

Q1.a)

Client:

```
GNU nano 6.2 /etc/netplan/02-netcfg.yaml
network:
  version: 2
  renderer: networkd
  ethernets:
    enp0s8:
      addresses: [20.1.1.1/24]
      dhcp4: no
      gateway4: 20.1.1.2

abhirup@client:~$ ifconfig
enp0s3: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
    inet 10.0.2.15 netmask 255.255.255.0 broadcast 10.0.2.255
    inet6 fe80::a00:27ff:fe83:8794 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
    ether 08:00:27:83:87:94 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
    RX packets 7 bytes 2010 (2.0 KB)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 16 bytes 1816 (1.8 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0

enp0s8: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
    inet 20.1.1.1 netmask 255.255.255.0 broadcast 20.1.1.255
    inet6 fe80::a00:27ff:fe92:7735 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
    ether 08:00:27:92:77:35 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
    RX packets 0 bytes 0 (0.0 B)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 22 bytes 1506 (1.5 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0

lo: flags=73<UP,LOOPBACK,RUNNING> mtu 65536
    inet 127.0.0.1 netmask 255.0.0.0
    inet6 ::1 prefixlen 128 scopeid 0x10<host>
    loop txqueuelen 1000 (Local Loopback)
    RX packets 92 bytes 7348 (7.3 KB)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 92 bytes 7348 (7.3 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0

abhirup@client:~$ sudo ip route add 40.1.1.0/24 via 20.1.1.2
abhirup@client:~$ ip route show
default via 20.1.1.2 dev enp0s8 proto static
default via 10.0.2.2 dev enp0s3 proto dhcp src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
10.0.2.0/24 dev enp0s3 proto kernel scope link src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
10.0.2.2 dev enp0s3 proto dhcp scope link src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
20.1.1.0/24 dev enp0s8 proto kernel scope link src 20.1.1.1
40.1.1.0/24 via 20.1.1.2 dev enp0s8
192.168.1.1 via 10.0.2.2 dev enp0s3 proto dhcp src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
```

Server1:

```
GNU nano 6.2 /etc/netplan/02-netcfg.yaml
network:
  version: 2
  renderer: networkd
  ethernets:
    enp0s8:
      addresses: [40.1.1.1/24]
      dhcp4: no
      gateway4: 40.1.1.2
```

```
abhirup@server1:~$ ifconfig
enp0s3: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
    inet 10.0.2.15 netmask 255.255.255.0 broadcast 10.0.2.255
    inet6 fe80::a00:27ff:fe35:47f8 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
    ether 08:00:27:35:47:f8 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
    RX packets 33 bytes 7014 (7.0 KB)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 64 bytes 5946 (5.9 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0

enp0s8: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
    inet 40.1.1.1 netmask 255.255.255.0 broadcast 40.1.1.255
    inet6 fe80::a00:27ff:fec7:4ab0 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
    ether 08:00:27:c7:4a:b0 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
    RX packets 98 bytes 9100 (9.1 KB)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 208 bytes 15878 (15.8 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0

lo: flags=73<UP,LOOPBACK,RUNNING> mtu 65536
    inet 127.0.0.1 netmask 255.0.0.0
    inet6 ::1 prefixlen 128 scopeid 0x10<host>
    loop txqueuelen 1000 (Local Loopback)
    RX packets 212 bytes 18664 (18.6 KB)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 212 bytes 18664 (18.6 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0
```

```
abhirup@server1:~$ sudo ip route add 20.1.1.0/24 via 40.1.1.2
abhirup@server1:~$ ip route show
default via 40.1.1.2 dev enp0s8 proto static
default via 10.0.2.2 dev enp0s3 proto dhcp src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
10.0.2.0/24 dev enp0s3 proto kernel scope link src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
10.0.2.2 dev enp0s3 proto dhcp scope link src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
20.1.1.0/24 via 40.1.1.2 dev enp0s8
40.1.1.0/24 dev enp0s8 proto kernel scope link src 40.1.1.1
192.168.1.1 via 10.0.2.2 dev enp0s3 proto dhcp src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
```

