1 Preliminary Market Research

As preliminary market research, we have followed several criteria for evaluation of the existing solutions to analyse the market research as follows:

Table 1: Criteria for selecting the researched tool

| Criteria | Description |
|-------------------------|--|
| Туре | Determines the system's open-source or proprietary status, |
| | which determines control, cost, and flexibility. |
| Cost | The focus is on cost-effectiveness, considering both setup and |
| | ongoing operating costs, ensuring solutions provide substantial |
| | financial value. |
| Core Functionalities | The system requires automated logging and complaint |
| | classification based on initial inputs from staff assessments and |
| | service user feedback. |
| Scalability/Flexibility | As PBL Care's operational requirements grow, the system must |
| | expand to accommodate more customers and complaints |
| | without compromising efficiency. |
| Use Case | Systems are designed for specific situations, such as managing |
| | confidential information, managing multiple departments, or |
| | providing multi-channel support. |
| Limitation | The system's long-term suitability is ensured by assessing its |
| | operational or technical limitations. |
| Utilisation of AI/ML | The tool utilizes artificial intelligence (AI) techniques like natural |
| | language processing (NLP) to enhance complaint registration, |

| | classification, and analysis, thereby enhancing accuracy and efficiency. |
|------------------------------|--|
| Analysis | This application can analyse data, spot trends, assess the effectiveness of services, and direct decision-making procedures. |
| Compliance | The tool is regulated by healthcare and data protection regulations like GDPR and CQC requirements. |
| User Adoption and Support | It guarantees that the system is easy to use for employees and service consumers as well, reducing the need for training and promoting ease of use. Evaluate the quality and accessibility of new user support and training materials. More seamless transitions and improved adoption can be facilitated by thorough training and easily available support resources. |
| Ongoing Support | Crucial to long-term sustainability, with an emphasis on |
| and System | dependable service and simple system changes. Consider the |
| Maintenance | provider's history of adding new features and security precautions to the system. Regular upgrades can guarantee that the system remains secure and up to date. |
| Security | Robust data security protocols to prevent unwanted access to confidential information. |
| Data Portability | Data exporting and transferring across systems must be simple, especially when using data analytics tools or for backup and recovery. |
| Customisation Flexibility | Although various customization options have been considered, it is important to specifically assess how much the system can be modified to fit the unique processes and evolving requirements of PBL Care. |

| Integration with other systems | Ensure the system can seamlessly integrate with existing healthcare management systems. HR systems, and other software tools used by PBL Care. This could include electronic health records (EHR), customer relationship management (CRM) systems, or enterprise resource planned (ERP) systems. |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Regulatory | Beyond current compliance, consider how well the system can |
| Futureproofing | adapt to potential future changes in healthcare regulations and standards. |
| Environmental | If sustainability is a concern for PBL Care, evaluating the |
| Impact | environmental impact of deploying and maintaining the system |
| | could be considered. This includes server energy consumption |
| | if hosted on-premises or the environmental policies of the cloud provider if hosted. |
| Feedback | Ensure there are effective mechanisms for collecting feedback |
| Mechanisms | from users about the system's functionality and usability, which |
| | can inform continuous improvement. |
| Vendor Stability and | Research the stability and market reputation of the software |
| Reputation | provider. A stable vendor with a solid reputation is more likely |
| | to provide reliable long-term service and support. |
| Disaster Recovery | Evaluate the system's capabilities in terms of data backup, |
| and Business | recovery solutions, and its role in the business continuity plans |
| Continuity | of PBL Care. |

