

[1]

$$f(x) = 11x - 5, \quad g(x) = 2x^2 + 7$$

$$a) f(7) = 11 \times 7 - 5 = \boxed{72} \text{ (Ans)}$$

$$b) g(-2) = 2(-2)^2 + 7 = \boxed{15} \text{ (Ans)}$$

$$c) f(x) + g(x) = 11x - 5 + 2x^2 + 7 \\ = \boxed{2x^2 + 11x + 2} \text{ (Ans)}$$

$$d) g \circ f(x) = g[f(x)] = 2 \times (11x - 5)^2 + 7 \\ = 2[(11x)^2 - 2 \times 11 \times 5x + (5)^2] + 7 \\ = 242x^2 - 220x + 50 + 7 \\ = \boxed{242x^2 - 220x + 57} \text{ (Ans)}$$

$$e) \text{ Let, } f(x) = y \quad \therefore x = f^{-1}(y) \dots (i)$$

$$\Rightarrow y = 11x - 5$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \frac{y+5}{11}$$

$$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(y) = \frac{y+5}{11} \quad [\text{from eq}^n (i)]$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{f^{-1}(x) = \frac{x+5}{11}} \text{ (Ans)}$$

2

a) $5x + 21 = 11$

$$\Rightarrow 5x = -10 \quad \Rightarrow \boxed{x = -2}$$

b) $3x^3 - 6x - 30 = 4$

$$\Rightarrow 3x^3 - 6x - 24 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x^3 - 2x = 8 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x^3 + px = q$$

↓

$$\underbrace{(a-b)^3}_x + \underbrace{3ab(a-b)}_{p \cdot x} = \underbrace{a^3 - b^3}_q$$

$$\Rightarrow (a-b)^3 + p(a-b) = q \quad \text{where } x = a-b \dots (i)$$

$$p = 3ab$$

$$q = a^3 - b^3$$

$$\text{here, } p = -2 \text{ and } q = 8$$
$$= 3ab \qquad = a^3 - b^3$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{-2}{3b} = a} \xrightarrow{(i)} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{-2}{3b}\right)^3 - b^3 = 8$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{-8}{27b^3} - b^3 = 8$$

$$\Rightarrow -8 - 27b^6 = 216b^3$$

$$\Rightarrow -27b^6 - 216b^3 - 8 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -27b^6 - 216b^3 - 8 = 0$$

$$\text{let } a = b^3$$

$$\Rightarrow 27b^6 + 216b^3 + 8 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 27u^2 + 216u + 8 = 0$$

$$u = \frac{-216 \pm \sqrt{(216)^2 - 4 \times 27 \times 8}}{2 \times 27}$$

$$\Rightarrow b^3 = \frac{-216 \pm \sqrt{45792}}{2 \times 27}$$

$$b = \sqrt[3]{\frac{-216 \pm \sqrt{45792}}{54}}$$

$$\text{from eq}^n (i), \quad a = \frac{-2}{3b}$$

$$\therefore a = \frac{-2}{3} \times \sqrt[3]{\frac{-216 \pm \sqrt{45792}}{54}}$$

$$\text{from eq}^n (ii); \quad x = a - b$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{x = \frac{-2}{3} \left(\sqrt[3]{\frac{-216 \pm \sqrt{45792}}{54}} \right)^{-1} - \sqrt[3]{\frac{-216 \pm \sqrt{45792}}{54}}}$$

(Ans)

3

$$x + 2y + 3z = 2$$

$$2x + 2y + 0z = 7$$

$$x - 4y - 3z = 3$$

a) Gaussian Elimination:

