

## Reya Network Security Review

#### **Pashov Audit Group**

Conducted by: rvierdiiev, SpicyMeatball, Shaka July 15th 2024 - July 17th 2024

## **Contents**

1. About Pashov Audit Group	2
2. Disclaimer	2
3. Introduction	2
4. About Reya Network	2
5. Risk Classification	3
5.1. Impact	3
5.2. Likelihood	3
5.3. Action required for severity levels	4
6. Security Assessment Summary	4
7. Executive Summary	5
8. Findings	7
8.1. Medium Findings	7
[M-01] Stork Oracle price can be arbitrated	7
8.2. Low Findings	10
[L-01] RedstoneNode will proceed with price calculation if price fetching reverts	10
[L-02] Ability to set max stale duration for non-existent node	10

### 1. About Pashov Audit Group

Pashov Audit Group consists of multiple teams of some of the best smart contract security researchers in the space. Having a combined reported security vulnerabilities count of over 1000, the group strives to create the absolute very best audit journey possible - although 100% security can never be guaranteed, we do guarantee the best efforts of our experienced researchers for your blockchain protocol. Check our previous work <u>here</u> or reach out on Twitter <u>@pashovkrum</u>.

#### 2. Disclaimer

A smart contract security review can never verify the complete absence of vulnerabilities. This is a time, resource and expertise bound effort where we try to find as many vulnerabilities as possible. We can not guarantee 100% security after the review or even if the review will find any problems with your smart contracts. Subsequent security reviews, bug bounty programs and on-chain monitoring are strongly recommended.

#### 3. Introduction

A time-boxed security review of the **Reya-Labs/reya-network** repository was done by **Pashov Audit Group**, with a focus on the security aspects of the application's smart contracts implementation.

## 4. About Reya Network

Reya Network is a trading-optimised modular L2 for perpetuals. The chain layer is powered by Arbitrum Orbit and is gas-free, with transactions ordered on a FIFO basis. The protocol layer directly tackles the vertical integration of DeFi applications by breaking the chain into modular components to support trading, such as PnL settlements, margin requirements, liquidations.

#### 5. Risk Classification

Severity	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: High	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: Medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: Low	Medium	Low	Low

#### 5.1. Impact

- High leads to a significant material loss of assets in the protocol or significantly harms a group of users.
- Medium only a small amount of funds can be lost (such as leakage of value) or a core functionality of the protocol is affected.
- Low can lead to any kind of unexpected behavior with some of the protocol's functionalities that's not so critical.

#### 5.2. Likelihood

- High attack path is possible with reasonable assumptions that mimic on-chain conditions, and the cost of the attack is relatively low compared to the amount of funds that can be stolen or lost.
- Medium only a conditionally incentivized attack vector, but still relatively likely.
- Low has too many or too unlikely assumptions or requires a significant stake by the attacker with little or no incentive.

#### 5.3. Action required for severity levels

- Critical Must fix as soon as possible (if already deployed)
- High Must fix (before deployment if not already deployed)
- Medium Should fix
- Low Could fix

## 6. Security Assessment Summary

review commit hash - 71f999550540da759355f042c7efdf15fd19ed63

fixes review commit hash - accd2420aa24a8424172b175ef4cf253a610358b

#### **Scope**

The following smart contracts were in scope of the audit:

- OracleAdaptersProxy
- DataTypes
- Errors
- Events
- FeatureFlagSupport
- ConfigurationModule
- FeatureFlagModule
- OwnerUpgradeModule
- StorkERC7412WrapperModule
- StorkPriceInformationModule
- Configuration
- StorkPrice
- NodeModule
- FallbackReducerNode
- StorkOffchainLookupNode
- NodeDefinition

## 7. Executive Summary

Over the course of the security review, rvierdiiev, SpicyMeatball, Shaka engaged with Reya Network to review Reya Network. In this period of time a total of 3 issues were uncovered.