Table 2: Tools Analysis

| Tool | Туре | Approx Cost (USD) | Criteria Matched | Usefulness in Healthcare | Link | Compliance | User Adoption and Support | Feedback Mechanisms | Vendor Stability and Reputation | Environmental Impact | Key Analysis Points |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--|--|-----------------|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| osTicket | Open Source | Free, Varies | Customizatio n, Scalability, Integration | Efficient patient inquiry management, adaptable to healthcare systems. | <u>osTicket</u> | GDPR, HIPAA (with customization) | Moderate; requires technical expertise | Community forums | Stable, widely used | Low; cloud- based reduces footprint | Highly Customizable, requires technical setup |
| OTRS Community Edition | Open Source | Free, varies | Customizatio n, Scalability, Compliance | Ideal for multi- department healthcare workflow | <u>OTRS</u> | GDPR, HIPPA (customisable) | High, extensive training required. | Community feedback, direct support options | Stable, reputable in IT | Low, cloud- based | Strong process management, complex setup |
| MantisBT | Open Source | Free, Varies | Customizatio n, Security, Tracking | Tracks and manages clinical issues and complaints | MantisB T | Can be configured for GDPR, HIPPA | Moderate, user- driven | Issue tracking system | Stable, less known outside IT | Low, server- based | Effective for detailed tracking, not healthcare- specific |
| Redmine | Open Source | Free, Varies | Scalability, Customisatio n, Integration | Manages healthcare projects and complaints | Redmin e | GDPR, HIPPA (with plugins) | Moderate, developer- focused | Community forums, Issue tracking | Stable, open- source community | Low, server or cloud-based | Requires customization for healthcare use |
| Zammad | Open Source | Free, Varies | Multi- channel Support, | Manages multi- channel patient communications | Zamma d | GDPR, potential for HIPPA | High, user- | Direct user feedback, analytics | Emerging, growth | Moderate, cloud-hosted | Modern interface, growing |

| | | | Customisatio n, AI/ML | | | | friendly interface | | | | community support |
|-------------------------------|---------|--|---|--|------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| Zendesk | Private | Starts at \$19/ag ent/mo nth | Al/ML, Multi- channel Support, Scalability | Advanced patient interaction management across channels | Zendesk | GDPR, HIPPA | Very High, extensive resources | Surveys, automated feedback | Vary stable, industry leader | Moderate, extensive cloud usage | Comprehensiv e AI features, extensive for large scale |
| Salesforce Health Cloud | Private | \$25 to \$300+/ user/m onth | Customisatio n, Compliance, Integration | Comprehensive patient data Integration and management | Salesfor ce | GDPR, HIPPA, others | Very High, complex features require training | Integrated within platform | Very stable, industry- leading | Moderate, significant infrastructure | Excessive cost, effective features, best for large organisations |
| Freshdesk | Private | Free to \$15/ag ent/mo nth | Scalability, User Support Customisatio n | Centralized management of patient queries and complaints | freshdes k | GDPR, potentially HIPPA | High, Intuitive platform | Ticket-based feedback, surveys | Stable, reputable | Low, cloud- based | Flexible, cost- effective, suitable for various healthcare sizes |
| Medallia | Private | Custo m pricing | Al/ML, Real- time Analytics, Multi- channel support | Enhances patient care through real-time feedback analysis | Medallia | GDPR, HIPPA | High, specialise d for client experienc e | Real-time feedback, in- depth analytics | Stable, well- regarded in CX | Moderate, data-intensive operations | Specializes in patient experience, requires significant data handling |
| Healthgrad es | Private | Custo m pricing | Feedback Mechanisms, User Adoption, Multi- department Support | Specialises in managing patient satisfaction and healthcare provider ratings | Healthgr ades | GDPR, potential for HIPPA | Moderate, healthcare provider- focused | Patient reviews and feedback | Stable, well-known in healthcare | Low, primarily an online platform | Focused on patient satisfaction, limited in direct complaint management |

