

CSE 574
Assignment – 2

Sai Kiran Putta
Parth Jitendra Oza

In this project we have implemented Multilayer Neural network and evaluate it's performance on the MNIST dataset. We used the same network to also compare it's performance on CelebFaces Attribute Dataset to identify who all wore spectacles in the dataset.

Feature Selection:

Total number of features that are being used are, **717**. Following are the feature indices:

12, 13, 14, 15, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779

Following are the top hyper parameter combinations that are working the best for this dataset. The best hyperparameters can be achieved by **GridSearch** of different values of Lambda value and No. of neurons.

	Acc	Layer	Time	lambdaval
29	93.34	20	115.880328	10
30	93.33	20	116.140313	20
31	93.29	20	125.382484	30
28	93.24	20	129.878582	0
32	93.15	20	124.002306	40

Tab – 1


Following combination gives the best accuracy on the test set :

No. of Neurons : 20

Lambda : 10

The above combination takes ~115 seconds to run and has an accuracy of 93.34%


Comparison between no. of neurons and Test set accuracy:



Inference: As it is expected, with the increase in no of neurons in the hidden layer the accuracy of the model increases.


Though the accuracy plateaus after 12 neurons, the general trend indicates that with the increase in no. of neurons, accuracy of the model increases.

Comparison between no. of neurons and Time Taken:



Inference: As it is expected, with the increase in no of neurons in the hidden layer the time taken for the model to run increases.

Comparison between Lambda and Accuracy:



Inference: Though it is not very clear which the best lambda is here, from the tab – 1 we can say that 10 gives best test accuracy.

Results of DeepNN script:

Following are the results that were obtained by running DeepNN script.

The network corresponds to No. of hidden layers in the network and Accuracy corresponds to the test set accuracy.

```
Network: 3
Accuracy: 0.85276306
Network: 5
Accuracy: 0.81188494
Network: 7
Accuracy: 0.84405756
```

Results of FaceNN script:

Following are the results that were obtained by running the FaceNN script.

```
Training set Accuracy:84.45497630331754%
Validation set Accuracy:83.71482176360226%
Test set Accuracy:84.89780469341409%
```

Comparison between DeepNN and FaceNN:

Taking a single hidden layer with 256 neurons, following is one-to-one comparison between DeepNN and FaceNN.

DeepNN Results

```
Accuracy: 0.828539
Time: 64.55648879180507
```

FaceNN Results

```
Test set Accuracy:84.89780469341409%
Time: 106.75771992458581
```

Despite accuracy being very close we can see a huge difference for the model to run. It is possibly because we are using Adam Optimizer in DeepNN which converges much faster.

Following are the results by running the CNNscript:
The CNN gives 98.7% accuracy on test set with a run time of ~34 minutes.

Following are it's screenshots.

```
springsteen.cse.buffalo.edu - PuTTY
login as: sputta
sputta@springsteen.cse.buffalo.edu's password:
last login: Sun Apr 15 19:36:17 2018 from dhcp095-245-248.wireless.buffalo.edu

springsteen (~) > ls
cnnScript.py      data              nnScript.py      Windows
cse587_sputta_submission.zip  mnist_all.mat  Putta_lab2.zip
springsteen (~) > python cnnScript.py
Extracting data/MNIST/train-images-idx3-ubyte.gz
Extracting data/MNIST/train-labels-idx1-ubyte.gz
Extracting data/MNIST/t10k-images-idx3-ubyte.gz
Extracting data/MNIST/t10k-labels-idx1-ubyte.gz
Size of:
- Training-set:      55000
- Test-set:          10000
- Validation-set:    5000
Accuracy on Test-Set: 10.3% (1032 / 10000)
Optimization Iteration: 1, Training Accuracy: 7.8%
Time usage: 0:00:00
Accuracy on Test-Set: 9.3% (930 / 10000)
Time usage: 0:00:26
Accuracy on Test-Set: 70.2% (7023 / 10000)
Optimization Iteration: 101, Training Accuracy: 73.4%
Optimization Iteration: 201, Training Accuracy: 79.7%
Optimization Iteration: 301, Training Accuracy: 73.4%
Optimization Iteration: 401, Training Accuracy: 82.8%
Optimization Iteration: 501, Training Accuracy: 89.1%
Optimization Iteration: 601, Training Accuracy: 93.8%
Optimization Iteration: 701, Training Accuracy: 90.6%
Optimization Iteration: 801, Training Accuracy: 90.6%
Optimization Iteration: 901, Training Accuracy: 95.3%
Time usage: 0:04:19
Accuracy on Test-Set: 92.9% (9291 / 10000)
Optimization Iteration: 1001, Training Accuracy: 92.2%
Optimization Iteration: 1101, Training Accuracy: 95.3%
Optimization Iteration: 1201, Training Accuracy: 96.9%
Optimization Iteration: 1301, Training Accuracy: 95.3%
Optimization Iteration: 1401, Training Accuracy: 92.2%
Optimization Iteration: 1501, Training Accuracy: 96.9%
Optimization Iteration: 1601, Training Accuracy: 92.2%
Optimization Iteration: 1701, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 1801, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 1901, Training Accuracy: 95.3%
Optimization Iteration: 2001, Training Accuracy: 89.1%
Optimization Iteration: 2101, Training Accuracy: 96.9%
Optimization Iteration: 2201, Training Accuracy: 96.9%
Optimization Iteration: 2301, Training Accuracy: 95.3%
Optimization Iteration: 2401, Training Accuracy: 95.3%
Optimization Iteration: 2501, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
```

```
springsteen.cse.buffalo.edu - PuTTY
Optimization Iteration: 5401, Training Accuracy: 96.9%
Optimization Iteration: 5501, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 5601, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 5701, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 5801, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 5901, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 6001, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 6101, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 6201, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 6301, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 6401, Training Accuracy: 95.3%
Optimization Iteration: 6501, Training Accuracy: 93.8%
Optimization Iteration: 6601, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 6701, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 6801, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 6901, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 7001, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 7101, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 7201, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 7301, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 7401, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 7501, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 7601, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 7701, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 7801, Training Accuracy: 95.3%
Optimization Iteration: 7901, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 8001, Training Accuracy: 96.9%
Optimization Iteration: 8101, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 8201, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 8301, Training Accuracy: 95.3%
Optimization Iteration: 8401, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 8501, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 8601, Training Accuracy: 96.9%
Optimization Iteration: 8701, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 8801, Training Accuracy: 96.9%
Optimization Iteration: 8901, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 9001, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 9101, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 9201, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 9301, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 9401, Training Accuracy: 98.4%
Optimization Iteration: 9501, Training Accuracy: 96.9%
Optimization Iteration: 9601, Training Accuracy: 95.3%
Optimization Iteration: 9701, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 9801, Training Accuracy: 100.0%
Optimization Iteration: 9901, Training Accuracy: 96.9%
Time usage: 0:34:07
Accuracy on Test-Set: 98.7% (9867 / 10000)
springsteen (~) >
```