \wedge f(w \div x) + y > f(z) \right) [y + z/x] \\
 &\equiv w * (y + z) \neq 0 \wedge z \leq 2 \rightarrow f(w) > 0 \wedge \forall x. \exists y. 0 \leq y \leq x \\
 &\quad \wedge f(w \div x) + y > f(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 p[x + z/w] &\equiv \left( w * x \neq 0 \wedge z \leq 2 \rightarrow f(w) > 0 \wedge \forall x. \exists y. 0 \leq y \leq x \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \wedge f(w \div x) + y > f(z) \right) [x + z/w] \\
 &\equiv (x + z) * x \neq 0 \wedge z \leq 2 \rightarrow f(x + z) > 0 \wedge \left( \forall x_0. \exists y. 0 \leq y \leq x_0 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \wedge f(w \div x_0) + y > f(z) \right) [x + z/w] \\
 &\equiv (x + z) * x \neq 0 \wedge z \leq 2 \rightarrow f(x + z) > 0 \wedge \forall x_0. \exists y. 0 \leq y \leq x_0 \\
 &\quad \wedge f((x + z) \div x_0) + y > f(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
 p[x + y/z] &\equiv \left( w * x \neq 0 \wedge z \leq 2 \rightarrow f(w) > 0 \wedge \forall x. \exists y. 0 \leq y \leq x \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \wedge f(w \div x) + y > f(z) \right) [x + y/z] \\
 &\equiv w * x \neq 0 \wedge (x + y) \leq 2 \rightarrow f(w) > 0 \wedge \left( \forall x_0. \exists y_0. 0 \leq y_0 \leq x_0 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \wedge f(w \div x_0) + y_0 > f(z) \right) [x + y/z] \\
 &\equiv w * x \neq 0 \wedge (x + y) \leq 2 \rightarrow f(w) > 0 \wedge \forall x_0. \exists y_0. 0 \leq y_0 \leq x_0 \\
 &\quad \wedge f(w \div x_0) + y_0 > f(x + y)
 \end{aligned}$$

2. (a) One of the examples can be:

$$(x * y)[2 / x][4 / y] \equiv (x * y)[4 / y][2 / x]$$

They both are syntactically equivalent to  $2 * 4$ , so they are syntactically equivalent to each other. The key here is to choose an expression  $e$  containing no  $y$  and an expression  $e'$  containing no  $x$ .

(b) One of the counterexamples can be:

$$(x * y)[y / x][2 / y] \not\equiv (x * y)[2 / y][y / x]$$

Because  $(x * y)[y / x][2 / y] \equiv (y * y)[2 / y] \equiv 2 * 2$  and  $(x * y)[2 / y][y / x] \equiv (x * 2)[y / x] \equiv y * 2$ , so they are not syntactically equivalent to each other. The key here is to choose an expression  $e$  containing  $y$  and/or an expression  $e'$  containing  $x$ .

3. (a) From the definition of weakest liberal precondition, we have that  $p \Leftrightarrow wlp(S, q)$  logically implies that  $\models \{p\}S\{q\}$ ; which logically implies that  $sp(p, S) \Rightarrow q$ .  
(b) A counterexample can be  $S \equiv x := x * x$  and  $q \equiv x < 1$ . We can calculate  $wlp(S, q) \equiv x * x < 1 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ . But,  $sp(x = 0, x := x * x) \equiv (x_0 = 0 \wedge x = x_0 * x_0) \Rightarrow x = 0$ , which is strictly stronger than  $x < 1$ .
4. (a) False.  $s \Leftrightarrow sp(p, S)$  logically implies that  $\models \{p\}S\{s\}$ , but not that  $\models_{tot} \{p\}S\{s\}$ . It is possible that  $\perp \in M(S, \sigma)$  for some  $\sigma \models p$ .  
(b) False.  $s \Leftrightarrow sp(p, S)$  logically implies that  $\models \{p\}S\{s\}$ ; which means for all state  $\sigma$ , it is the case that  $\sigma \models \{p\}S\{s\}$   
(c) False. For some state  $\sigma \models p$ , it is possible that  $\perp \in M(S, \sigma)$ , then  $M(S, \sigma) \not\models s$ .  
(d) False. Even if  $M(S, \sigma) \not\models s$ , it is still possible that  $s \not\models p$ .  
(e) False. If  $\sigma \not\models p$ , we do not know anything between  $M(S, \sigma)$  and  $s$ .
5. Denote  $IF \equiv \mathbf{if} \ x \geq 0 \rightarrow x := y + 1; z := x \ \square \ x \leq 0 \rightarrow y := x - 1; z := y \ \mathbf{fi}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& aged(x = y, IF) = \{x, y, z\} \cap \{x, y\} = \{x, y\}. \\
& sp(x = y, IF) \\
& \equiv sp(x = y \wedge x = x_0 \wedge y = y_0 \wedge x \geq 0, \ x := y + 1; z := x) \\
& \quad \vee sp(x = y \wedge x = x_0 \wedge y = y_0 \wedge x \leq 0, \ y := x - 1; z := y) \\
& \equiv sp(x_0 = y \wedge x_0 = x_0 \wedge y = y_0 \wedge x_0 \geq 0 \wedge x = y + 1, \ z := x) \\
& \quad \vee sp(x = y_0 \wedge x = x_0 \wedge y_0 = y_0 \wedge x \leq 0 \wedge y = x - 1, \ z := y) \\
& \equiv (x_0 = y \wedge x_0 = x_0 \wedge y = y_0 \wedge x_0 \geq 0 \wedge x = y + 1 \wedge z = x) \\
& \quad \vee (x = y_0 \wedge x = x_0 \wedge y_0 = y_0 \wedge x \leq 0 \wedge y = x - 1 \wedge z = y)
\end{aligned}$$