| Tool | | | Use Case | | Co | ost | | Feat | tures | | (| Complianc | e | lmį | olementa | tion | | Technica equiremen | | Us | er Adopti | on | Ve | ndor Stab | ility |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------|--------|-----|-----------|------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|
| √x | Availability | Healthcare | Retail | Hospitality | Free Version | Premium Version (USD) / Varies | Customisable | Scalable | Add-ons acceptance | Secure | CQC | HIPPA | GDPR | Setup Complexity | User Interactivity | Integration Capabilities | OS Compatibility | Al Adaptability | Computational Requirements | Feedback Mechanism | Training Requirements | Ease of Use | Market Presence | Growth Stability | Reputation |
| osTicket | Open - Sour ce | √ | √ | V | V | х | V | V | V | √ | √ | √ | V | М | √ | V | Н | V | V | √ | М | V | Н | Н | √ |
| OTRS Communit y Edition | Open - Sour ce | V | V | V | V | Х | V | V | V | V | √ | √ | V | Н | V | √ | Н | V | Н | М | Н | М | Н | Н | √ |
| MantisBT | Open - Sour ce | 1 | 1 | √ | 1 | Х | √ | 1 | √ | 1 | 1 | 1 | √ | М | 1 | √ | Н | 1 | √ | L | М | М | Н | М | √ |
| Redmine | Open - Sour ce | √ | 1 | √ | √ | Х | √ | √ | √ | 1 | V | 1 | √ | Н | 1 | 1 | Н | √ | М | М | М | М | Н | Н | √ |
| Zammed | Open - Sour ce | V | V | V | V | х | √ | V | √ | V | V | V | √ | М | V | V | М | V | М | М | М | √ | М | М | √ |
| Zendesk | Priva te | V | V | √ | Х | √ | √ | V | V | V | √ | V | √ | L | V | V | L | V | М | Н | L | √ | Н | Н | √ |

| Salesforce Health Cloud | Priva te | 1 | Х | х | Х | √ | J | √ | √ | 1 | 1 | √ | √ | Н | J | J | Н | √ | Н | М | Н | M | Н | Н | √ |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Freshdesk | Priva te | √ | √ | V | V | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | V | L | √ | √ | L | √ | L | Н | L | √ | Н | Н | √ |
| Medallia | Priva te | V | х | х | V | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | V | √ | V | V | √ | √ | Н | √ | Н | М | Н | М | Н | Н | √ |
| Healthgra des | Priva te | V | х | х | V | √ | V | √ | V | V | V | √ | V | М | V | V | М | √ | М | М | М | √ | Н | Н | √ |

1.1.1 Keynote:

- Availability: Open-Source or Private
- Use Case: Healthcare, Retail, Hospitality
- Cost: Free version available, Premium version varies
- Features: Customizable, Scalable, Integrable, Secure
- Compliance: CQC, HIPPA, GDPR
- Implementation: Setup Complexity (M= Medium, L= Low, H= High), User Interactivity, Integration Capabilities

- **Technical Requirements:** OS Compatibility, AI Adaptability, Computational Requirements (L= Low, M= Medium, H= High)
- User Adoption: Feedback Mechanism, Training Requirements (L=Low, M= Medium, H= High), Ease of Use
- Vendor Stability: Market Presence, Growth Stability, Reputation (L=Low, M=Medium, H= High)

1.2 Discussion and Market Gap:

Operational Efficiency: Operational efficiency in complaint management is crucial for reducing resolution times and increasing the overall productivity of PBL Care. Streamlining processes through automation and digital solutions can minimize manual handling, thus reducing human error and the associated workload for staff. This not only enhances service quality but also improves customer satisfaction.

Compliance and privacy: Compliance with regulatory requirements such as CQC (Care Quality Commission), GDPR, and healthcare-specific privacy laws is mandatory. The automated system standards such be designed to secure personal data and comply with these regulations effectively, thereby safeguarding service user information and ensuring that PBL Care meets its legal obligations.

Intelligence: Leveraging AI and ML technologies can transform the complaint management process by enabling sophisticated data analysis and trend prediction. This can lead to more proactive management, identifying potential issues before they escalate, and tailoring responses to the specific needs of service users based on past interactions.

User-usability: The system must be accessible and easy to use for all service users, including those with disabilities. This involves creating intuitive user interfaces and using voice-to-text technologies to aid those who may have difficulty with traditional typing or navigation.

Budget: Consideration of budget constraints is essential. It is important to find a balance between cost and functionality, starting with a basic system with scalable features that can be enhanced or expanded as budget permits or needs evolve.

