Design of Experiment Homework Week 04 Name: Md Ariful Hague Miah RH 11636945 Answer to the River No: - 3.7(a) Here, I = No. of Mixing Technique I = 4 (which is the population) J= No. of observations = 4 (from each population) Hypothusis Mull Ho: M= M2= M3= M4 Alternative Ha: at least one of the M: differs $\frac{y_{1}^{\mu_{1}}}{y_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} = \frac{y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{2} + y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{2} + y_{1}}{y_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} = \frac{y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{2} + y_{1}}{y_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} = \frac{y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{2} + y_{1}}{y_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} = \frac{y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{2} + y_{1}}{y_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} = \frac{y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{2} + y_{1}}{y_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} = \frac{y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{1}}{y_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} = \frac{y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{1}}{y_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} = \frac{y_{1} + y_{1} + y_{1}}{y_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} = \frac{y_{1} + y_{1}}{y_{1}^{\mu_{1}}} = \frac{y_$ Similarly, Jy= -42= 421+422+423+424=3156.25 73. = M3 = 31+--+734 = 2933.75 74. -My = 741+-+744 - 2666-25

: Grandmian, 7. = = 1 7. - 7.+42.+43.+44. Now, $SSE = 2 2 (Y_1^{\circ} - Y_1^{\circ})^{-1} = 2931.81$ $= 2 [31.-1.)^{-1} + (4.-1.$ ---+ (xy-4.) 4] = 153908.25 (ming exul) :MSE = SSE = 12825.69 SSTy=JX 2(4,-4.) 1=1,2,3,4 =JX[(4,-4.))+---+(44,-4.)) = 489740.19 (ming exul) MST8 = SST8 = 163246.73 MSTo > MSE, were let to but still we will chak F dist to determine which one is larger F= MSTr = 12.73, critical value at 2=0.05 WH of significanu=3.49 since F7 critical value (or 12.7373.49) we rejut Null hypothesis Ho. Mixing Technique affect the strongth of the cement.

Ans to the Rus: -3.10(9) 3.10(a) Herr, I=No. of Cotton weight ().)=5 (population) J=No. of observations in each population = 5 Hypothuis Mull: Ho: M=M2=-- = Ms-= Atternative Ha: at hest one of they; differs Mi=mean obs. of withon weight 7: - 12 1 => 7: = 7:1+ - + 7:5 = 9.80 = M

Similarly, $\overline{Y_2} = \frac{y_{21} + \dots + y_{25}}{5} = 15.40 = M_2$ $\overline{Y_3} = \frac{y_{31} + \dots + y_{35}}{5} = 17.60 = M_3$ $\overline{Y_4} = \frac{y_{41} + \dots + y_{45}}{5} = 21.60 = M_4$ $\overline{Y_5} = \frac{y_{51} + \dots + y_{55}}{5} = 10.80 = M_5$ Grand Mean, $\overline{Y_1} = \frac{\overline{Y_1} + \overline{Y_2} + \dots + \overline{Y_5}}{5} = 15.04$

35E = 2 2 (4); - 4;)
= 2 [(4)-4;) + ... (45-4;) + (421-42:) + ... (425-42:) + ... (425-42:) + ... + (455-45:) + ... + (455-45:)]
= 161.20 (neing exul)

MSE = SSE = 8.06 SST8 = JX 2 (4: -4.) 1=1,2,-15 $=J\times[(y_{1},-y_{1})_{1}+\cdots+(y_{s},-y_{s})_{1}]$ = 475.76. (neing Aul) MST8 = SST8 = 118.94, MSTo > MSE, rejut Hull hypotheris Ho" wewill

F = MSTo = 14.76, Ewhich one is larger critical value at 2005 [wel of significance Since F> vitical value (or, 14.76 > 2.866) we reject dull hypothelis Ito. : The lotton content affects the mean tensile strength.

Ansto the Ring 3'20

3.20(a) Here, I=no. of Rodd. level= 4 (population)

J=No. of observation in each population= 3

Hypothesic

Yuil Ho: M= M2=M3=M4

Atternative Ita; at least one of the M; differs

i=1,2,3,4

Mi=mean compress strength of Rodd-level 10 = J. $\vec{y}_{i} = \vec{y}_{i} = \vec{y}_{i} = \vec{y}_{i} = \vec{y}_{i} + \vec{y}_{12} + \vec{y}_{13} = 1500$ J2 = 721+722+723 = 1586.67 J3. = 31+32+33 = 160667 Jy. = $y_{41} + y_{42} + y_{43} = 1500$ Grand mean, $y_{1} = \frac{1}{2}y_{1} = \frac{1}{4}y_{1} = 15$ SSE = 2 2 (4) - 7:)2 $=\frac{1}{2}\left[(\frac{1}{1}-\frac{1}{1})^{2}+\cdots+(\frac{1}{1}-\frac{1}{1})^$ = 40933.33 (using excel) MSE - SSE - 5116.67 55T8=JX 2 (7: -J.), i=1,2,3,4 = J x [(7, -7.) + --. + (74, - 7.)] =28633·33 (Wing excl)

 $MST_8 = \frac{551_8}{7-1} = 9544.44$ MST, > MSE, reject Ho. Now wewill f-dist? to determine which one is larger. F = MS18 - 1.87 critical value at 2=0.05 level of significance = 4.066 (using R) Sink F < critical value (D8 1.87 < 4.066) we fail to reject Null hypothetis Ho. : There is no difference in compressive strength due to the rodding level. 3.20 (b) The P-value for the F-statistics in part (a) is [0.214] (see the attached "R" script)