Server 2:

```
GNU nano 6.2 /etc/netplan/02-netcfg.yaml
network:
  version: 2
  renderer: networkd
  ethernets:
    enp0s8:
      addresses: [40.1.1.3/24]
      dhcp4: no
      gateway4: 40.1.1.2
```

```
abhirup@server2:~$ ifconfig
enp0s3: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
    inet 10.0.2.15 netmask 255.255.255.0 broadcast 10.0.2.255
    inet6 fe80::a00:27ff:feee:4a5 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
    ether 08:00:27:ee:04:a5 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
    RX packets 46 bytes 9072 (9.0 KB)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 81 bytes 7240 (7.2 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0

enp0s8: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
    inet 40.1.1.3 netmask 255.255.255.0 broadcast 40.1.1.255
    inet6 fe80::a00:27ff:fea9:af82 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
    ether 08:00:27:a9:af:82 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
    RX packets 101 bytes 10748 (10.7 KB)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 327 bytes 24472 (24.4 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0

lo: flags=73<UP,LOOPBACK,RUNNING> mtu 65536
    inet 127.0.0.1 netmask 255.0.0.0
    inet6 ::1 prefixlen 128 scopeid 0x10<host>
    loop txqueuelen 1000 (Local Loopback)
    RX packets 242 bytes 22830 (22.8 KB)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 242 bytes 22830 (22.8 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0
```

```
abhirup@server2:~$ sudo ip route add 20.1.1.0/24 via 40.1.1.2
abhirup@server2:~$ ip route show
default via 40.1.1.2 dev enp0s8 proto static
default via 10.0.2.2 dev enp0s3 proto dhcp src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
10.0.2.0/24 dev enp0s3 proto kernel scope link src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
10.0.2.2 dev enp0s3 proto dhcp scope link src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
20.1.1.0/24 via 40.1.1.2 dev enp0s8
40.1.1.0/24 dev enp0s8 proto kernel scope link src 40.1.1.3
192.168.1.1 via 10.0.2.2 dev enp0s3 proto dhcp src 10.0.2.15 metric 100
```

Gateway: 2 adapters, one for connecting to client and the other for the server.

```
GNU nano 6.2 /etc/netplan/02-netcfg.yaml
network:
  version: 2
  renderer: networkd
  ethernets:
    enp0s8:
      addresses: [40.1.1.2/24]
      dhcp4: no
    enp0s9:
      addresses: [20.1.1.2/24]
      dhcp4: no

enp0s3: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
    inet 10.0.2.15 netmask 255.255.255.0 broadcast 10.0.2.255
    inet6 fe80::a00:27ff:feb4:d3b3 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
    ether 08:00:27:b4:d3:b3 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
    RX packets 11 bytes 2576 (2.5 KB)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 22 bytes 2246 (2.2 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0

enp0s8: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
    inet 40.1.1.2 netmask 255.255.255.0 broadcast 40.1.1.255
    inet6 fe80::a00:27ff:febc:c221 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
    ether 08:00:27:bc:c2:21 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
    RX packets 33 bytes 2100 (2.1 KB)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 28 bytes 1886 (1.8 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0

enp0s9: flags=4163<UP,BROADCAST,RUNNING,MULTICAST> mtu 1500
    inet 20.1.1.2 netmask 255.255.255.0 broadcast 20.1.1.255
    inet6 fe80::a00:27ff:fe79:6394 prefixlen 64 scopeid 0x20<link>
    ether 08:00:27:79:63:94 txqueuelen 1000 (Ethernet)
    RX packets 15 bytes 900 (900.0 B)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 38 bytes 2486 (2.4 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0

lo: flags=73<UP,LOOPBACK,RUNNING> mtu 65536
    inet 127.0.0.1 netmask 255.0.0.0
    inet6 ::1 prefixlen 128 scopeid 0x10<host>
    loop txqueuelen 1000 (Local Loopback)
    RX packets 106 bytes 8852 (8.8 KB)
    RX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 frame 0
    TX packets 106 bytes 8852 (8.8 KB)
    TX errors 0 dropped 0 overruns 0 carrier 0 collisions 0
```