Scalability and Flexibility: The chosen solution should grow with the organisation and be flexible enough to adapt to changing requirements. This includes being able to handle an increasing volume of complaints and integrating with other systems as PBL Care expands its services.

Digitalising Historical Data: To leverage the full value of historical data, the system should include capabilities to digitize and categorize old records. This would enhance the ability to conduct thorough trend analyses and improve service based on historical insights.

1.3 Assessing Technical Resources and Expertise

1.3.1 In-house Technical Capabilities

- PBL Care should assess its in-house technical capabilities. If technical expertise is readily available, open-source solutions like Redmine or OTRS may offer long-term benefits and greater control over customization.
- If technical resources are limited, turnkey solutions like Zendesk or Freshdesk would be more appropriate due to their user-friendly interfaces and extensive support networks.

1.4 Immediate vs. Long-Term Needs

- For immediate deployment with minimal setup, cloud-based proprietary solutions like Zendesk, Freshdesk, or Zoho Desk are ideal. They are quick to implement and offer a straightforward subscription model.
- For long-term solutions, particularly if PBL Care is looking to scale up its operations
 or integrate complaint management with broader organizational processes,
 Salesforce Service Cloud or a robustly implemented Office 365 suite could be
 considered.

1.5 Budget Constraints

• If budget constraints are a significant factor, starting with services like Zoho Desk or Freshdesk, which offer free tiers, would be beneficial. This approach allows PBL Care to scale up and opt for more advanced features as the budget allows or as the need for more sophisticated tools becomes apparent.

1.6 Regulatory Compliance

 Any solution chosen must comply with regulatory standards such as GDPR and healthcare-specific privacy laws. Salesforce and Office 365, for example, offer strong compliance features but may come at a higher cost.

1.7 AI and ML (Machine Learning) Utilization

 For advanced data analysis, trend prediction, and automated handling, solutions with AL capabilities like Zendesk or Salesforce should be explored. Although initially more expensive, the long-term efficiency gains and improved user experiences can justify the investment.

1.8 Scalability and Flexibility

PBL Care should consider how the chosen solution can grow with the organization.
 Private solutions like Jira service Management and Salesforce are highly scalable and can be customized extensively, although they might require a larger initial investment in terms of time and money.

1.9 Technical Resources

• If PBL Care has access to technical expertise, leveraging open-source tools could offer the most customization at a lower ongoing financial cost. If not, the simplicity and support offered by private solutions may justify higher costs.

Table 3 Market Gaps.

| Feature | Tool(s) | Market Gap | Solution |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Operational Efficiency | osTicket, OTRS, Redmine | requires technical expertise for installation and maintenance, which may reduce operating effectiveness in non-technical settings. | Development of simpler user interfaces and automated setup procedures to reduce reliance on technical expertise. |
| Compliance and privacy | Zammed, MantisBT | To completely adhere to HIPPA and CQC regulations, more customisations could be necessary. | To guarantee out-of- the-box compliance, integrate compliance modules tailored to the healthcare and other regulated industries. |

| Intelligence | Redmine, MantisBT, osTicket | limited ability of AI to support analytical decision-making. | To improve data analysis and forecasting capacities, employ machine learning and artificial intelligence characteristics. |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| User-usability | OTRS, MantisBT, Redmine | complex user interfaces that could be challenging for non-technical people. | Optimize the requirement for training and increase user acceptance by streamlining user interfaces and improving documentation. |
| Budget | Salesforce Health Cloud, Medallia | Expensive costs could be out of reach for smaller businesses. | Offer a basic free tier as well as tiered pricing structures to suit a larger range of monetary constraints. |
| Scalability and Flexibility | Zammed, osTicket | However scalable, customization and scaling may need a large amount of technical work. | Establish a more modular designs to facilitate growth and modification with less technical assistance. |
| Digitalising Historical Data | All. Particularly Salesforce and Medallia | Effective integration of historical data is not the primary focus of most tools. | To accelerate digital transformation procedures, improve historical data integration, OCR, and scanning capabilities. |