

A blurred office environment featuring a dark, polished conference table and several black office chairs. On the table, there are some papers and a green pencil. The background is out of focus, showing more office furniture and a bright light source.

# LEAD SCORING CASE STUDY

## BUSINESS OBJECTIVE

- X Education generates a large volume of leads but struggles with a low conversion rate. For instance, out of 100 leads generated in a day, only about 30 end up converting.
- To enhance efficiency, the company seeks to pinpoint high-potential leads, referred to as 'Hot Leads'. Identifying this subset of prospects is expected to boost the lead conversion rate by directing the sales team's efforts towards engaging with promising leads instead of contacting everyone indiscriminately.
- Logistic regression is employed as the methodology to uncover potential leads.




# SOLUTION APPROACH

- Data Cleaning and Transformation
- Exploratory Data Examination
- Model Construction
- Model Assessment
- Model Forecasting on Test Set
- Conclusions
- Suggestions

## DATA CLEANING

- After thorough data cleaning, which included eliminating columns with over 30% missing values from a dataset containing over 9000 data points, we proceeded to remove irrelevant variables such as City and Country, which hold no significance to the company's offerings. Following these steps, we identified a 48% conversion rate for online courses.
- Additionally, we eliminated Prospect ID and Lead Number columns as they solely served as record identifiers. Furthermore, any columns exhibiting skewed data points were also removed from the dataset due to their lack of predictability.


# UNIVARIATE ANALYSIS




- Majority of leads are originated from Landing Page Submission followed by API
- More leads are received from 'Google' and 'Direct Traffic' followed by Olark Chat and Organic Search
- More leads are received from Unemployed customers

# BI- VARIATE ANALYSIS


Lead Origin vs. Converted



Current Occupation vs. Converted



Lead Source vs. Converted



- Lead originated from Add Form are more likely to be converted
- Working Professional and Housewife are more likely to be converted
- Lead sources from Live Chat, Reference, WeLearn and Welingak Website are more likely to be Converted

## MODEL BUILDING

- Divide data into train and test sets using a 70:30 ratio.
- Scale numerical features using the MinMax scaler.
- Use Recursive Feature Elimination (RFE) to find the top 15 features.
- Use p-value and variance inflation factor to remove insignificant characteristics.
- We ended up with 11 characteristics for the model.
- We developed a lead score (conversion probability multiplied by 100) that ranges from 0 to 100 points. A higher score suggests a heated lead with a higher chance of lead conversion.

# MODEL EVALUATION

## Generalized Linear Model Regression Results

**Dep. Variable:** Converted      **No. Observations:** 4461  
**Model:** GLM      **Df Residuals:** 4449  
**Model Family:** Binomial      **Df Model:** 11  
**Link Function:** logit      **Scale:** 1.0000  
**Method:** IRLS      **Log-Likelihood:** -2079.1  
**Date:** Mon, 14 Nov 2022      **Deviance:** 4158.1  
**Time:** 15:08:31      **Pearson chi2:** 4.80e+03  
**No. Iterations:** 7  
**Covariance Type:** nonrobust


	coef	std err	z	P> z	[0.025	0.975]
const	0.2040	0.196	1.043	0.297	-0.179	0.587
TotalVisits	11.1489	2.665	4.184	0.000	5.926	16.371
Total Time Spent on Website	4.4223	0.185	23.899	0.000	4.060	4.785
Lead Origin_Lead Add Form	4.2051	0.258	16.275	0.000	3.699	4.712
Lead Source_Olark Chat	1.4526	0.122	11.934	0.000	1.214	1.691
Lead Source_Welingak Website	2.1526	1.037	2.076	0.038	0.121	4.185
Do Not Email_Yes	-1.5037	0.193	-7.774	0.000	-1.883	-1.125
Last Activity_Had a Phone Conversation	2.7552	0.802	3.438	0.001	1.184	4.326
Last Activity_SMS Sent	1.1856	0.082	14.421	0.000	1.024	1.347
What is your current occupation_Student	-2.3578	0.281	-8.392	0.000	-2.908	-1.807
What is your current occupation_Unemployed	-2.5445	0.186	-13.699	0.000	-2.908	-2.180
Last Notable Activity_Unreachable	2.7846	0.807	3.449	0.001	1.202	4.367

	Features	VIF
9	What is your current occupation_Unemployed	2.82
1	Total Time Spent on Website	2.00
0	TotalVisits	1.54
7	Last Activity_SMS Sent	1.51
2	Lead Origin_Lead Add Form	1.45
3	Lead Source_Olark Chat	1.33
4	Lead Source_Welingak Website	1.30
5	Do Not Email_Yes	1.08
8	What is your current occupation_Student	1.06
6	Last Activity_Had a Phone Conversation	1.01
10	Last Notable Activity_Unreachable	1.01




# MODEL EVALUATION

TRAINING SET



Accuracy	78.86%
Sensitivity	73.94%
Specificity	83.43%
Precision	80.58%
Recall	73.94%



TEST SET



Accuracy	78.95%
Sensitivity	77.71%
Specificity	80.10%
Precision	78.40%
Recall	77.71%

# MODEL EVALUATION - ROC/CUTOFF

	prob	accuracy	sensi	speci
0	0	48.17%	100.00%	0.00%
0.1	0.1	52.70%	99.44%	9.26%
0.2	0.2	69.83%	94.42%	46.97%
0.3	0.3	76.75%	86.60%	67.60%
0.4	0.4	79.20%	81.06%	77.47%
0.5	0.5	78.86%	73.94%	83.43%
0.6	0.6	75.72%	62.40%	88.11%
0.7	0.7	73.50%	54.35%	91.31%
0.8	0.8	71.15%	45.32%	95.16%
0.9	0.9	64.40%	27.97%	98.27%



## INFERENCES

Top three variables in your model that contribute most to the probability of a lead being converted.

- i. Total number of visits,*
- ii. Time spent on website.*
- iii. Lead Origin\_Lead Add Form.*

The top three categorical/dummy variables in the model that should be prioritized to maximize the likelihood of lead conversion

- i. Lead Origin\_Add Form*
- ii. Last Activity: Phone Conversation*
- iii. Lead Source: Welingak Website.*

## RECOMMENDATION

**Depending on the requirements the model needs to be tweaked such that**

**Scenario 1:**

So, as the company has more interns, we need to lower the cutoff criterion so that our model can anticipate practically all leads. The downside of this lower threshold is that we will misclassify some non-conversions as conversions, but this is a reasonable trade-off assuming that we have sufficient people to handle it.

**Scenario 2:**

Typically, when the organization has fewer personnel calling potential consumers, it is preferable to have more precise predictions, in which case the model specificity should be significantly higher. This means that, based on the graph above, we must select a considerably higher cutoff value. The trade-off is that we will miss some leads, but because the organization has fewer employees, they can focus on accurately forecasted leads.

**Scenario 3:**

To save money on lead conversion, the organization could automate SMS and email campaigns to potential leads during low-manpower periods.

THANK YOU!!