

Upload the solutions as a **pdf** file in Moodle. You can upload a scanned version of your handwritten solution. The **upload deadline** will be 11:00pm IST on Friday, February 8, 2019.

1. Let $F : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ be a keyed pseudorandom permutation (the first argument is the key). Consider the keyed function $F' : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^{2n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{2n}$ defined for all $x, x' \in \{0, 1\}^n$ by

$$F'_k(x \| x') = F_k(x) \| F_k(x \oplus x').$$

- (a) [1 point] Prove that F'_k is a permutation for all $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$.
 - (b) [4 points] Prove that F'_k is **not** a pseudorandom permutation.
2. [5 points] Let $F : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ be a pseudorandom permutation. Suppose messages of size dn bits have to be encrypted where $d > 1$. The message m is divided into d blocks of n bits each where m_i is the i th block. Consider the mode of operation in which a uniform value $\text{ctr} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is chosen, and the i th ciphertext block c_i is computed as $c_i := F_k(\text{ctr} + i + m_i)$. The value ctr is sent in the clear, i.e. the eavesdropper observes $\text{ctr}, c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_d$. The sum $\text{ctr} + i + m_i$ is calculated modulo 2^n ensuring that the argument of F_k belongs to $\{0, 1\}^n$. Show that this scheme does **not** have indistinguishable encryptions in the presence of an eavesdropper.