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# Organizational capacity

## Enabling environment and organization capacity

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Leadership is responsive, inspiring and sensitive | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 140(40.8%) | 102(41.1%) | 95(43.0%) | 49(47.1%) | 35(53.8%) | 29(48.3%) |
| Agree | 119(34.7%) | 85(34.3%) | 76(34.4%) | 34(32.7%) | 20(30.8%) | 22(36.7%) |
| Neutral | 41(12.0%) | 26(10.5%) | 23(10.4%) | 9(8.7%) | 4(6.2%) | 5(8.3%) |
| Disagree | 22(6.4%) | 17(6.9%) | 12(5.4%) | 5(4.8%) | 3(4.6%) | 0(0.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 21(6.1%) | 18(7.3%) | 15(6.8%) | 7(6.7%) | 3(4.6%) | 4(6.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Leaders of the policy process organisations provide appropriate strategic guidance (strategic leader, operational leader, or both) | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 104(30.3%) | 74(29.8%) | 64(29.0%) | 39(37.5%) | 22(33.8%) | 18(30.0%) |
| Agree | 103(30.0%) | 72(29.0%) | 61(27.6%) | 29(27.9%) | 18(27.7%) | 12(20.0%) |
| Neutral | 47(13.7%) | 32(12.9%) | 30(13.6%) | 9(8.7%) | 5(7.7%) | 10(16.7%) |
| Disagree | 43(12.5%) | 36(14.5%) | 34(15.4%) | 8(7.7%) | 10(15.4%) | 9(15.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 46(13.4%) | 34(13.7%) | 32(14.5%) | 19(18.3%) | 10(15.4%) | 11(18.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Vision, mission and strategies are regularly discussed within your organization | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 188(54.8%) | 139(56.0%) | 123(55.7%) | 61(58.7%) | 39(60.0%) | 37(61.7%) |
| Agree | 116(33.8%) | 84(33.9%) | 71(32.1%) | 34(32.7%) | 23(35.4%) | 17(28.3%) |
| Neutral | 13(3.8%) | 8(3.2%) | 11(5.0%) | 4(3.8%) | 1(1.5%) | 2(3.3%) |
| Disagree | 19(5.5%) | 12(4.8%) | 12(5.4%) | 4(3.8%) | 1(1.5%) | 2(3.3%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 7(2.0%) | 5(2.0%) | 4(1.8%) | 1(1.0%) | 1(1.5%) | 2(3.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

## Analysis

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| There is a linked network of private, public, nonprofit, and academic researchers, which your organization is a part of, that hold each other accountable for unbiased evidence generation in your sector | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 58(16.9%) | 46(18.5%) | 36(16.3%) | 16(15.4%) | 18(27.7%) | 11(18.3%) |
| Agree | 52(15.2%) | 36(14.5%) | 37(16.7%) | 20(19.2%) | 12(18.5%) | 8(13.3%) |
| Neutral | 49(14.3%) | 30(12.1%) | 33(14.9%) | 12(11.5%) | 6(9.2%) | 8(13.3%) |
| Disagree | 66(19.2%) | 49(19.8%) | 44(19.9%) | 19(18.3%) | 8(12.3%) | 10(16.7%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 118(34.4%) | 87(35.1%) | 71(32.1%) | 37(35.6%) | 21(32.3%) | 23(38.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| There is strong interdisciplinary research connections (eg. Agriculture, nutrition, environment) | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 123(35.9%) | 91(36.7%) | 85(38.5%) | 44(42.3%) | 32(49.2%) | 29(48.3%) |
| Agree | 110(32.1%) | 84(33.9%) | 71(32.1%) | 30(28.8%) | 19(29.2%) | 14(23.3%) |
| Neutral | 29(8.5%) | 16(6.5%) | 20(9.0%) | 7(6.7%) | 4(6.2%) | 4(6.7%) |
| Disagree | 34(9.9%) | 20(8.1%) | 19(8.6%) | 9(8.7%) | 3(4.6%) | 5(8.3%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 47(13.7%) | 37(14.9%) | 26(11.8%) | 14(13.5%) | 7(10.8%) | 8(13.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