Q1.b)

```
abhirup@client:~$ sudo sysctl -w net.ipv4.ip_forward=1
[sudo] password for abhirup:
net.ipv4.ip_forward = 1
```

```
abhirup@client:~$ ping 40.1.1.1
PING 40.1.1.1 (40.1.1.1) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=63 time=1.42 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=63 time=1.88 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=3 ttl=63 time=1.34 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=4 ttl=63 time=1.25 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=5 ttl=63 time=1.65 ms
^C
--- 40.1.1.1 ping statistics ---
5 packets transmitted, 5 received, 0% packet loss, time 4007ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 1.249/1.507/1.883/0.229 ms
```

Above is an example that the forwarding works. I have pinged server1 from the client.

Q2.a)

```
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -A FORWARD -d 40.1.1.1 -p icmp --icmp-type echo-request -j ACCEPT
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -A OUTPUT -d 40.1.1.1 -p icmp --icmp-type echo-request -j ACCEPT
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -A FORWARD -d 40.1.1.1 -j DROP
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -A OUTPUT -d 40.1.1.1 -j DROP
```

In the above image, the first 2 lines are to allow ping. The next 2 lines drop all other traffic.

```
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -L -v
Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT 0 packets, 0 bytes)
 pkts bytes target    prot opt in     out     source    destination
Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT 0 packets, 0 bytes)
 pkts bytes target    prot opt in     out     source    destination    icmp echo-r
equest
    0    0 ACCEPT    icmp -- any    any    anywhere    40.1.1.1
    0    0 DROP     all  -- any    any    anywhere    40.1.1.1
Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT 0 packets, 0 bytes)
 pkts bytes target    prot opt in     out     source    destination    icmp echo-r
equest
    0    0 ACCEPT    icmp -- any    any    anywhere    40.1.1.1
    0    0 DROP     all  -- any    any    anywhere    40.1.1.1
```

```
abhirup@server1:~$ nc -l -p 1234
^C
```

```
abhirup@server2:~$ nc -l -p 1234
hi
bye
```

```
abhirup@client:~$ nc 40.1.1.1 1234
hu
^C
abhirup@client:~$ nc 40.1.1.3 1234
hi
bye
^C
abhirup@client:~$
```

Here, the connection to 40.1.1.1 failed since 'hu' didn't get printed server side, but the one to 40.1.1.3 succeeded, indicating that the packets to 40.1.1.1 except ping, are being blocked.

```
abhirup@client:~$ ping 40.1.1.1
PING 40.1.1.1 (40.1.1.1) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=63 time=1.75 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=63 time=1.76 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=3 ttl=63 time=1.44 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=4 ttl=63 time=1.89 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=5 ttl=63 time=1.37 ms
^C
--- 40.1.1.1 ping statistics ---
5 packets transmitted, 5 received, 0% packet loss, time 4819ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 1.372/1.644/1.890/0.200 ms
```

The above image shows that ping still works, as requested by the question.

Q2.b)

```
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -A FORWARD -s 20.1.1.1 -p TCP -j DROP
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -A INPUT -s 20.1.1.1 -p TCP -j DROP
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -L -v
Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT 0 packets, 0 bytes)
  pkts bytes target     prot opt in     out     source            destination
    0    0 DROP      tcp  --  any    any     20.1.1.1          anywhere

Chain FORWARD (policy ACCEPT 0 packets, 0 bytes)
  pkts bytes target     prot opt in     out     source            destination
    0    0 ACCEPT    icmp --  any    any     anywhere          40.1.1.1          icmp echo-req
    0    0 DROP      all  --  any    any     anywhere          40.1.1.1
   17 1020 DROP      tcp  --  any    any     20.1.1.1          anywhere

Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT 0 packets, 0 bytes)
  pkts bytes target     prot opt in     out     source            destination
    0    0 ACCEPT    icmp --  any    any     anywhere          40.1.1.1          icmp echo-req
    0    0 DROP      all  --  any    any     anywhere          40.1.1.1
```

```
abhirup@server2:~$ nc -l -p 1234
^C
abhirup@server2:~$ nc -lu -p 1234
hi
bye
^C
```

```
abhirup@client:~$ nc 40.1.1.3 1234
hi
^C
abhirup@client:~$ nc -u 40.1.1.3 1234
hi
bye
^C
```

