

Cytotoxic T Cells Are Replaced by Novel Clones After Immune Checkpoint Blocker Therapy

Journal of Cutaneous Medicine and Surgery
00(0) 1–2
© The Author(s) 2019
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/1203475419890843
journals.sagepub.com/home/cms



Pingxing Xie¹ , Philippe Lefraçois¹ , and Ivan V. Litvinov¹

Keywords

immune checkpoint blockers, tumor response, BCC, SCC, tumor infiltrating lymphocytes

Immune checkpoint blockers are a major part of the modern therapeutic arsenal in many locally advanced and metastatic cancers. Two clinical response trends have emerged: in a minority of patients, they induce a long-lasting antitumoral response, but for most patients, they only provide short-lived benefits. Identifying responders prior to the treatment and modulating the tumor microenvironment in nonresponders are areas of active research. The immune tumoral microenvironment, especially T lymphocyte diversity and the abundance of their clonotypes, modifies the response to various immunotherapy agents, including immune checkpoint blockers.¹ In patients with basal cell carcinoma (BCC), initial studies have failed to show sustained clinical benefits with immune checkpoint blocker therapy.² In contrast, cemiplimab, a PD-1 inhibitor, was recently approved by FDA for metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (SCC).³

A recent study by Yost et al performed single-cell RNA-sequencing and T cell receptor-sequencing of BCC tumors from 11 patients before and after pembrolizumab treatment to determine the change of T lymphocytes clonotypes among tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs).⁴ The authors have identified that pembrolizumab treatment primarily affected CD8+ T cells rather than CD4+ T cells. Compared with pretreatment BCC tumors, several subpopulations of CD8+ T lymphocytes were more abundant in posttreatment BCC tumors. Among these, chronically exhausted CD8+ T cells displayed the greatest clonal expansion following pembrolizumab therapy. Exhausted CD8+ T cells are a recently described subset of CD8+ T cells. They express markers of chronic activation, T cell dysfunction, and tumor reactivity.⁵

After pembrolizumab therapy, 84% of the exhausted CD8+ T cell clonotypes were novel. Namely, they were not detected in pretreatment BCC tumors. Moreover, 35.5% of novel exhausted CD8+ T clonotypes could be detected in peripheral blood posttreatment. Notably, 11.8% of novel exhausted CD8+ T cell clones could be detected in peripheral blood pretreatment, despite their complete absence in pretreatment BCC tumors, suggesting that peripheral T cells may contribute to the response to immune checkpoint

blockers. In the end, the authors performed the same experiments in 4 patients with SCC before and after anti-PD-1 treatment. Similar results of posttreatment clonal replacement of CD8+ T cells, especially exhausted CD8+ T cells, were observed.

The study by Yost et al has several clinical implications for malignancies currently treated with anti-PD-1 agents, including cutaneous SCC. First, the pretreatment TILs undergo clonal replacement by a distinct set of novel tumor-specific T cell clonotypes. This is most striking in the tumor-specific exhausted CD8+ T cell subpopulation. Hence, characterizing the pretreatment TIL landscape may have limited clinical value. Second, a proportion of novel exhausted CD8+ T cell clonotypes forming the expanded posttreatment TIL repertoire are present circulating in the peripheral blood. Therefore, tumor-specific T cell response to immune checkpoint blockers may be monitored in peripheral blood. Finally, the reason for better response to immune checkpoint blockers in immune-infiltrated tumors compared to immune-desert tumors is probably due to their ability to constantly recruit new T cell clones. However, the source of the novel T cell clones and their influence on clinical response remain unknown.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

¹Division of Dermatology, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, QC, Canada


Corresponding Author:


Ivan V. Litvinov, Division of Dermatology, McGill University Health Centre, Rm. E02.6236, 1001 Decarie Blvd, Montreal, QC, Canada H4A 3J1.
Email: ivan.litvinov@mcgill.ca

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Pingxing Xie  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4674-5695>

Philippe Lefraçois  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2939-7956>

References

1. Emens LA, Butterfield LH, Hodi FS, Marincola FM, Kaufman HL. Cancer immunotherapy trials: leading a paradigm shift in drug development. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2016;4:42. doi:10.1186/s40425-016-0146-9
2. Sabbatino F, Marra A, Liguori L, et al. Resistance to anti-PD-1-based immunotherapy in basal cell carcinoma: a case report and review of the literature. *J Immunother Cancer*. 2018;6(1):126. doi:10.1186/s40425-018-0439-2
3. Migden MR, Rischin D, Schmults CD, et al. PD-1 blockade with Cemiplimab in advanced cutaneous squamous-cell carcinoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379(4):341-351. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1805131
4. Yost KE, Satpathy AT, Wells DK, et al. Clonal replacement of tumor-specific T cells following PD-1 blockade. *Nat Med*. 2019;25(8):1251-1259. doi:10.1038/s41591-019-0522-3
5. Duhon T, Duhon R, Montler R, et al. Co-expression of CD39 and CD103 identifies tumor-reactive CD8 T cells in human solid tumors. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9(1):2724. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05072-0