

# A1

## Contents

- Q1
- Q2
- Q3
- Q4
- Q5
  - Refined
- Q6
  - a
  - b
- Q7
  - a
  - b
  - c
  - d

## Q1

$W_1$  and  $W_3$  not found for  $L^4$

$W_3$  not found for  $L^*$

## Q2

🔗 When calculating  $L^*$  do we need to consider  $L^0 = \epsilon$  in the shortlex order

$\epsilon$ , 01, 010, 100, 0101, 01001, 01010, 01100, 10001, 010010, 010100, 010101, 100010, 100100, 0100101, 0101001, 0101010, 0101100, 0110001

## Q3

$A^*B^* = \{0\}^*\{1\}^* = \text{Strings } 0^n1^m \text{ where } n, m \geq 0$

$(AB)^* = (01)^* = \text{Even length strings, with equal number of 0 and 1, starting with 0, ending in 1, and no consecutive same symbols.}$

$(A \cap B)^* = \text{set of empty string.}$

$(A \cup B)^* AB$  = Binary string ending in 01.

$(A^* \cup AB)^* - (BAB)^*$  = Binary String that don't end with 1 and no consecutive 1 in the string.

## Q4

a.  $G \times (H \cup K) = \{(a, 1), (a, 2), (a, 3), (b, 1), (b, 2), (b, 3)\}$

b.  $G \times (H \cap K) = \{a, b\} \times \{2\} = (a, 2), (b, 2)$

c.  $\mathcal{P}((G \times H) \cap (G \times K)) = \{\phi, \{(a, 2), (b, 2)\}, \{(a, 2)\}, \{(b, 2)\}\}$

## Q5

✧ Try proof by cases

- Let's L is an arbitrary language.  $L = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  with length greater than 1.
- Now for strings  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in L, by the given definition we  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \in L$ . .....(1)
  - If that's not true then L is not repeat avoiding. [case 1]
  - If that's true then **also**  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 \in L$ . [case 2]
    - If that's not true then L is not repeat avoiding. [case 2.1]
    - If that's true then  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 \in L$ . [case 2.2]
      - If case 2.2 not true false then L is not repeat [case 2.2.1]
      - If case 2.2 is true then proposition 1 is false, as  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \in L$  and thus viewing all cases it

## Refined

Let's L is an arbitrary language.  $L = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  where  $|L| > 1$ .

Let's consider 2 strings  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , where  $x_1 \in L$  and  $x_2 \in L$

Now We consider 2 cases,

**Case 1:**  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \in L$

If case 1 is true then,

By proposition 2,  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1$  should be in L

**case a**  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 \in L$

If case a is true then, by proposition 2,  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 \in L$

then it goes against proposition 1 therefore L is not repeat avoiding.

**case b**  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 \notin L$

If Case B then L is not repeat avoiding

**Case 2:**  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \notin L$

If case 2 is true then L is not repeat avoiding.

## Q6

$$S = \{00, 10, 010, 01001\}$$

**a**

**Picking the longest:**

$$010010 \in S^*$$

$$010010 \in S^*$$

$\therefore$  the string is concatenation of 010 to itself and  $010 \in S$

First picking the longest prefix 01001 from S,

We have ~~010010~~, After this we are left 0, and  $0 \notin S$ , therefore for  $W = 010010$  the algorithm returns No, though the string  $010010 \in S^*$

**b**

**01001** = this is the last string in S.

$$01001 \in S^*$$

$$01001 \in S, \therefore 01001 \in S^*$$

First picking the shortest prefix  $W_1 \in S$  such that  $W_1 \cdot y = 01001$

We picked 010, therefore the string becomes ~~01001~~, but  $01 \notin S$  therefore we couldn't cross off all symbols of string W. Hence our algorithm output is No. But as we have showed before string  $W \in S^*$

## Q7

**a**

$W_1, W_2$  two strings  $|W_1| \geq 1$  and  $|W_2| = n$

**Base case:** For  $n = 1$ ,

$$W_2^R = W_2 \text{ Since } x^R = x$$

🔗 If  $|W_2| = 1$ , Can we apply  $(W_1 \cdot W_2)^R = W_2 \cdot W_1^R$  **DONE**

$$(W_1 \cdot W_2)^R = W_2 \cdot W_1^R$$

**Inductive Hypothesis:** For  $n = m$ ,

We assume, for  $W_1, W_m$  where  $W_1$  is an arbitrary string and  $|W_m| = m$ ,

$$(W_1 \cdot W_m)^R = W_m^R \cdot W_1^R$$

### Inductive Step

Now let's consider for  $W_{m+1}$  where,  $|W_{m+1}| = m + 1$ ,

We need to proof,  $(W_1 \cdot W_{m+1})^R = W_{m+1}^R \cdot W_1^R$

Lets consider  $W_{m+1} = W_q \cdot x$  and  $|W_q| = m$

$$(W_1 \cdot W_{m+1})^R = (W_1 \cdot W_q \cdot x)^R$$

$$\text{let, } W_1 \cdot W_q = W$$

$$\text{Then, } (W_1 \cdot W_q \cdot x)^R = (W \cdot x)^R$$

$$\implies (W_1 \cdot W_q \cdot x)^R = xW^R [\because \text{Statement (2)}]$$

$$\implies (W_1 \cdot W_q \cdot x)^R = x(W_1 \cdot W_q)^R \text{ By replacing value of } W \text{ with } W_1 \cdot W_q$$