6.

$$\begin{aligned}
& sp(y = x + 1, \ y := y + 1; \mathbf{if} \ x < 0 \mathbf{then} \ y := -y \ \mathbf{fi}) \\
& \equiv sp(y_0 = x + 1 \wedge y = y_0 + 1, \ \mathbf{if} \ x < 0 \mathbf{then} \ y := -y \ \mathbf{else} \ \mathbf{skip} \ \mathbf{fi}) \\
& \quad \# aged(y_0 = x + 1 \wedge y = y_0 + 1, \ \mathbf{if} \ x < 0 \mathbf{then} \ y := -y \ \mathbf{else} \ \mathbf{skip} \ \mathbf{fi}) = \{y\} \\
& \equiv sp(y_0 = x + 1 \wedge y_1 = y_0 + 1 \wedge y = y_1 \wedge x < 0, \ y := -y) \\
& \quad \vee sp(y_0 = x + 1 \wedge y_1 = y_0 + 1 \wedge y = y_1 \wedge x \geq 0, \ \mathbf{skip}) \\
& \equiv (y_0 = x + 1 \wedge y_1 = y_0 + 1 \wedge y_1 = y_1 \wedge x < 0 \wedge y = -y_1) \\
& \quad \vee (y_0 = x + 1 \wedge y_1 = y_0 + 1 \wedge y = y_1 \wedge x \geq 0)
\end{aligned}$$

7. We can give the following formal proof.

1	$\{p \wedge B\} S_1 \{q_1\}$	premise
2	$\{p \wedge \neg B\} S_2 \{q_2\}$	premise
3	$\{(B \rightarrow p \wedge B) \wedge (\neg B \rightarrow p \wedge \neg B)\} \text{ if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \text{ fi } \{q_1 \vee q_2\}$	if-else 1,2
4	$(B \rightarrow p \wedge B) \wedge (\neg B \rightarrow p \wedge \neg B) \Leftrightarrow (B \wedge (p \wedge B)) \vee (\neg B \wedge (p \wedge \neg B))$	predicate logic
5	$(B \wedge (p \wedge B)) \vee (\neg B \wedge (p \wedge \neg B)) \Leftrightarrow p$	predicate logic
	$\# LHS \Leftrightarrow p \wedge B \vee p \wedge \neg B \Leftrightarrow p \wedge (B \vee \neg B) \Leftrightarrow p$	
6	$\{p\} \text{ if } B \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2 \text{ fi } \{q_1 \vee q_2\}$	strengthen precondition 5,3

8.

$$wlp(x := x * x; y := 2 * y, x = y) \equiv wlp(x := x * x, x = 2 * y) \equiv x * x = 2 * y$$

We can give the following formal proof.

1	$\{x = 2 * y\} y := 2 * y \{x = y\}$	backward assignment
2	$\{x * x = 2 * y\} x := x * x \{x = 2 * y\}$	backward assignment
3	$\{x * x = 2 * y\} x := x * x; y := 2 * y \{x = y\}$	sequence 2,1

9.  $p_1 : x = 2^k \wedge k < n$

$$p_2 : x_0 = 2^k \wedge k < n \wedge x = x_0 * 2$$

$R_1$  : forward assignment

$$p_3 : x_0 = 2^{k_0} \wedge k_0 < n \wedge x = x_0 * 2 \wedge k = k_0 + 1$$

$R_2$  : forward assignment

$R_3$  : sequence 1,2

$R_4$  : strengthen precondition 3,4

$$p_4 : p \wedge k \geq n$$

$R_5$  : loop 5

10.  $R_1$  : forward assignment

$R_2$  : forward assignment

$R_3$  : weaken postcondition 2,3

$R_4$  : sequence 1,4

$R_5$  : backward assignment

$R_6$  : backward assignment

$R_7$  : strengthen precondition 8,7

$R_8$  : sequence 9,6

$R_9$  : loop 10

$R_{10}$  : sequence 5,11

$R_{11}$  : weaken postcondition 12,13