
#### Lecture 14

# Dimensionality Reduction II: Feature Extraction

#### short version

STAT 479: Machine Learning, Fall 2018
Sebastian Raschka
<a href="http://stat.wisc.edu/~sraschka/teaching/stat479-fs2018/">http://stat.wisc.edu/~sraschka/teaching/stat479-fs2018/</a>



# Dimensionality Reduction Feature Selection Feature Extraction

Linear Methods


Nonlinear Methods

- Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
- Independent Component Analysis (ICA)
- Autoencoders (linear act. func.)
- Singular Vector Decomposition (SVD)
- Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) (Supervised)
- ...
- t-Distr. Stochastic Neigh. Emb. (t-SNE)
- Uniform Manifold Approx. & Proj. (UMAP)
- Kernel PCA
- Spectral Clustering
- Autoencoders (non-linear act. func.)
- •


# **Goals of Dimensionality Reduction**

- Reduce Curse of Dimensionality problems
- Increase storage and computational efficiency
- Visualize Data in 2D or 3D


1) Find directions of maximum variance



2) Transform features onto directions of maximum variance



3) Usually consider a subset of vectors of most variance (dimensionality reduction)



# Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (in a nutshell)

Given design matrix  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ 

find vector  $lpha_i$  with maximum variance

repeat: find  $lpha_{i+1}$  with maximum variance uncorrelated with  $lpha_i$ 

(repeat k times, where k is the desired number of dimensions;  $k \leq m$ )

Two approaches to solve PCA (on standardized data):


- 1. Constrained maximization (e.g., Lagrange multipliers)
- 2. Eigen-decomposition of covariance matrix directly


# Principal Component Analysis (PCA) (in a nutshell)

Collect vectors  $\alpha_i$  in a projection matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k}$  (Sorted from highest to lowest associated eigenvalue)

Compute projected data points:  $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{A}$ 

Usually useful to plot the explained variance (normalized eigenvalues)







Keep in mind that PCA is unsupervised!


#### **PCA Factor Loadings**

- The loadings are the unstandardized values of the eigenvectors
- We can interpret the loadings as the covariances (or correlation in case we standardized the input features) between the input features and the and the principal components (or eigenvectors), which have been scaled to unit length





#### **Mirrored Results in PCA**





#### Mirrored Results in PCA






 Not due to an error; reason for this difference is that, depending on the eigensolver, eigenvectors can have either negative or positive signs

For instance, if v is an eigenvector of a matrix  $\Sigma$ , we have  $\Sigma v = \lambda v$ , where  $\lambda$  is the eigenvalue then  $-\lambda$  is also an eigenvalue of the same value since  $\Sigma(-v) = -\Sigma v = -\lambda v = \lambda(-v)$ 

(t-SNE is only meant for visualization not for preparing datasets!)



Shown are 6000 images from MNIST projected in 2D

Maaten, L. V. D., & Hinton, G. (2008). Visualizing data using t-SNE. Journal of machine learning research, 9(Nov), 2579-2605.

## Stochastic Nearest Neighbor Embeddings (SNE)

Given high-dimensional datapoints,  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \in \mathbb{R}^m$  represent intrinsic structure of the data in 1D, 2D, or 3D (for visualization)

#### How?

- Model neighboring datapoint pairs based on the distance of those points in the high-dimensional space
- 2) Find a probability distribution of the pairwise distances in the low dimensional space that is as close as possible as the original probability distribution

Main Idea: Map points near on a manifold to a near position in low-dimensional space

#### Stochastic Nearest Neighbor Embeddings (SNE)

Based on probability of selecting neighboring points  $p_{ij} = \frac{p_{i|j} + p_{j|i}}{2n}$ 

(this is a modification to make the entropy [later slides] symmetric)

where the conditional probability,  $p_{j|i}$ , that  $x_i$  would pick  $x_j$  as its neighbor if neighbors were picked in proportion to their probability density under a Gaussian centered at  $x_i$ 

$$p_{j|i} = \frac{\exp(-||x_i - x_j||^2/2\sigma_i^2)}{\sum_{k \neq i} \exp(-||x_i - x_k||^2/2\sigma_i^2)}$$
 neighborhood size is controlled by  $\sigma_i$  (in turn controlled by perplexity parameter)

Denominator makes sure that similarity is independent of the point's density

For reference, note that the normal distribution is defined as

$$p(x \mid \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$q_{ij} = \frac{(1+||z_i - z_j||^2)^{-1}}{\sum_{k \neq i} (1+||z_i - z_k||^2)^{-1}}$$


in **t**-SNE, modeled with Student's t-distribution to prevent crowding problem

where  $\mathcal{Z}_i$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_j$  are the points in the low-dimensional space

- t-distribution has "fatter" tails (more scale invariant to points far away)
- t-distribution avoids crowding problem
- (minor point: is faster for computing the density; no exponential)

#### t-Distribution

With 1 degree of freedom same as Cauchy distribution



Idea: Map points near on a manifold to a near position in low-dimensional space

- Measure euclidean distance in <u>high</u> dim & convert to probability of picking a point as a neighbor (similarity is proportional to probability); use <u>Gaussian distribution</u> for density of each point
- 2. Same as 1. in **low** dimensionality but with **t distribution** (has heavier tails)
- 3. Minimize the difference of the conditional probabilities (KL-divergence)

#### Kullback Leibler divergence

Measures difference between 2 distributions; asymmetric

$$D_{KL}(P||Q) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right) dx$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log p(x) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(x) \log q(x) dx$$

Entropy

Cross-Entropy

Remember Entropy from the Decision Tree lecture for discrete distributions?