Using our assumption,

$$\implies (W_1 \cdot W_q \cdot x)^R = x(W_1 \cdot W_q)^R = x \cdot W_q^R \cdot W_1^R \text{ [Both } W_q, W_m \text{ are arbitrary string with same length]}$$

$$(W_q \cdot x)^R = x \cdot W_q^R$$

$$\implies (W_{m+1})^R = x \cdot W_q^R$$

$$\text{Hence, } (W_1 \cdot W_q \cdot x)^R = x(W_1 \cdot W_q)^R = x \cdot W_q^R \cdot W_1^R$$

$$\implies (W_1 \cdot W_q \cdot x)^R = x(W_1 \cdot W_q)^R = (W_{m+1})^R \cdot W_1^R$$

Start is here iam going to be here.

## b

### Base Case

$$(W_1 \cdot W_2)^R = W_2^R \cdot W_1^R$$

### Induction Hypothesis

$$(W_1 \cdot W_2 \cdots W_k)^R = W_k^R \cdots W_2^R \cdot W_1^R$$

$$\text{We need to prove, } (W_1 \cdot W_2 \cdots W_k \cdot W_{k+1})^R = W_{k+1}^R \cdot W_k^R \cdots W_2^R \cdot W_1^R$$

$$\text{L.H.S} = (W_1 \cdot W_2 \cdots W_k \cdot W_{k+1})^R$$

$$= (W \cdot W_{k+1})^R, \text{ where } W = W_1 \cdot W_2 \cdots W_k$$

$$= W_{k+1}^R \cdot W^R [\because \text{proposition 1}]$$

$$= W_{k+1}^R \cdot (W_1 \cdot W_2 \cdots W_k)^R \text{ [replacing W with it's value]}$$

$$= W_{k+1}^R \cdot W_k^R \cdots W_2^R \cdot W_1^R \text{ [Applying induction hypothesis]}$$

$$= \text{R.H.S}$$

## c

? Since  $k$  has no upper limit, kinda like limit can we use Induction?

? Is  $x$  **non-empty**?

? We can't use the definition of reverse, but can we use the definition of palindrome? that is, **if  $X$  is a palindrome then  $X^R = X$**

? For a power of string, can we say  $x^m \cdot x = x \cdot x^m$

? Can we use  $|X^R| == |X|$

Proof If  $X$  is a palindrome, then  $X^n$  is a palindrome.

If  $X$  is a palindrome,

**Base Case:** for  $n = 1$ ,

$x^n = x, x$ ,

there fore  $x^n$  is a palindrome.

**Induction Hypothesis** for  $n = m$

Assume, the statement is true. i.e. If  $x$  is a palindrome, then  $x^m$  is also a palindrome.

**induction step** for  $n = m+1$

We need to prove, the statement is true.

$$x^{m+1} = x^m \cdot x$$

$$\therefore (x^{m+1})^R = (x^m \cdot x)^R = x^R \cdot (x^m)^R \text{ [from 4a]}$$

$$\therefore (x^{m+1})^R = x \cdot x^m \text{ [Since } x \text{ is a plaindome and using Induction hypothesis]}$$

$$\therefore (x^{m+1})^R = x^{m+1}$$

Hence  $x^{m+1}$  is a palindrome.

**d**

**Contrapositive:** If  $x$  is not a palindrome then  $x^n$  is not a palindrome.

**Base case**

for  $n = 1$ ,

$$x^1 = x, \text{ hence if } x \text{ is not a palindrome then } x \neq x^R$$

**Induction Hypothesis** for  $n = m$

Assume if  $x$  is not a palindrome then,  $x^m$  is not a palindrome

**induction step** for  $n = m+1$ ,

$$x^{m+1} = x^m \cdot x$$

$$\therefore (x^{m+1})^R = (x^m \cdot x)^R = x^R \cdot (x^m)^R \text{ [from 4a]}$$

$$\text{Now } x^{m+1} = x^m \cdot x = x \cdot x^m$$

Between  $x^R \cdot (x^m)^R$  and  $x \cdot x^m$  the suffix  $(x^m)^R$  and  $x^m$  is not same and it has same length,

therefore we can conclude that  $x^R \cdot (x^m)^R \neq x \cdot x^m$  or  $x^R \cdot (x^m)^R \neq x^{m+1}$   
hence  $x^{m+1} \neq (x^{m+1})^R$ , therefore  $x^{m+1}$  is not a palindrome.