Above, when we don't add the -u flag for udp connection, 'hi' doesn't get printed, indicating that tcp traffic is blocked. But on addition of -u flag, the text gets printed, so UDP does work when initiated from 20.1.1.1/24.

Q3.a)

TCP:

```
abhirup@server2:~$ iperf -s -t 30
-----
Server listening on TCP port 5001
TCP window size: 128 KByte (default)
-----
abhirup@server2:~$ _

abhirup@client:~$ iperf -c 40.1.1.3
^C^Cabhirup@client:~$
```

No connection made, as expected since all TCP traffic was blocked by the netfilter in 2.b). So, bandwidth is 0.

UDP:

```
abhirup@server2:~$ iperf -s -u
-----
Server listening on UDP port 5001
UDP buffer size: 208 KByte (default)
-----
[ 1] local 40.1.1.3 port 5001 connected with 20.1.1.1 port 48670
[ ID] Interval      Transfer    Bandwidth      Jitter    Lost/Total Datagrams
[ 1] 0.0000-10.0166 sec 1.25 MBytes 1.05 Mbits/sec 0.215 ms 0/895 (0%)

abhirup@client:~$ iperf -c 40.1.1.3 -u
-----
Client connecting to 40.1.1.3, UDP port 5001
Sending 1470 byte datagrams, IPG target: 11215.21 us (kalman adjust)
UDP buffer size: 208 KByte (default)
-----
[ 1] local 20.1.1.1 port 48670 connected with 40.1.1.3 port 5001
[ ID] Interval      Transfer    Bandwidth      Jitter    Lost/Total Datagrams
[ 1] 0.0000-10.0165 sec 1.25 MBytes 1.05 Mbits/sec
[ 1] Sent 896 datagrams
[ 1] Server Report:
[ ID] Interval      Transfer    Bandwidth      Jitter    Lost/Total Datagrams
[ 1] 0.0000-10.0166 sec 1.25 MBytes 1.05 Mbits/sec 0.215 ms 0/895 (0%)
```

The bandwidth here is 1.05 Mbps.

Q3.(b) Calculating RTT after 10 ping requests are sent.

(i)

```
abhirup@client:~$ ping 40.1.1.1 -c 10
PING 40.1.1.1 (40.1.1.1) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=1 ttl=63 time=1.45 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=2 ttl=63 time=1.58 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=3 ttl=63 time=1.53 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=4 ttl=63 time=2.06 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=5 ttl=63 time=1.81 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=6 ttl=63 time=1.64 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=7 ttl=63 time=1.69 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=8 ttl=63 time=1.62 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=9 ttl=63 time=2.05 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.1: icmp_seq=10 ttl=63 time=1.81 ms

--- 40.1.1.1 ping statistics ---
10 packets transmitted, 10 received, 0% packet loss, time 10275ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 1.451/1.724/2.060/0.195 ms
```

Min RTT → 1.451 ms

Avg RTT → 1.724 ms

Max RTT → 2.060 ms

(ii)

```
abhirup@client:~$ ping 40.1.1.3 -c 10
PING 40.1.1.3 (40.1.1.3) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 40.1.1.3: icmp_seq=1 ttl=63 time=2.12 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.3: icmp_seq=2 ttl=63 time=1.99 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.3: icmp_seq=3 ttl=63 time=1.78 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.3: icmp_seq=4 ttl=63 time=2.24 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.3: icmp_seq=5 ttl=63 time=3.03 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.3: icmp_seq=6 ttl=63 time=2.21 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.3: icmp_seq=7 ttl=63 time=2.39 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.3: icmp_seq=8 ttl=63 time=1.31 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.3: icmp_seq=9 ttl=63 time=2.12 ms
64 bytes from 40.1.1.3: icmp_seq=10 ttl=63 time=1.98 ms

--- 40.1.1.3 ping statistics ---
10 packets transmitted, 10 received, 0% packet loss, time 15944ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 1.309/2.116/3.025/0.415 ms
```