Rewriting the system in a conjugated matrix:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 7 \\ 1 & -4 & -3 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & -2 & -6 & 3 \\ 0 & -6 & -6 & 1 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} (R_2 - 2R_1 \rightarrow R_2) \\ (R_3 - R_1 \rightarrow R_3) \end{array}$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -1.5 \\ 0 & -6 & -6 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left(\frac{R_2}{-2} \rightarrow R_2 \right)$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -3 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -1.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 & -8 \end{array} \right] \begin{pmatrix} R_1 - 3R_2 \rightarrow R_1 \\ R_3 + 6R_2 \rightarrow R_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & -3 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & -1.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2/3 \end{array} \right] \begin{pmatrix} R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2/3 \end{array} \right] \begin{pmatrix} R_1 + 3R_3 \rightarrow R_1 \\ R_2 - 3R_3 \rightarrow R_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{x = 3, \quad y = \frac{1}{2}, \quad z = -\frac{2}{3}}$$

(Ans)

(b) Solving with inverse matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 7 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$
 $A \qquad \qquad X \qquad \qquad = \qquad B$

$$\Rightarrow AX = B$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{-1}AX = A^{-1}B$$

$$\Rightarrow X = A^{-1}B$$

We'll use linear row reduction to find A^{-1}

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & -3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{5}{12} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{12} \end{array} \right]$$

(with calculator)

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{5}{12} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{12} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow A^{-1}$$

now, $X = A^{-1}B$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{5}{12} & -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{12} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 7 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{4} + \frac{7}{4} + \frac{3}{4} \\ -\frac{2}{4} + \frac{7}{4} - \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{10}{12} - \frac{7}{4} + \frac{3}{12} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore (x, y, z) = \left(3, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{2}{3}\right)$$

(Ans)

(c) ^{With} Cramers Rule :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(A) = -24 \quad (\text{with calculation})$$

$$A_x = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 7 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & -4 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \det(A_x) = -72$$

$$A_y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 7 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \det(A_y) = -12$$

$$A_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 7 \\ 1 & -4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \det(A_z) = 16$$

$$\text{now, } x = \frac{\det(A_x)}{\det(A)} = \frac{-72}{-24} = 3$$

$$y = \frac{\det(A_y)}{\det(A)} = \frac{-12}{-24} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$z = \frac{\det(A_z)}{\det(A)} = \frac{16}{-24} = -\frac{2}{3}$$

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} x = 3 \\ y = \frac{1}{2} \\ z = -\frac{2}{3} \end{array}}$$

(Ans)

The 3 methods each have their characteristics and for different linear systems, we can apply various methods flexibly and finally solve the problem.

However, I prefer Gaussian Elimination over the other two methods. From a computational standpoint, it offers the best algorithmic complexity.

Cramer's rule roughly takes $n! (n-1)$ multiplications to evaluate each $(n+1)$ det's.

So, complexity is $O((n+1)!(n-1))$. Which is worse than $O(n^3)$ offered by other two methods.

Between Gaussian Elimination and inverse

method, GE has more optimized
~~calculations~~^{methods}. (like Chinese Fang Cheng
reduction, etc).

So, from a computational point of
view, I prefer Gaussian Elimination
over the other two methods.

$$\boxed{4}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 & -7 \\ 1 & 0 & -5 \end{bmatrix} - B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 2 \\ 4 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$a) A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & -3 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (Ans)}$$

b) $AC = \text{not possible, dimension mismatch}$
 it's impossible to multiply a
 2×3 mat with a 2×2 one.
 (Ans)

$$c) CB = \begin{bmatrix} 1(-1) + (-1)(-3) & 1(-1) + (-1)(-3) & 1(2) + (-1)(0) \\ (-3)(-1) + 2(-3) & (-3)(-1) + 2(-3) & (-3)(2) + 2(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 2 & 2 \\ 11 & -3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (Ans)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d) |C| &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \times 2 - (-1)(-3) \\
 &= 2 - 3 \\
 &= -1
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore |C| = -1 \text{ (Ans)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 e) C^{-1} &= \frac{1}{|C|} \cdot (C^{adj})^t \\
 &= \frac{1}{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ -3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (Ans)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f) CC^{-1} &= I \text{ (by the identity rule)} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (Ans)}
 \end{aligned}$$