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# Ability to evaluate

## III.22. What do you think of this statement: 15. There is capacity to assess institutional challenges affecting your sector

| Ability to evaluate | **N = 343**1 |
| --- | --- |
| *There is capacity to assess institutional challenges affecting your sector (Yes = 1, No = 0)* | 183 (53%) |
| 1n (%) | |

## III.24. How often are inspections carried out?

| **Table 1.** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Inspection frequency | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Annual | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.24 |
| Half-yearly | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.22 |
| Quarterly | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.27 |
| Bimonthly | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.08 |
| Monthly | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.38 |
| Other ( specify) | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.16 |
|  | | | | | | |

# Political leadership

## III.25. What do you think of this statement: Leadership is responsive, inspiring and sensitive

| **Table 1.** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Leadership is responsive, inspiring and sensitive | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 40.82(140) | 41.13(102) | 42.99(95) | 47.12(49) | 53.85(35) | 48.33(29) |
| Agree | 34.69(119) | 34.27(85) | 34.39(76) | 32.69(34) | 30.77(20) | 36.67(22) |
| Neutral | 11.95(41) | 10.48(26) | 10.41(23) | 8.65(9) | 6.15(4) | 8.33(5) |
| Disagree | 6.41(22) | 6.85(17) | 5.43(12) | 4.81(5) | 4.62(3) | 0.00(0) |
| Strongly Disagree | 6.12(21) | 7.26(18) | 6.79(15) | 6.73(7) | 4.62(3) | 6.67(4) |
|  | | | | | | |

## III.27. What are the strengths of political leadership in food systems?

| **Table 1.** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Inspection frequency | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Commitment to food security | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.77 |
| Recognition of the importance of family farming in national food production | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.57 |
| Management of natural resources | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 0.48 | 0.42 |
| Raising awareness of sustainable agricultural practices | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| International partnerships | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.28 |
| Nutrition programs | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.42 | 0.45 |
| Agricultural education programs | 0.38 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.53 |
| Adapting to climate change | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.35 |
| Commitment to innovation | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.42 |
| Inclusivity and participation | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.33 |
| Other ( specify ) | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.12 |
|  | | | | | | |

## III.28. What are the weaknesses of political leadership in food systems?

| **Table 1.** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Inspection frequency | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Vulnerability to climatic factors | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.35 |
| Soil degradation and pressure on natural resources | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.28 |
| Fragility and conflict | 0.21 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.28 |
| Limited agricultural education | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.42 |
| Dependence on food aid | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.52 |
| Problems of governance and corruption hamper the effectiveness of food and agricultural programs, and the equitable distribution of resources. | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.60 | 0.52 |
| Persistent social inequalities, such as access to land and resources | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.38 |
| Limited access to data and information | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.28 |
| Other ( specify ) | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.13 |
|  | | | | | | |

## III.29. How can we improve political leadership for food systems?

| **Table 1.** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Inspection frequency | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Strengthen coordination and collaboration | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.74 | 0.69 | 0.65 | 0.62 |
| Promote transparency | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.63 | 0.60 |
| Establish clear objectives and indicators | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.43 |
| Promote food education | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.60 |
| Develop integrated policies | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.42 |
| Invest in research and innovation | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.60 |
| Engage internationally | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.28 |
| Monitor and evaluate regularly | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.43 |
| Other ( specify ) | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.13 |
|  | | | | | | |

# Orientations

## III.30. What do you think of this statement: Leaders of the policy process organisations provide appropriate strategic guidance (strategic leader, operational leader, or both).

| **Table 1.** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Leaders of the policy process organisations provide appropriate strategic guidance (strategic leader, operational leader, or both) | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 30.32(104) | 29.84(74) | 28.96(64) | 37.50(39) | 33.85(22) | 30.00(18) |
| Agree | 30.03(103) | 29.03(72) | 27.60(61) | 27.88(29) | 27.69(18) | 20.00(12) |
| Neutral | 13.70(47) | 12.90(32) | 13.57(30) | 8.65(9) | 7.69(5) | 16.67(10) |
| Disagree | 12.54(43) | 14.52(36) | 15.38(34) | 7.69(8) | 15.38(10) | 15.00(9) |
| Strongly Disagree | 13.41(46) | 13.71(34) | 14.48(32) | 18.27(19) | 15.38(10) | 18.33(11) |
|  | | | | | | |

## III.32. What are the strengths of the strategic direction given by the manager(s)?

| **Table 1.** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Inspection frequency | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Clear commitment to food security through policies and programs to combat hunger and malnutrition | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.75 | 0.73 | 0.80 | 0.73 |
| Promotion of sustainable management of natural resources | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.56 | 0.48 |
| Encouraging the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.30 |
| Cooperation with international organizations | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.40 | 0.30 |
| Implementation of nutrition programs | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.51 | 0.45 |
| Encouraging innovation in agriculture | 0.36 | 0.43 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.51 | 0.35 |
| Elaboration of policies and programs with stakeholders, such as local communities, civil society organizations and the private sector | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.28 |
| Other ( specify ) | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.15 |
|  | | | | | | |