Min RTT → 1.309 ms

Avg RTT → 2.116 ms

Max RTT → 3.025 ms

(iii) On average, the RTT was quite a bit longer to send a packet to 40.1.1.3 as compared to 40.1.1.1. This could be because all other traffic has been blocked to 40.1.1.1, so the ICMP messages take much less time to go through, as compared to 40.1.1.3.

Q4.a)

```
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -t nat -A POSTROUTING -s 20.1.1.1 -j SNAT --to-source 40.1.1.2
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -t nat -L
Chain PREROUTING (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination

Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination

Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination

Chain POSTROUTING (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination
SNAT       all  --  20.1.1.1              anywhere             to:40.1.1.2
```

b)

```
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -t nat -A PREROUTING -d 40.1.1.2 -j DNAT --to-destination 20.1.1.1
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -t nat -L
Chain PREROUTING (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination
DNAT       all  --  anywhere              gateway              to:20.1.1.1

Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination

Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination

Chain POSTROUTING (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination
SNAT       all  --  20.1.1.1              anywhere             to:40.1.1.2
```

c)

```
abhirup@client:~$ nc -l -p 1234
hey from client
reply from server2

abhirup@server2:~$ nc 40.1.1.2 1234
hey from client
reply from server2
```

The connection working showcases that traffic to 40.1.1.2 has successfully been routed to 20.1.1.1.

enp0s9 → 20.1.1.2

```
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo tcpdump -i enp0s9 host 40.1.1.3
tcpdump: verbose output suppressed, use -v[v]... for full protocol decode
listening on enp0s9, link-type EN10MB (Ethernet), snapshot length 262144 bytes
20:20:13.283784 IP 20.1.1.1.1234 > 40.1.1.3.43876: Flags [P.], seq 1651611881:1651611897, ack 2459146655, win 510, options [nop,nop,TS val 3361088839 ecr 4156976518], length 16
20:20:13.284679 IP 40.1.1.3.43876 > 20.1.1.1.1234: Flags [.], ack 16, win 502, options [nop,nop,TS val 4156996821 ecr 3361088839], length 0
20:20:20.763136 IP 40.1.1.3.43876 > 20.1.1.1.1234: Flags [P.], seq 1:19, ack 16, win 502, options [nop,nop,TS val 4157004299 ecr 3361088839], length 18
20:20:20.763650 IP 20.1.1.1.1234 > 40.1.1.3.43876: Flags [.], ack 19, win 510, options [nop,nop,TS val 3361096319 ecr 4157004299], length 0
```

In the 3rd line (20:20:20.763136), since the packet has gone from 40.1.1.3 to 20.1.1.1, it shows that the prerouting of all traffic with destination 40.1.1.2 to 20.1.1.1 has worked.

