

Linear Block Codes

Saravanan Vijayakumaran
sarva@ee.iitb.ac.in

Department of Electrical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

July 24, 2015

Binary Block Codes

Binary Block Code

Let \mathbb{F}_2 be the set $\{0, 1\}$.

Definition

An (n, k) binary block code is a subset of \mathbb{F}_2^n containing 2^k elements

Example

$$n = 3, k = 1, C = \{000, 111\}$$

Example

$n \geq 2$, $C =$ Set of vectors of even Hamming weight in \mathbb{F}_2^n ,
 $k = n - 1$

$$n = 3, k = 2, C = \{000, 011, 101, 110\}$$

This code is called the single parity check code

Encoding Binary Block Codes

The encoder maps k -bit information blocks to codewords.

Definition

An encoder for an (n, k) binary block code C is an injective function from \mathbb{F}_2^k to C

Example (3-Repetition Code)

$0 \rightarrow 000, 1 \rightarrow 111$

or

$1 \rightarrow 000, 0 \rightarrow 111$

Decoding Binary Block Codes

The decoder maps n -bit received blocks to codewords

Definition

A decoder for an (n, k) binary block code is a function from \mathbb{F}_2^n to C

Example (3-Repetition Code)

$$n = 3, C = \{000, 111\}$$

$$000 \rightarrow 000 \quad 111 \rightarrow 111$$

$$001 \rightarrow 000 \quad 110 \rightarrow 111$$

$$010 \rightarrow 000 \quad 101 \rightarrow 111$$

$$100 \rightarrow 000 \quad 011 \rightarrow 111$$

Since encoding is injective, information bits can be recovered
as $000 \rightarrow 0, 111 \rightarrow 1$

Optimal Decoder for Binary Block Codes

- Optimality criterion: Maximum probability of correct decision
- Let $\mathbf{x} \in C$ be the transmitted codeword
- Let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ be the received vector
- Maximum a posteriori (MAP) decoder is optimal

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{MAP} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{x} \in C} \Pr(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})$$

- If all codewords are equally likely to be transmitted, then maximum likelihood (ML) decoder is optimal

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ML} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{x} \in C} \Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$$

- Over a BSC with $p < \frac{1}{2}$, the minimum distance decoder is optimal if the codewords are equally likely

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in C} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

Error Correction Capability of Binary Block Codes

Definition

The minimum distance of a block code C is defined as

$$d_{min} = \min_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

Example (3-Repetition Code)

$$C = \{000, 111\}, d_{min} = 3$$

Example (Single Parity Check Code)

$$C = \text{Set of vectors of even weight in } \mathbb{F}_2^n, d_{min} = 2$$

Theorem

For a binary block code with minimum distance d_{min} , the minimum distance decoder can correct upto $\lfloor \frac{d_{min}-1}{2} \rfloor$ errors.

Complexity of Encoding and Decoding

Encoder

- Map from \mathbb{F}_2^k to C
- Worst case storage requirement = $O(n2^k)$

Decoder

- Map from \mathbb{F}_2^n to C
- $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ML} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{x} \in C} \Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$
- Worst case storage requirement = $O(k2^n)$
- Time complexity = $O(n2^k)$

Need more structure to reduce complexity

Binary Linear Block Codes

Vector Spaces over \mathbb{F}_2

- Define the following operations on \mathbb{F}_2
- Addition $+$
 - $0 + 0 = 0$
 - $0 + 1 = 1$
 - $1 + 0 = 1$
 - $1 + 1 = 0$
- Multiplication \times
 - $0 \times 0 = 0$
 - $0 \times 1 = 0$
 - $1 \times 0 = 0$
 - $1 \times 1 = 1$
- \mathbb{F}_2 is also represented as GF(2)

Fact

The set \mathbb{F}_2^n is a vector space over \mathbb{F}_2

Binary Linear Block Code

Definition

An (n, k) binary linear block code is a k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_2^n

Theorem

Let S be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{F}_2^n . Then S is a subspace of \mathbb{F}_2^n if $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in S$ for any two \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} in S .

Example (3-Repetition Code)

$$C = \{000, 111\} \neq \phi$$

$$000 + 000 = 000, 000 + 111 = 111, 111 + 111 = 000$$

Example (Single Parity Check Code)

$C =$ Set of vectors of even weight in \mathbb{F}_2^n

$$\text{wt}(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{u}) + \text{wt}(\mathbf{v}) - 2 \text{wt}(\mathbf{u} \cap \mathbf{v})$$

Encoding Binary Linear Block Codes

Definition

A generator matrix for a k -dimensional binary linear block code C is a $k \times n$ matrix \mathbf{G} whose rows form a basis for C .

Linear Block Code Encoder

Let \mathbf{u} be a $1 \times k$ binary vector of information bits. The corresponding codeword is

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{uG}$$

Example (3-Repetition Code)

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Encoding Binary Linear Block Codes

Example (Single Parity Check Code)

$n = 3, k = 2, C = \{000, 011, 101, 110\}$

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Encoding Complexity of Binary Linear Block Codes

- Need to store **G**
- Storage requirement = $O(nk) \ll O(n2^k)$
- Time complexity = $O(nk)$
- Complexity can be reduced further by imposing more structure in addition to linearity
- Decoding complexity? What is the optimal decoder?

