

# Monero Transactions

Saravanan Vijayakumaran  
sarva@ee.iitb.ac.in

Department of Electrical Engineering  
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

September 23, 2019

# Transactions in Monero

- Suppose Alice wants to spend coins from an address  $P$  she owns
- Alice assembles a list  $\{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{n-1}\}$  where  $P_j = P$  for exactly one  $j$
- Alice knows  $x_j$  such that  $P_j = x_j G$
- Key image of  $P_j$  is  $I = x_j H_p(P_j)$  where  $H_p$  is a point-valued hash function
  - Distinct public keys will have distinct key images
- A linkable ring signature over  $\{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{n-1}\}$  will have the key image  $I$  of  $P_j$ 
  - Signature proves Alice one of the private keys
  - Double spending is detected via duplicate key images
- One cannot say if a Monero address belongs to the UTXO set or not

# Linkable Spontaneous Anonymous Group Signatures

- Consider an elliptic curve group  $E$  with cardinality  $L$  and base point  $G$
- Let  $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_L^*$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  be private keys with public keys  $P_i = x_i G$
- Suppose a signer knows only  $x_j$  and not any of  $x_i$  for  $i \neq j$
- The **key image** corresponding to  $P_j$  is  $I = x_j H_p(P_j)$
- For a given message  $m$ , the signer generates the LSAG signature as follows:
  1. Picks  $\alpha, s_i, i \neq j$  randomly from  $\mathbb{Z}_L$
  2. Computes  $L_j = \alpha G$ ,  $R_j = \alpha H_p(P_j)$ , and  $c_{j+1} = H_s(m, L_j, R_j)$
  3. Increasing  $j$  modulo  $n$ , computes

$$L_{j+1} = s_{j+1} G + c_{j+1} P_{j+1}$$

$$R_{j+1} = s_{j+1} H_p(P_{j+1}) + c_{j+1} I$$

$$c_{j+2} = H_s(m, L_{j+1}, R_{j+1})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$L_{j-1} = s_{j-1} G + c_{j-1} P_{j-1}$$

$$R_{j-1} = s_{j-1} H_p(P_{j-1}) + c_{j-1} I$$

$$c_j = H_s(m, L_{j-1}, R_{j-1})$$

4. Computes  $s_j = \alpha - c_j x_j \implies L_j = s_j G + c_j P_j$ ,  $R_j = s_j H_p(P_j) + c_j I$
  5. The ring signature is  $\sigma = (I, c_0, s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$
- Verifier computes  $L_j, R_j$ , remaining  $c_j$ 's, and checks that  $H_s(m, L_{n-1}, R_{n-1}) = c_0$
  - Signatures with duplicate key images  $I$  will be rejected

# LSAG Structure

- Rationale for choice of key image  $I = x_j H_p(P_j)$ 
  - By collision resistance of  $H_p$ ,  $I$  is unique for a given  $P_j$
  - $I$  does not reveal  $P_j$  as  $x_j$  is unknown to observers
  - Discrete log of  $H_p(P_j)$  is unknown
- Comparison with regular ring signature calculation

$$L_{j+1} = s_{j+1} G + c_{j+1} P_{j+1}$$

$$R_{j+1} = s_{j+1} H_p(P_{j+1}) + c_{j+1} I$$

$$c_{j+2} = H_s(m, L_{j+1}, R_{j+1})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$L_{j-1} = s_{j-1} G + c_{j-1} P_{j-1}$$

$$R_{j-1} = s_{j-1} H_p(P_{j-1}) + c_{j-1} I$$

$$c_j = H_s(m, L_{j-1}, R_{j-1})$$

$$L_{j+1} = s_{j+1} G + c_{j+1} P_{j+1}$$

$$c_{j+2} = H_s(m, L_{j+1})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$L_{j-1} = s_{j-1} G + c_{j-1} P_{j-1}$$

$$c_j = H_s(m, L_{j-1})$$

# Multilayered LSAG Signatures

- Consider a transaction which unlocks funds in  $m$  one-time addresses
  - Each LSAG signature is of the form  $\sigma = (l, c_0, s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$  where  $n$  is the ring size
  - $m$  LSAG signatures will take space  $\mathcal{O}(m(n+2))$
- MLSAG signatures occupy space  $\mathcal{O}(m(n+1))$
- MLSAG signatures are ring signatures over a set of  $n$  key-vectors
- Consider an  $m \times n$  matrix of public keys