enp0s8 → 40.1.1.2

```
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo tcpdump -i enp0s8 host 40.1.1.3
tcpdump: verbose output suppressed, use -v[v]... for full protocol decode
listening on enp0s8, link-type EN10MB (Ethernet), snapshot length 262144 bytes
20:09:01.985246 IP 40.1.1.3.46838 > gateway.1234: Flags [S], seq 3442986290, win 64240, options [mss 1460,sackOK,TS val 4156325509 ecr 0,nop,wscale 7], length 0
20:09:01.985921 IP gateway.1234 > 40.1.1.3.46838: Flags [S.], seq 2941575012, ack 3442986291, win 65160, options [mss 1460,sackOK,TS val 3360417552 ecr 4156325509,nop,wscale 7], length 0
20:09:01.986781 IP 40.1.1.3.46838 > gateway.1234: Flags [.], ack 1, win 502, options [nop,nop,TS val 4156325510 ecr 3360417552], length 0
20:09:07.089438 ARP, Request who-has 40.1.1.3 tell gateway, length 28
20:09:07.090148 ARP, Reply 40.1.1.3 is-at 08:00:27:a9:af:82 (oui Unknown), length 46
20:09:07.148255 ARP, Request who-has gateway tell 40.1.1.3, length 46
20:09:07.148271 ARP, Reply gateway is-at 08:00:27:bc:c2:21 (oui Unknown), length 28
20:09:07.646589 IP gateway.1234 > 40.1.1.3.46838: Flags [P.], seq 1:17, ack 1, win 510, options [nop,nop,TS val 3360423212 ecr 4156325510], length 16
20:09:07.647818 IP 40.1.1.3.46838 > gateway.1234: Flags [.], ack 17, win 502, options [nop,nop,TS val 4156331171 ecr 3360423212], length 0
20:09:15.406705 IP 40.1.1.3.46838 > gateway.1234: Flags [P.], seq 1:20, ack 17, win 502, options [nop,nop,TS val 4156338931 ecr 3360423212], length 19
20:09:15.407713 IP gateway.1234 > 40.1.1.3.46838: Flags [.], ack 20, win 510, options [nop,nop,TS val 3360430973 ecr 4156338931], length 0
```

In the 2nd line (20:09:01.985921), since the source is gateway(40.1.1.2), the postrouting has worked to change the source IP to 40.1.1.2 from 20.1.1.1 for any traffic coming from 20.1.1.1.

Q5.a) From 3.b), we see that the avg RTT to 40.1.1.1 is 1.724 ms while the avg RTT to 40.1.1.3 is 2.116 ms. So, we allocate a probability of 0.8 to assigning packet to 40.1.1.1, and 0.2 to 40.1.1.3.

```

abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -t nat -A PREROUTING -d 20.1.1.2 -m statistic --mode random --probability 0.8 -j DNAT --to-destination 40.1.1.1
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -t nat -A PREROUTING -d 20.1.1.2 -j DNAT --to-destination 40.1.1.3
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -t nat -A POSTROUTING -d 40.1.1.1 -j SNAT --to-source 40.1.1.2
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -t nat -A POSTROUTING -d 40.1.1.3 -j SNAT --to-source 40.1.1.2
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -t nat -:
iptables v1.8.7 (nf_tables): unknown option "-:"
Try `iptables -h' or 'iptables --help' for more information.
abhirup@gateway:~$ sudo iptables -t nat -L
Chain PREROUTING (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination              statistic mode random probability 0.79
999999981  to:40.1.1.1
DNAT       all  --  anywhere              gateway                  to:40.1.1.3
Chain INPUT (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination
Chain OUTPUT (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination
Chain POSTROUTING (policy ACCEPT)
target     prot opt source                destination
SNAT       all  --  anywhere              40.1.1.1                to:40.1.1.2
SNAT       all  --  anywhere              40.1.1.3                to:40.1.1.2

```

Above are the iptable rules for balancing traffic between 40.1.1.1/24 and 40.1.1.3/24.