Decoding Binary Linear Block Codes

- Codewords are equally likely \Rightarrow ML decoder is optimal

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ML} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{x} \in C} \Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$$

- Equally likely codewords and channel is BSC \Rightarrow Minimum distance decoder is optimal

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ML} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in C} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

- To exploit linear structure to reduce decoding complexity, we need to study the dual code

Inner Product of Vectors in \mathbb{F}_2^n

Definition

Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ belong to \mathbb{F}_2^n . The inner product of \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is given by

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i$$

$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{u}$ and \mathbf{v} are orthogonal.

Examples

- $(1 \ 0 \ 0) \cdot (0 \ 1 \ 1) = 1 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 1 = 0$
- $(1 \ 1 \ 0) \cdot (0 \ 1 \ 1) = 1 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 1 = 1$
- $(1 \ 1 \ 1) \cdot (0 \ 1 \ 1) = 1 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 = 0$
- $(0 \ 1 \ 1) \cdot (0 \ 1 \ 1) = 0 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 1 = 0$

Nonzero vectors can be self-orthogonal

Dual Code of a Linear Block Code

Definition

Let C be an (n, k) binary linear block code. Let C^\perp be the set of vectors in \mathbb{F}_2^n which are orthogonal to all the codewords in C .

$$C^\perp = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{v} \in C \right\}$$

C^\perp is a linear block code and is called the dual code of C .

Example (3-Repetition Code)

$C = \{000, 111\}$, $C^\perp = ?$

$$\begin{array}{ll} 000 \cdot 111 = 0 & 111 \cdot 111 = 1 \\ 001 \cdot 111 = 1 & 110 \cdot 111 = 0 \\ 010 \cdot 111 = 1 & 101 \cdot 111 = 0 \\ 100 \cdot 111 = 1 & 011 \cdot 111 = 0 \end{array}$$

$C^\perp = \{000, 011, 101, 110\} = \text{Single Parity Check Code}$

Dimension of the Dual Code

Example (3-Repetition Code and SPC Code)

$$C = \{000, 111\}, \dim C = 1$$

$$C^\perp = \{000, 011, 101, 110\}, \dim C^\perp = 2$$

$$\dim C + \dim C^\perp = 1 + 2 = 3$$

Theorem

$$\dim C + \dim C^\perp = n$$

Corollary

C is an (n, k) binary linear block code $\Rightarrow C^\perp$ is an $(n, n - k)$ binary linear block code

Parity Check Matrix of a Code

Definition

Let C be an (n, k) binary linear block code and let C^\perp be its dual code. A generator matrix \mathbf{H} for C^\perp is called a parity check matrix for C .

Example (3-Repetition Code)

$$C = \{000, 111\}$$

$$C^\perp = \{000, 011, 101, 110\}$$

A generator matrix of C^\perp is $\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

\mathbf{H} is a parity check matrix of C .

Parity Check Matrix Completely Describes a Code

Theorem

Let C be a linear block code with parity check matrix \mathbf{H} . Then

$$\mathbf{v} \in C \iff \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{0}$$

Example (3-Repetition Code)

$$C = \{000, 111\}, \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Forward direction: $\mathbf{v} \in C \Rightarrow \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{0}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Parity Check Matrix Completely Describes a Code

Theorem

Let C be a linear block code with parity check matrix \mathbf{H} . Then

$$\mathbf{v} \in C \iff \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{0}$$

Example (3-Repetition Code)

$$C = \{000, 111\}, \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Reverse direction: $\mathbf{v} \in C \iff \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{0}$

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = [v_1 \quad v_2 \quad v_3] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [v_1 + v_3 \quad v_2 + v_3]$$

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow v_1 + v_3 = 0, v_2 + v_3 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v_1 = v_3, v_2 = v_3 \Rightarrow v_1 = v_2 = v_3$$

Decoding Binary Linear Block Codes

- Let a codeword \mathbf{x} be sent through a BSC to get \mathbf{y} ,

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}$$

where \mathbf{e} is the error vector

- The probability of observing \mathbf{y} given \mathbf{x} was transmitted is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) &= p^{d(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}(1-p)^{n-d(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})} \\ &= p^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{e})}(1-p)^{n-\text{wt}(\mathbf{e})} \\ &= (1-p)^n \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right)^{\text{wt}(\mathbf{e})}\end{aligned}$$

- If $p < \frac{1}{2}$, lower weight error vectors are more likely

Decoding Binary Linear Block Codes

- Optimal decoder is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{ML} &= \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in C} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \mathbf{y} + \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{ML}\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{ML}$ = Most likely error vector such that $\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{e} \in C$.

- $\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{e} \in C \iff (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{e}) \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{0} \iff \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T$
- If $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T$, the most likely error vector is

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{ML} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{s}} \operatorname{wt}(\mathbf{e})$$

- Time complexity = $O(p(n)2^k)$ where p is a polynomial
- For each \mathbf{s} , the $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_{ML}$ can be precomputed and stored
- \mathbf{s} is $1 \times n - k$ binary vector \Rightarrow Storage required is $O(n2^{n-k})$

Summary

Complexity Comparison

General Block Codes

- Encoding = $O(n2^k)$
- Decoding = $O(n2^k)$

Linear Block Codes

- Encoding = $O(nk)$
- Decoding =
 $O(p(n)2^{\min(k, n-k)})$

Observations

- Linear structure in codes reduces encoding complexity
- Decoding complexity is still exponential
- Need for codes with low complexity decoders

Questions? Takeaways?