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_0^1 & P_1^1 & \dots & P_\pi^1 & \dots & P_{n-1}^1 \\ P_0^2 & P_1^2 & \dots & P_\pi^2 & \dots & P_{n-1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ P_0^m & P_1^m & \dots & P_\pi^m & \dots & P_{n-1}^m \end{bmatrix}$$

where the signer knows  $x_\pi^j$  such that  $P_\pi^j = x_\pi^j G$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$

- For  $l_j = x_\pi^j H_p(P_\pi^j)$ , the MLSAG signature has the form  $\sigma = (l_1, \dots, l_m, c_0, s_0^1, \dots, s_0^m, s_1^1, \dots, s_1^m, s_{n-1}^1, \dots, s_{n-1}^m)$

# Deanonimization using Commitments

- Consider a confidential transaction which has two inputs and two outputs
- Suppose the sender uses a ring of size 5

$$\text{Public key matrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P_0^1 & P_1^1 & P_2^1 & P_3^1 & P_4^1 \\ P_0^2 & P_1^2 & P_2^2 & P_3^2 & P_4^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and knows private keys for  $P_2^1, P_2^2$

- Let input commitments be

$$\begin{bmatrix} (C_{in})_0^1 & (C_{in})_1^1 & (C_{in})_2^1 & (C_{in})_3^1 & (C_{in})_4^1 \\ (C_{in})_0^2 & (C_{in})_1^2 & (C_{in})_2^2 & (C_{in})_3^2 & (C_{in})_4^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Let the output commitment be  $C_{out}$  and fees be  $f$
- Observer can identify the sender column by checking for each  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  if

$$(C_{in})_k^1 + (C_{in})_k^2 = C_{out} + fH$$

- We need to prove that the commitments in a column add up without revealing the column

# Monero RingCT

- Previously, to ensure  $(C_{in})_{\pi}^1 + (C_{in})_{\pi}^2 = C_{out} + fH$ , blinding factors need to be balanced

$$(x_{in})_{\pi}^1 + (x_{in})_{\pi}^2 = x_{out}$$

- Balancing needed only for third party verification of transactions
- For anonymization, we can set  $(x_{in})_{\pi}^1 + (x_{in})_{\pi}^2 = x_{out} + z$  and communicate  $z$  to receiver using the shared secret
- How to enable third party verification?
- Solution:** MLSAG using following public key matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_0^1 & P_1^1 & P_2^1 & P_3^1 & P_4^1 \\ P_0^2 & P_1^2 & P_2^2 & P_3^2 & P_4^2 \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 (C_{in})_0^j - C_{out} - fH & \dots & \dots & \dots & \sum_{j=1}^2 (C_{in})_4^j - C_{out} - fH \end{bmatrix}$$

- A signature verifiable using a public key in the last row implies knowledge of corresponding  $z$

# References

- **Ring Confidential Transactions** <http://www.ledgerjournal.org/ojs/index.php/ledger/article/view/34>
- **LSAG, Part 6 of Monero's Building Blocks Articles** [https://delfr.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Monero\\_Building\\_Blocks\\_Part6.pdf](https://delfr.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Monero_Building_Blocks_Part6.pdf)
- **MLSAG, Part 7 of Monero's Building Blocks Articles** [https://delfr.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Monero\\_Building\\_Blocks\\_Part7.pdf](https://delfr.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/Monero_Building_Blocks_Part7.pdf)
- **RingCT, Part 9 of Monero's Building Blocks Articles** [https://delfr.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Monero\\_Building\\_Blocks\\_Part9.pdf](https://delfr.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Monero_Building_Blocks_Part9.pdf)