Q5.b)

```

abhirup@client:~$ ping 20.1.1.2 -c 1
PING 20.1.1.2 (20.1.1.2) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 20.1.1.2: icmp_seq=1 ttl=63 time=1.58 ms

--- 20.1.1.2 ping statistics ---
1 packets transmitted, 1 received, 0% packet loss, time 0ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 1.581/1.581/1.581/0.000 ms
abhirup@client:~$ ping 20.1.1.2 -c 1
PING 20.1.1.2 (20.1.1.2) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 20.1.1.2: icmp_seq=1 ttl=63 time=1.84 ms

--- 20.1.1.2 ping statistics ---
1 packets transmitted, 1 received, 0% packet loss, time 0ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 1.839/1.839/1.839/0.000 ms
abhirup@client:~$ ping 20.1.1.2 -c 1
PING 20.1.1.2 (20.1.1.2) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 20.1.1.2: icmp_seq=1 ttl=63 time=1.68 ms

--- 20.1.1.2 ping statistics ---
1 packets transmitted, 1 received, 0% packet loss, time 0ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 1.684/1.684/1.684/0.000 ms
abhirup@client:~$ ping 20.1.1.2 -c 1
PING 20.1.1.2 (20.1.1.2) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 20.1.1.2: icmp_seq=1 ttl=63 time=1.42 ms

--- 20.1.1.2 ping statistics ---
1 packets transmitted, 1 received, 0% packet loss, time 0ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 1.423/1.423/1.423/0.000 ms
abhirup@client:~$ ping 20.1.1.2 -c 1
PING 20.1.1.2 (20.1.1.2) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 20.1.1.2: icmp_seq=1 ttl=63 time=1.31 ms

--- 20.1.1.2 ping statistics ---
1 packets transmitted, 1 received, 0% packet loss, time 0ms
rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 1.312/1.312/1.312/0.000 ms

```

I sent 5 ping requests to the gateway, 20.1.1.2, to see the distribution of where the ICMP packets went.

Output

Server1:

```
abhirup@server1:~$ sudo tshark -i enp0s8 -f 'icmp'
Running as user "root" and group "root". This could be dangerous.
Capturing on 'enp0s8'
** (tshark:2454) 21:49:00.308728 [Main MESSAGE] -- Capture started.
** (tshark:2454) 21:49:00.308829 [Main MESSAGE] -- File: "/tmp/wireshark_enp0s87822V2.pcapng"
  1 0.000000000    40.1.1.2 → 40.1.1.1    ICMP 98 Echo (ping) request  id=0x0040, seq=1/256, ttl
=63
  2 0.000027552    40.1.1.1 → 40.1.1.2    ICMP 98 Echo (ping) reply   id=0x0040, seq=1/256, ttl
=64 (request in 1)
  3 6.374651539    40.1.1.2 → 40.1.1.1    ICMP 98 Echo (ping) request  id=0x0041, seq=1/256, ttl
=63
  4 6.374677809    40.1.1.1 → 40.1.1.2    ICMP 98 Echo (ping) reply   id=0x0041, seq=1/256, ttl
=64 (request in 3)
  5 11.730989223    40.1.1.2 → 40.1.1.1    ICMP 98 Echo (ping) request  id=0x0042, seq=1/256, tt
l=63
  6 11.731016715    40.1.1.1 → 40.1.1.2    ICMP 98 Echo (ping) reply   id=0x0042, seq=1/256, tt
l=64 (request in 5)
  7 16.326955287    40.1.1.2 → 40.1.1.1    ICMP 98 Echo (ping) request  id=0x0043, seq=1/256, tt
l=63
  8 16.326979493    40.1.1.1 → 40.1.1.2    ICMP 98 Echo (ping) reply   id=0x0043, seq=1/256, tt
l=64 (request in 7)
```

Server2:

```
abhirup@server2:~$ sudo tshark -i enp0s8 -f 'icmp'
Running as user "root" and group "root". This could be dangerous.
Capturing on 'enp0s8'
** (tshark:3168) 21:48:56.962780 [Main MESSAGE] -- Capture started.
** (tshark:3168) 21:48:56.962881 [Main MESSAGE] -- File: "/tmp/wireshark_enp0s83CSUV2.pcapng"
  1 0.000000000    40.1.1.2 → 40.1.1.3    ICMP 98 Echo (ping) request  id=0x003f, seq=1/256, ttl
=63
  2 0.000032141    40.1.1.3 → 40.1.1.2    ICMP 98 Echo (ping) reply   id=0x003f, seq=1/256, ttl
=64 (request in 1)
```

4/5 packets went to server 1(40.1.1.1) and 1 went to server 2(40.1.1.3). This is exactly 80% of the time to server 1 which is the ratio we wanted previously (those this is an ideal scenario, and not something you will see all the time).