#### **Protocol Summary**

<b>Protocol Name</b>	Reya Network
Repository	https://github.com/Reya-Labs/reya-network
Date	July 15th 2024 - July 17th 2024
<b>Protocol Type</b>	Perpetuals Trading L2

#### **Findings Count**

Severity	Amount
Medium	1
Low	2
<b>Total Findings</b>	3

## **Summary of Findings**

ID	Title	Severity	Status
[ <u>M-01</u> ]	Stork Oracle price can be arbitrated	Medium	Acknowledged
[ <u>L-01</u> ]	RedstoneNode will proceed with price calculation if price fetching reverts	Low	Resolved
[ <u>L-02</u> ]	Ability to set max stale duration for non-existent node	Low	Resolved

## 8. Findings

#### 8.1. Medium Findings

#### [M-01] Stork Oracle price can be arbitrated

#### Severity

Impact: Medium

Likelihood: Medium

#### **Description**

StorkERC7412WrapperModule.fulfillOracleQuery is used to update the price of the Stork oracle.

Given that the oracle works with a push model, this opens the opportunity to arbitrage a price update by performing a trade, setting the new price, and closing the position, all in the same transaction.

What is more, the price can be updated multiple times in the same block, so the attacker can choose both the initial and final price, as long as they satisfy the following conditions:

- The initial price timestamp is equal to or greater than the previous price timestamp.
- The final price timestamp is equal to or greater than the initial price timestamp.

This increases the chances of performing a profitable arbitrage, especially in moments of high volatility.

Note that while FeatureFlagSupport.ensureExecutorAccess() ensures that the caller is allowed to perform the price update, and the protocol will start restricting the update of the price to trusted entities, it is planned to allow

anyone to perform this action in the future, which can be done by setting allowAll to true for the executor feature flag.

#### Recommendations

Ensure that the Stork price is not updated more than once per block and that the new price is not stale. This is a reference implementation of how this could be done:

```
File: StorkERC7412WrapperModule.sol
        if (latestPrice.timestamp > pricePayload.timestamp) {
            revert Errors.StorkPayloadOlderThanLatest
              (pricePayload, latestPrice);
        }
        // Do not revert if the price has already been updated in the current block,
        // do a multicall to update the price and perform a trade in the same transac
        // been updated in the current block, we can continue.
        if (latestPriceUpdatedAtBlock == block.number) {
            return;
        }
        if (pricePayload.timestamp + MAX_PAYLOAD_DELAY < block.timestamp) {</pre>
            revert Errors.StorkPayloadStaleData(pricePayload);
        StorkPrice.load(pricePayload.assetPairId).latestPrice = pricePayload;
        StorkPrice storage storkPrice = StorkPrice.load
 (pricePayload.assetPairId);
        storkPrice.updatedAtBlock = block.number;
        storkPrice.latestPrice = pricePayload;
```

When the Stork oracle price is consumed, ensure that the price has been updated in the current block.

#### 8.2. Low Findings

# [L-01] RedstoneNode will proceed with price calculation if price fetching reverts

RedstoneNode.getAveragePrice function should calculate the twap price during the time interval. In order to do so, it fetches information about rounds and if they are not stale, their price is included to calculate the average.

```
while (latestRoundId > 0) {
   try redstone.getRoundData(--latestRoundId) returns (
      uint80, int256 answer, uint256, uint256 updatedAt, uint80
) {
   if (updatedAt < startTime) {
      break;
   }
   if (answer < 0) {
      revert NegativePrice(answer, redstone);
   }
   priceSum += answer.toUint();
   priceCount++;
} catch {
   break;
}
}</pre>
```

In case redstone.getRoundData(--latestRoundId) reverts then the function
breaks the loop through rounds instead of revert. As a result, the price will be
calculated on less amount of sources than it should.

## [L-02] Ability to set max stale duration for non-existent node

The owner has the capability to set the maxStaleDuration for a non-existent node:

```
function setMaxStaleDuration
  (bytes32 nodeId, uint256 maxStaleDuration) external {
    OwnableStorage.onlyOwner();
    NodeDefinition.setMaxStaleDuration(nodeId, maxStaleDuration);
    emit MaxStaleDurationUpdated(nodeId, maxStaleDuration);
}
```

This allows a newly created node to have a maxStaleDuration value other than zero. It is recommended to validate the existence of nodes before modifying their parameters.