CS186 Discussion 6 – Joins

Tables:

Companies: (company_id, industry, ipo_date)

Nyse: (company_id, date, trade, quantity)

We have 20 pages of memory, and we want to join two tables Companies and NYSE on C.company_id = N.company_id

Attribute company id is the primary key for Companies.

For every tuple in Companies, assume there are 4 matching tuples in NYSE.

NYSE contains [N] = 100 pages, NYSE holds pN = 100 tuples per page. Companies contains [C] = 50 pages, C holds pC = 50 tuples per page. These are unclustered B+ indexes on C.company_id and N.company_id For both indexes, assume it takes 2 I/Os to access a leaf.

- How many disk I/Os are needed to perform a simple nested loops join?
 Using Companies as the outer relation yields the lower I/O count
 [C] + pC*[C]*[N] = 50 + 50*50*100 = 50 + 50*50*100 = 250,050 I/Os
- 2. Index nested loop join?

With C as the outer relation (meaning we probe on the index on N): [C] + [C] * pC * (cost to find matching NYSE tuples)= 50 + 50 * 50 * (2 + 4) = 15,050 I/Os

3. How about block nested loops join?

```
(# pages in smaller relation) + ceil[(# pages in smaller relation) / (# pages in memory -2)] * (# pages in larger relation)
= 50 + \text{ceil}(50/18) * 100 = 350 \text{ I/Os}
```

4. How about sort merge join? (Assume that both tables are sorted in 2 passes and that we join during the merge pass of sorting i.e. we are using the optimized version of sort merge join)

```
3[C] + 3[N] = 450 I/Os
```

5. How about a hash join? (Assume no recursive partitioning and ignore output costs)

```
Partitioning phase: 2([C] + [N])
Matching phase: [C] + [N]
3[C] + 3[N] = 450 I/Os
```

6. Now assume the index on NYSE.company_id is *clustered*. What is the cost of an index nested loops join using companies as the outer relation?

```
[C] + [C]*pC * (cost to find matching NYSE tuples)
= 50 + 50 * 50 * (2 + \lceil 4/100 \rceil) = 7,550 \text{ I/Os}
```