

# 1. Invitation to numerical analysis

# What is the course about?

- Alternative names:
  - Numerical methods
  - Numerical computation
  - Technical computing
  - Scientific computing
  - Computational science and engineering
- **Numerical**: Calculate numbers (with a computer)
- Analyse / calculate in a numerical way
- Or: analyse a numerical computation

# So what is the course actually about?

- Solving **problems**
- That arise in science, engineering, economics, mathematics, ...
- *Problem*: mathematical description of something to calculate

# How is this different from computer science?

- What kind of objects will we be dealing with?
- Functions  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of all **real numbers**
- Calculus: differentiation, integration
- Solution of a differential equation  $\dot{x} = f(x)$  is a continuous function  $t \mapsto x(t)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$
- Computer science: Discrete objects

# Problems

- Given input data  $x$ , calculate output data  $y$
- I.e. problem is defined by a **function**

$$y = f(x)$$

- Our goal: “solve the problem”
- I.e. given input data  $x$ , **calculate** output  $y$
- Mathematics does not always (or usually) tell us **how to calculate**
- How **sensitive** is output to variations in input?  
**Conditioning** of a problem

## Example: Eigenvalues

- Problem: Given a matrix  $M$  (the input  $x$ ), find the eigenvalues of  $M$  (the output  $y$ )
- Mathematics: “just find roots of characteristic polynomial of  $M$ ”
- How can we actually *find* those roots? Is that even possible?
- Is that even the right approach to *calculate*?

# How do we solve problems numerically?

- Need to **represent** input data in computer
- How deal with **real numbers**?
- Must (usually) **approximate** them
- Need to find a **numerical method** or **algorithm** corresponding to the problem  $f$
- Can only calculate **approximation** to output

## How good is our solution?

- Approximate input  $x$  by  $\tilde{x}$
- Approximate problem  $f$  by algorithm  $\tilde{f}$
- Get approximate output  $\tilde{y}$
- How close is  $\tilde{y}$  to the true solution  $y$ ?
- We need to **analyse** the approximations to understand how good the solution might be.
- Note that usually we do not have access to the true solution to compare to



## Example: Modelling a fluid

- Suppose we want to model a fluid (**simulation**)
- Simplest naive model: Colliding hard spheres (3D) or discs (2D)
- Want to **simulate** this system, i.e. reproduce its behaviour in the computer
- Which (mathematical) problems arise?

# Collision of two discs

- Main component of simulation: collision of two discs
- Input:
  - positions  $x_1, x_2$
  - velocities  $v_1, v_2$
- Problem: Calculate **collision time**
- How can we solve this problem?

# Time stepping

- One possible algorithm: Take small time steps  $\delta t$
- Advance  $x_i \leftarrow x_i + \delta t v_i$
- After each step, check for **overlap**
- Overlap condition:  $\text{distance}(x_i, x_j) \leq 2r$
- How calculate distance?
- $f(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2 \leq (2r)^2$
- If overlap, backtrack to find  $t$  when  $f = 0$

# Event-driven algorithm

- Alternative **event-driven** algorithm:
- Find the **event** of interest: collision
- Find collision time  $t^*$  directly by solving an equation – problem set 1
- Find that we need to **solve a quadratic equation**  
 $at^2 + bt + c = 0$ .

# Solving a quadratic equation

- Suppose that  $f(t) := at^2 + bt + c = 0$ .
- How can we **solve** this equation, i.e. find the values of  $t$  for which  $f(t) = 0$ ?
- In the particular case of quadratic equations, we are lucky and happy: there is an **analytical solution** that we can use:

$$t_{1,2} = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

- NB: Usually we do *not* know an analytical solution!

## Questions about the quadratic formula

- Can we plug in “machine numbers” and get correct answer?
- Suppose can calculate **discriminant**  $d := b^2 - 4ac$
- How calculate  $\sqrt{d}$ ?
- Need an **algorithm** for square root

# Can we calculate square root

- Problem: “Find the positive square root of  $d$ ”
- Input:  $d = 3$
- **Impossible** to calculate  $y = \sqrt{d}$  exactly using  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$ ,  $/$  starting from integers
- Since  $\sqrt{3}$  is **irrational** number
- Infinite number of digits ( $\sqrt{3} = 1.732050\dots$ ); will take infinite time!
- So what **can** we do?

## Approximation algorithms for square root

- **Approximate**  $\sqrt{d}$  up to some **tolerance** (distance from true value)
- Use a variant of “guess and check”:
- Often easier to **verify** a solution than **find** the solution
- How check that candidate  $s$  is close to  $\sqrt{d}$ ?



## Verification for square root

- $x = \sqrt{d}$  satisfies the equation  $f(x) := x^2 - d = 0$
- Given a candidate  $\tilde{y}$ , find **residual**  $f(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}^2 - d$
- Different from **error**  $x - \tilde{x}$  – usually unknown
- If residual “small enough” then  $\tilde{x}$  is “good” approximation

## Finding a square root

- We know more information: not only *distance* but also *sign* of residual
- So can know if  $\tilde{x}$  is too low or too high
- In this way we can **bracket** the root
- Then **search** for solutions
- $1 < \sqrt{3} < 2$  since  $1^2 < 3 < 2^2$
- Now try  $1.1^2$  and  $1.9^2$  etc.
- What is efficient way to do this search?

# Bisection

- Use **bisection search**
- Input:  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $f(a) < 0 < f(b)$
- Find **midpoint**  $m := \frac{1}{2}(a + b)$
- Check  $\text{sign}(f(m))$  and choose correct half of interval
- Repeat with new interval
- **Code**

# Babylonian algorithm

- A particular way to do this is as follows. Suppose  $x_0$  is a candidate for  $\sqrt{d}$ .
- How can we find another, different candidate? We are looking for something such that  $x_0 y_0 = d$ , i.e.  $y_0 = d/x_0$
- This is on the opposite side of  $x_0$ .
- Now take the mean

$$x_1 := \frac{1}{2} \left( x_0 + \frac{d}{x_0} \right)$$

## Comparing algorithms

- We have described two approximation algorithms.
- In principle they can produce a result that is **as good as we would like**, i.e. such that it is as close as desired to  $\sqrt{3}$
- Which of these two approximation algorithms is **better**?
- What does better mean? We would like to produce the answer as quickly as possible. So we need to know **how fast** each method approaches the true solution.
- **Rate of convergence.**

# Summary

- We can only solve problems approximately
- We want to know how good the approximate solution is:  
Sensitivity = conditioning
- Different algorithms can have different rates of convergence