# Part 1: Research & Selection

# 1. Real-Time ResNet/LCNN System

(Source: arXiv study on communication platforms[1])

# **Key Innovation**:

- Dual architecture using ResNet (for LA spoofing) and LCNN (for PA spoofing)
- Mel-spectrogram/power-spectrogram feature extraction optimized for streaming audio
- Executable cross-platform software for real-time deployment

#### **Performance:**

- Achieved EER (Equal Error Rate) of 0.83% on ASVspoof 2019 LA dataset
- Tested in live Microsoft Teams meetings with <200ms latency</li>

# Why Promising:

- Designed specifically for continuous conversational speech analysis
- ✓ Verified in actual communication platform environments
- Modular architecture allows integration with existing voice pipelines

#### **Limitations:**

- ⚠ Requires audio trimming/padding to fixed 6-second windows
- ⚠ Performance drops with background noise >45dB SNR

# 2. VGG16-LSTM Hybrid Model

(Source: IJCRT paper[2])

# **Key Innovation**:

- Combines VGG16's spatial feature extraction with LSTM temporal analysis
- Augments MFCCs with handcrafted features (spectral centroid, roll-off)
- Ensemble learning with XGBoost classifier

### **Performance:**

- 98.2% accuracy on ASVspoof 2019
- 0.91 F1-score for real-time classification

# Why Promising:

- ✓ Processes raw audio streams without pre-segmentation
- ✓ Feature fusion captures both vocal tract and prosody characteristics
- ☑ Lightweight enough for edge deployment (2.1M parameters)

### **Limitations:**

- ⚠ Requires GPU acceleration for real-time performance
- ⚠ Vulnerable to adversarial attacks using phase reconstruction

# 3. Contrastive Learning Detector (CLAD)

(Source: arXiv robust detection study[3])

# **Key Innovation**:

- Contrastive learning framework resistant to 23 audio manipulation attacks
- Length loss regularization for variable-duration inputs
- Frequency-domain adversarial training

### **Performance:**

- 98.7% accuracy on manipulated ASVspoof samples
- FAR <1.63% against volume/fading/reverb attacks

# Why Promising:

- ✓ Specifically hardened against evasion techniques
- ✓ No preprocessing needed works on raw waveforms
- ✓ Maintains 94% accuracy in noisy environments (15dB SNR)

### **Limitations:**

- ⚠ Requires retraining for new attack vectors
- ⚠ Higher computational load than traditional CNNs

# **Selection Rationale**

These approaches were chosen for their:

- 1. Real-Time Capability All demonstrate sub-second inference times
- 2. Robustness Complementary defenses against different attack types
- 3. **Deployability** Include implementation frameworks beyond pure accuracy metrics

For implementation, I recommend starting with the VGG16-LSTM hybrid<sup>[2]</sup> as it balances accuracy with moderate computational requirements, while planning integration of CLAD's contrastive learning<sup>[3]</sup> for adversarial robustness. The real-time ResNet/LCNN system<sup>[1]</sup> provides immediate deployable architecture reference.

- 1. <a href="https://arxiv.org/html/2403.11778v1">https://arxiv.org/html/2403.11778v1</a>
- 2. https://www.ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT24A4745.pdf
- 3. https://arxiv.org/html/2404.15854v1