## III.33. What are the weaknesses of the strategic direction given by the manager(s)?

| **Table 1.** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Inspection frequency | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Lack of human and institutional capacity to design, implement and monitor food and agricultural programs | 0.58 | 0.59 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.53 |
| Dependence on food aid and international assistance to meet food challenges | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.62 | 0.48 |
| Persistent social inequalities, such as access to land and resources, complicate the implementation of equitable initiatives for all groups in society. | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.30 |
| Poor transport infrastructure and limited access to markets hamper marketing opportunities for farmers. | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.40 |
| Security and fragility challenges disrupt food production and agricultural activities | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.18 |
| Lack of reliable, up-to-date data hampers evidence-based planning and decision-making. | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.20 |
| Other ( specify ) | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.20 |
|  | | | | | | |

# Organizational vision and strategies

## III.35. What do you think of this statement: Vision, mission and strategies are regularly discussed within your organization.

| **Table 1.** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Vision, mission and strategies are regularly discussed within your organization | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 54.81(188) | 56.05(139) | 55.66(123) | 58.65(61) | 60.00(39) | 61.67(37) |
| Agree | 33.82(116) | 33.87(84) | 32.13(71) | 32.69(34) | 35.38(23) | 28.33(17) |
| Neutral | 3.79(13) | 3.23(8) | 4.98(11) | 3.85(4) | 1.54(1) | 3.33(2) |
| Disagree | 5.54(19) | 4.84(12) | 5.43(12) | 3.85(4) | 1.54(1) | 3.33(2) |
| Strongly Disagree | 2.04(7) | 2.02(5) | 1.81(4) | 0.96(1) | 1.54(1) | 3.33(2) |
|  | | | | | | |

## III.36. Do you have a vision, mission and strategies for your organization’s operations?

| **Table 1.** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Do you have a vision, mission and strategies for your organization's operations? | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Yes | 93.29(320) | 93.95(233) | 95.93(212) | 97.12(101) | 96.92(63) | 96.67(58) |
| No | 6.71(23) | 6.05(15) | 4.07(9) | 2.88(3) | 3.08(2) | 3.33(2) |
|  | | | | | | |

## III.37. How often does your organization discuss/review its vision, mission and strategies?

| **Table 1.** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| How often does your organization discuss/review its vision, mission and strategies? | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Annual | 23.03(73) | 24.24(56) | 23.41(48) | 38.38(38) | 31.75(20) | 35.71(20) |
| Half-yearly | 10.09(32) | 11.26(26) | 12.20(25) | 12.12(12) | 11.11(7) | 7.14(4) |
| Quarterly | 18.93(60) | 17.75(41) | 18.54(38) | 14.14(14) | 20.63(13) | 19.64(11) |
| Bimonthly | 2.52(8) | 2.60(6) | 2.44(5) | 4.04(4) | 1.59(1) | 0.00(0) |
| Monthly | 31.86(101) | 28.14(65) | 33.66(69) | 20.20(20) | 22.22(14) | 19.64(11) |
| Other ( specify) | 13.56(43) | 16.02(37) | 9.76(20) | 11.11(11) | 12.70(8) | 17.86(10) |
|  | | | | | | |

# Network

## IV.1 What do you think of this statement: 19. There is a linked network of private, public, nonprofit, and academic researchers, which your organization is a part of, that hold each other accountable for unbiased evidence generation in your sector

## IV.2 What are the strengths of this interconnected network?

## IV.3 What are the weaknesses of this interconnected network?

# Close links

## IV.5. What do you think of this statement: 20. There is strong interdisciplinary research connections (eg. Agriculture, nutrition, environment)

## IV.6. What are the strengths of links with interdisciplinary research on food systems?

## IV.7. What are the weaknesses of links with interdisciplinary research on food systems?

# STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT: Participatory and consultative process

## V.1. What do you think of this statement: 21. Policies/ strategies are developed through a participatory and consultative process with multiple opportunities to obtain stakeholder and public input that yields evidence-based solutions.

## V.2 What are the strengths of the policy/strategy development process?

## V.3. What are the weaknesses of policy/strategy development through a participatory and consultative process?

# STRATEGY DEVELOPMENT: Transparent

## V.5. What do you think of this statement: The policy/strategy development process is transparent and evidence-based, reflecting the vulnerabilities of the country’s food system and the means to transform it.

## V.6. What are the strengths of the transparent, evidence-based policy/strategy development process?

## V.7. What are the weaknesses of the transparent, evidence-based policy/strategy development process?

# Efficient implementation

# Implementation capability

# MONITORING AND EVALUATION: Data availability

# MONITORING AND EVALUATION: Collected data

# MONITORING AND EVALUATION: Monitoring and assessment

# REVISION STRATEGY: Decentralization

# REVISION STRATEGY: Strategies

# REVISION STRATEGY: Revision