

Assume standard complexity theoretic assumptions for all the questions.

Recall the PTAS for the problem of job scheduling over multiple parallel machines discussed in class. This involved a subroutine that would take a target  $T$  and any natural number  $k$ , and either output a schedule which obtains a makespan of  $(1 + \frac{1}{k}) \cdot T$ , or report that no schedule exists with makespan at most  $T$ . A job  $j$  is considered short if  $p_j < \frac{T}{k}$ . Which of the following is an INCORRECT step?

- (a) Define a job  $j$  to be long if  $p_j \geq \frac{T}{k}$ , otherwise call  $j$  as short.
- (b) The processing time of all jobs are rounded to nearest integral multiple of  $\frac{T}{k}$ .
- (c) There are at most  $(k+1)^{k^2}$  distinct configurations.
- (d) The running time of the dynamic programming algorithm is  $O(n^{k^2} \cdot (k+1)^{k^2})$

b.

Which of the following best describes the Bin Packing problem?

- (a) Given  $n$  items with sizes  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ , and profits  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ , the computational task is to find a subset of items that maximizes the profit and fit in a bin of given size  $s$ .
- (b) Given a set of  $n$  non-negative integers and a value  $s$ , the computational task is to print the subset of the given set whose sum is equal to the given value  $s$ .
- (c) Given  $n$  items with sizes  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ , and costs  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$ , for all  $i \in [n]$ , the computational task is to find a subset of items that minimizes the cost and also fits in a unit sized bin.
- (d) Given  $n$  items with sizes  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ , for all  $0 \leq s_i \leq 1$ , the computational task is to find a packing in unit-sized bins that minimizes the number of bins used.

d.

Which of the following is an INCORRECT statement?

- (a) Knapsack admits no FPTAS.
- (b) Bin Packing is an NP-hard problem.
- (c) Scheduling jobs on multiple machines admits no FPTAS.
- (d) There exists no  $\rho > 0$ , such that there exists a  $(\frac{3}{2} - \rho)$  factor approximation algorithm for Bin packing problem.

a.

Consider the given instance to the Bin Packing problem in Table 1.

Item	Size
$a_1$	0.3
$a_2$	0.2
$a_3$	0.4
$a_4$	0.2
$a_5$	0.2
$a_6$	0.5
$a_7$	0.2

Table 1: Question 4

Which of the following is true about the instance?

- (a) The minimum number of bins required is 3 but the instance is a no instance to the Partition problem.
- (b) The minimum number of bins required is 2 but the instance is a no instance to the Partition problem.
- (c) The minimum number of bins required is 2 and the instance is a yes instance to the Partition problem.
- (d) The minimum number of bins required is 3 and the instance is a yes instance to the Partition problem.

c.

Recall the APTAS algorithm for Bin Packing discussed in the lecture. Which of the following is true?

- (a) Any packing of large items of size greater than  $\gamma$  into  $l$  bins can be extended to a packing for the entire input with at least  $\max\{l, \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \cdot \text{SIZE}(I) + 1\}$  bins, where  $\text{SIZE}(I) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{size}_i$ .
- (b) If a new bin is opened, the minimum number of bins required to pack all items is at least  $l + 1$ .
- (c) If a new bin is opened, it can be observed that all of the existing bins have free space at least  $\gamma$ .
- (d) An asymptotic polynomial-time approximation scheme (APTAS) is a family of algorithms  $\{A_\epsilon\}$  along with a constant  $c$ , where there exists an algorithm  $A_\epsilon$  for each  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $A_\epsilon$  returns a solution of value at least  $(1 + \epsilon)\text{OPT} + c$  for minimization problems.

b.

What do you mean by a preemptive schedule?

- (a) A schedule where jobs cannot be interrupted once they start execution.
- (b) A schedule where jobs can be interrupted before their completion.
- (c) A schedule where jobs are executed strictly based on their arrival time.
- (d) A schedule where jobs are executed strictly based on their remaining processing time.

b.

Recall the Shortest remaining processing time algorithm with non-preemptive mode to schedule jobs on a single machine. Consider the releasing and processing time of the jobs given below. Compute the schedule and completion time for all jobs.

Job ID	Releasing time	Processing Time
J <sub>1</sub>	1	7
J <sub>2</sub>	2	5
J <sub>3</sub>	3	1
J <sub>4</sub>	4	2
J <sub>5</sub>	5	8

Table 2: Question 7

- (a)  $\langle J_1, J_2, J_3, J_4, J_5 \rangle$  and 23
- (b)  $\langle J_1, J_3, J_4, J_5, J_2 \rangle$  and 24
- (c)  $\langle J_1, J_3, J_4, J_5, J_2 \rangle$  and 23
- (d)  $\langle J_1, J_3, J_4, J_2, J_5 \rangle$  and 24

d.

Consider the statements given below in context to scheduling jobs on single machine. Which of the following are true?

- i. The goal is to minimize the average completion time for all jobs.
  - ii. A preemptive schedule can be computed in polynomial time that minimizes the completion time of all jobs.
  - iii. For a given set of  $n$  jobs, if a Shortest remaining processing time schedule completes a job  $j$  at  $C_j^P$ , then  $\sum_{j=1}^n C_j^P$  is at least OPT.
  - iv. For a given set of  $n$  jobs, if a Shortest remaining processing time schedule completes a job  $j$  at  $C_j^P$  in preemptive mode and  $C_j^N$  in non-preemptive mode then  $\sum_{j=1}^n C_j^P \leq 2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n C_j^N \leq 2 \cdot \text{OPT}$ .
  - v. There exists a 2-factor approximation algorithm to compute the non-preemptive schedule.
- (a) Only i, ii, iv and v are true
  - (b) Only ii, iii, and iv are true
  - (c) Only i, ii, and v are true
  - (d) All i, ii, iii, iv and v are true

c.

Recall the 3-approximation algorithm for scheduling jobs on a single machine with release dates to minimize the sum of weighted completion times discussed in the lecture. Which of the following is correct?

- (a)  $C_j^* \geq \frac{1}{2}p(j)$
- (b)  $\max_{k=1, \dots, j} r_k + \sum_{k=1}^j p_k \leq C_j^N$
- (c)  $C_j^N + p(j) \leq \max_{k=1, \dots, j} r_k \leq 3 \cdot C_j^*$
- (d)  $C_j^* \sum_{k \in S} p_k < C_j^* \cdot p(S) = \sum_{k \in S} p_k C_k^*$

a.

Consider the Integer Linear Programming given below:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize} \quad \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \\ & \text{subject to:} \\ & \quad \sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij} = 1, \quad \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ & \quad \sum_{j=1}^n w_j x_{ij} \leq y_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \\ & \quad x_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \forall j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ & \quad y_i \in \{0, 1\}, \quad \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \end{aligned}$$

The above integer linear programming is a formulation of

- (a) 0-1 Knapsack problem
- (b) Scheduling jobs on single machine
- (c) Minimizing sum of weighted completion times
- (d) Bin packing

d.