Shannon Entropy: average amount of information produced by a stochastic source of data

$$H(i; x_j) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(i | x_j) \log_2 p(i | x_j)$$

for feature  $x_j$  and class label i

conditional similarity between points in original space:

$$p_{j|i} = \frac{\exp(-|x_i - x_j||^2/2\sigma_i^2)}{\sum_{k \neq i} - \exp(||x_i - x_k||^2/2\sigma_i^2)}$$
 replace with  $p_{ij}$  in symmetric SNE and t-SNE

The remaining parameter to be selected is the variance  $\sigma_i$  of the Gaussian that is centered over each high-dimensional datapoint,  $x_i$ . It is not likely that there is a single value of  $\sigma_i$  that is optimal for all datapoints in the data set because the density of the data is likely to vary. In dense regions, a smaller value of  $\sigma_i$  is usually more appropriate than in sparser regions. Any particular value of  $\sigma_i$  induces a probability distribution,  $P_i$ , over all of the other datapoints. This distribution has an entropy which increases as  $\sigma_i$  increases. SNE performs a binary search for the value of  $\sigma_i$  that produces a  $P_i$  with a fixed perplexity that is specified by the user.<sup>3</sup> The perplexity is defined as

$$Perp(P_i) = 2^{H(P_i)},$$

where  $H(P_i)$  is the Shannon entropy of  $P_i$  measured in bits

$$H(P_i) = -\sum_{i} p_{j|i} \log_2 p_{j|i}.$$

The perplexity can be interpreted as a smooth measure of the effective number of neighbors. The performance of SNE is fairly robust to changes in the perplexity, and typical values are between 5 and 50.

Maaten, L. V. D., & Hinton, G. (2008). Visualizing data using t-SNE. *Journal of machine learning research*, 9(Nov), 2579-2605.

#### **Gradient Descent Optimization**

#### Cost function C:


$$C = \sum_{i} KL(P_i | | Q_i) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} p_{j|i} \log \frac{p_{j|i}}{q_{j|i}}$$

Regular SNE Gradient w.r.t. z:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial z_i} = 2 \sum_{j} (p_{j|i} - q_{j|i} + p_{i|j} - q_{i|j})(z_i - z_j)$$


replace  $p_{j,i}$  with  $p_{ij}$  in symmetric SNE


#### Crowding problem




suppose you want to maintain the neighbor-ship of the 2D space in 1D


#### Crowding problem







What would regular SNE do?



**Crowding problem!** 

Squashes all points!

Maaten, L. V. D., & Hinton, G. (2008). Visualizing data using t-SNE. *Journal of machine learning research*, 9(Nov), 2579-2605.





Figure 1: Gradients of three types of SNE as a function of the pairwise Euclidean distance between two points in the high-dimensional and the pairwise distance between the points in the low-dimensional data representation.

negative gradient if points are too close in low-dim space to provide some repulsion against crowding

#### t-SNE Gradient w.r.t. z:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial z_i} = 4 \sum_{i} (p_{ij} - q_{ij})(z_i - z_j) (1 + ||z_i - z_j||^2)^{-1} \quad \text{where } p_{ij} = \frac{p_{i|j} + p_{j|i}}{2n}$$

- Great for visualizing datasets in 2D
- Need to analyze multiple perplexity values (tuning parameter related to standard deviation of the Gaussian, to balance local and global attention)
- Not deterministic, the cost function for t-SNE is not convex
- More hyperparameters (learning rate epsilon)



Source: https://distill.pub/2016/misread-tsne/

#### f-Divergences

In probability theory f-divergence is a function  $D_f(P \mid Q)$  for measuring the difference between 2 probability distributions P and Q

Csiszár, I. (1963). "Eine informationstheoretische Ungleichung und ihre Anwendung auf den Beweis der Ergodizitat von Markoffschen Ketten". *Magyar. Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutato Int. Kozl.* **8**: 85–108.

Morimoto, T. (1963). "Markov processes and the H-theorem". J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 18 (3): 328-331

#### t-SNE embeddings based on five different f-divergences

$D_f(P  Q)$	f(t)	ft-SNE objective	Emphasis
Kullback-Leibler (KL)	$t \log t$	$\sum p_{ij} \left( \log \frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}} \right)$	Local
Chi-square ( $\mathcal{X}^2$ or CH)	$(t-1)^2$	$\sum p_{ij} \left( \log \frac{p_{ij}}{q_{ij}} \right)$ $\sum \frac{(p_{ij} - q_{ij})^2}{q_{ij}}$	Local
Reverse-KL (RKL)	$-\log t$	$\sum q_{ij} \left( \log \frac{q_{ij}}{p_{ij}} \right)$	Global
Jensen-Shannon (JS)	$(t+1)\log\frac{2}{(t+1)} + t\log t$	$\frac{1}{2}(\text{KL}(p_{ij}  \frac{p_{ij}+q_{ij}}{2}) + \text{KL}(q_{ij}  \frac{p_{ij}+q_{ij}}{2}))$	Both
Hellinger distance (HL)	$(\sqrt{t} - 1)^2$	$\sum (\sqrt{p_{ij}} - \sqrt{q_{ij}})^2$	Both

Im DJ, Verma N, Branson K. Stochastic Neighbor Embedding under f-divergences. arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.01247. 2018 Nov 3.

## **Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP)**



McInnes, L., & Healy, J. (2018). Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction. arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03426.


#### Compared to t-SNE, UMAP seems to be

- faster
- deterministic
- better at preserving clusters

#### **Reading Assignments**

- Python Machine Learning, 2nd Edition.

  Chapter 5: Compressing Data via Dimensionality Reduction
- Scikit-learn doc 2.2. Manifold learning: <a href="https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/manifold.html">https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/manifold.html</a>



#### **Code Examples**

https://github.com/rasbt/stat479-machine-learning-fs18/blob/master/ 14 feat-extract/14 feat-extract code.ipynb