Group 9

```
107205008 Yu-Chen, Den
108208014 Fu-Chien, Hsiao
109102057 Liang-Yu, Hsu
```

Ch11-C4

Find the first difference model

```
install.package("wooldridge")
library(wooldridge)
data("phillips")
head(phillips)
tail(phillips)
fdm <- lm(data = phillips, cnif ~ cunem)
summary(fdm)</pre>
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = cinf ~ cunem, data = phillips)
Residuals:
   Min
            1Q Median
                            30
                                   Max
-7.4790 -0.9441 0.1384 1.0889 5.4551
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -0.07214
                       0.30584 -0.236 0.81443
                       0.28984 -2.873 0.00583 **
           -0.83281
cunem
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1
Residual standard error: 2.267 on 53 degrees of freedom
  (1 observation deleted due to missingness)
Multiple R-squared: 0.1348,
                             Adjusted R-squared: 0.1185
F-statistic: 8.256 on 1 and 53 DF, p-value: 0.005831
```

Figure 1: summary of fdm

- 1. $\beta_1 = -0.83281$ and the P-value is 0.00583 < 0.01
- 2. The fdm model fits better, because the \hat{R}^2 is bigger.

Ch11-C8

Estimate the AR (1) model and predict unem of 2004

```
AR1= arima(phillips$unem,order=c(1,0,0))
AR1
5.5631+0.7493*phillips$unem[length(phillips$unem)]
plot.ts(phillips$unem)
```

The predict value of unem = 10.0589, and the true unem = 5.5

Add a lag of inflation to the AR (1) model

```
AR2= arima(phillips$unem,order=c(1,0,0), xreg=phillips$inf_1)
AR2
(1-pnorm(abs(AR2$coef)/sqrt(diag(AR2$var.coef))))*2
```

Figure 2: summary of AR1

the t-ratio of inf_{t-1}

$$\frac{0.1277}{0.0581} = 2.1979 > t_{0.025}(30) \simeq 1.96$$

We can say that inf_{t-1} is significant.

Predict the unem of 2004

```
[1] (5.1810+0.7090*phillips$unem[length(phillips$unem)]+
[2] 0.1277*phillips$inf_1[length(phillips$inf_1)])
```

The predict value of unem in 2004 = 9.63932

Ch12-C10

Estimate the static Phillips curve equation

```
library(wooldridge)
str(phillips)
ph1 <- phillips
model1 <- lm(data = ph1, inf~unem)
summary(model1)</pre>
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = inf \sim unem, data = ph1)
Residuals:
   Min
            1Q Median
                                   Max
                            3Q
-5.2176 -1.7812 -0.6659 1.1473 8.8795
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 1.0536
                        1.5480 0.681 0.4990
unem
             0.5024
                        0.2656
                                1.892 0.0639 .
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1
Residual standard error: 2.972 on 54 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.06215, Adjusted R-squared: 0.04479
F-statistic: 3.579 on 1 and 54 DF, p-value: 0.06389
```

Figure 3: summary of model1

See if serial correlation exists

```
u_round<- round(residuals(model1),digits = 2)
c1 <- c(0,u_round)
c2 <- c(u_round,0)
m1 <- matrix(0, nrow = 57, ncol = 2)
m1[,1] <- c1
m1[,2] <- c2
colnames(m1) <- c("ut_1","ut")
data1 <- data.frame(m1)
data_c <- data1[-c(1,57),]
model2 <- lm(ut ~ ut_1, data = data_c)
summary(model2)</pre>
```

```
Call:
lm(formula = ut ~ ut_1, data = data_c)
Residuals:
   Min
            10 Median
-8.0495 -1.1023 -0.2416 1.0274 6.6871
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -0.1121
                     0.3181 -0.352 0.726
ut_1
             0.5723
                        0.1084
                               5.281 2.45e-06 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1
Residual standard error: 2.359 on 53 degrees of freedom
                              Adjusted R-squared: 0.3324
Multiple R-squared: 0.3448,
F-statistic: 27.89 on 1 and 53 DF, p-value: 2.451e-06
```

Figure 4: summary of model2

The coefficient of $u_{t-1} = 0.5723$, and the t-ratio = 5.281, so there's strong evidence of serial correlation.

Find out if there is much difference when the later years are added

```
install.packages("prais")
library(prais)
p1 <- prais_winsten(inf ~ unem, data = ph1, index = "year")
summary(p1)
                              Call:
                              prais_winsten(formula = inf ~ unem, data = ph1, index = "year")
                              Residuals:
                                       1Q Median
                                                     30
                              -5.258 -2.447 -1.073 1.463 10.570
                              AR(1) coefficient rho after 9 iterations: 0.7885
                              Coefficients:
                                        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                                    2.0483 3.905 0.000264 ***
                              (Intercept) 7.9994
                                                     0.2898 -2.464 0.016965 *
                                          -0.7140
                              unem
                              Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' '1
                              Residual standard error: 2.138 on 54 degrees of freedom
```

Figure 5: summary of static Phillips curve model by iterative Prais-Winsten

Multiple R-squared: 0.1345, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1185 F-statistic: 8.393 on 1 and 54 DF, p-value: 0.00543

Durbin-Watson statistic (original): 0.8015 Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed): 1.914

New coefficient of *unem* is -0.714, and it has only small difference between the origin -0.716