## Strategy development

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Policies/ strategies are developed through a participatory and consultative process with multiple opportunities to obtain stakeholder and public input that yields evidence-based solutions. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 103(30.0%) | 72(29.0%) | 69(31.2%) | 37(35.6%) | 29(44.6%) | 22(36.7%) |
| Agree | 105(30.6%) | 74(29.8%) | 63(28.5%) | 36(34.6%) | 19(29.2%) | 15(25.0%) |
| Neutral | 33(9.6%) | 25(10.1%) | 20(9.0%) | 6(5.8%) | 1(1.5%) | 2(3.3%) |
| Disagree | 44(12.8%) | 30(12.1%) | 30(13.6%) | 5(4.8%) | 4(6.2%) | 7(11.7%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 58(16.9%) | 47(19.0%) | 39(17.6%) | 20(19.2%) | 12(18.5%) | 14(23.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The policy/strategy development process is transparent and evidence-based, reflecting the vulnerabilities of the country's food system and the means to transform it. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 80(23.3%) | 56(22.6%) | 54(24.4%) | 32(30.8%) | 21(32.3%) | 16(26.7%) |
| Agree | 91(26.5%) | 64(25.8%) | 55(24.9%) | 29(27.9%) | 20(30.8%) | 15(25.0%) |
| Neutral | 52(15.2%) | 36(14.5%) | 35(15.8%) | 13(12.5%) | 5(7.7%) | 3(5.0%) |
| Disagree | 58(16.9%) | 46(18.5%) | 38(17.2%) | 10(9.6%) | 7(10.8%) | 10(16.7%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 62(18.1%) | 46(18.5%) | 39(17.6%) | 20(19.2%) | 12(18.5%) | 16(26.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

## Implementation

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| To ensure effective implementation at local level, there is a strong decentralized capacity () and clear communication channels between the different administrative levels. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 99(28.9%) | 69(27.8%) | 63(28.5%) | 29(27.9%) | 22(33.8%) | 18(30.0%) |
| Agree | 109(31.8%) | 79(31.9%) | 70(31.7%) | 30(28.8%) | 21(32.3%) | 16(26.7%) |
| Neutral | 38(11.1%) | 28(11.3%) | 24(10.9%) | 12(11.5%) | 4(6.2%) | 5(8.3%) |
| Disagree | 40(11.7%) | 30(12.1%) | 27(12.2%) | 11(10.6%) | 6(9.2%) | 9(15.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 57(16.6%) | 42(16.9%) | 37(16.7%) | 22(21.2%) | 12(18.5%) | 12(20.0%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The capacity to implement institutional policy changes at sector level is sufficient. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 50(14.6%) | 33(13.3%) | 35(15.8%) | 13(12.5%) | 12(18.5%) | 7(11.7%) |
| Agree | 88(25.7%) | 69(27.8%) | 51(23.1%) | 24(23.1%) | 13(20.0%) | 11(18.3%) |
| Neutral | 41(12.0%) | 27(10.9%) | 29(13.1%) | 13(12.5%) | 5(7.7%) | 8(13.3%) |
| Disagree | 66(19.2%) | 40(16.1%) | 49(22.2%) | 19(18.3%) | 13(20.0%) | 11(18.3%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 98(28.6%) | 79(31.9%) | 57(25.8%) | 35(33.7%) | 22(33.8%) | 23(38.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| There is an effective and adaptable extension system, from local NGOs and the private sector, for sector-specific support. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 95(27.7%) | 69(27.8%) | 64(29.0%) | 36(34.6%) | 24(36.9%) | 26(43.3%) |
| Agree | 110(32.1%) | 92(37.1%) | 68(30.8%) | 33(31.7%) | 20(30.8%) | 13(21.7%) |
| Neutral | 42(12.2%) | 24(9.7%) | 26(11.8%) | 13(12.5%) | 4(6.2%) | 6(10.0%) |
| Disagree | 37(10.8%) | 22(8.9%) | 26(11.8%) | 6(5.8%) | 7(10.8%) | 3(5.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 59(17.2%) | 41(16.5%) | 37(16.7%) | 16(15.4%) | 10(15.4%) | 12(20.0%) |
|  | | | | | | |

## M&E

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Monitoring and evaluation data on policy achievements and results are available and integrated into the national statistics collection system. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 99(28.9%) | 73(29.4%) | 62(28.1%) | 35(33.7%) | 27(41.5%) | 25(41.7%) |
| Agree | 97(28.3%) | 76(30.6%) | 61(27.6%) | 30(28.8%) | 21(32.3%) | 15(25.0%) |
| Neutral | 70(20.4%) | 39(15.7%) | 49(22.2%) | 19(18.3%) | 6(9.2%) | 8(13.3%) |
| Disagree | 31(9.0%) | 25(10.1%) | 22(10.0%) | 7(6.7%) | 1(1.5%) | 0(0.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 46(13.4%) | 35(14.1%) | 27(12.2%) | 13(12.5%) | 10(15.4%) | 12(20.0%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Data are collected to predict and monitor shocks or threats to food systems | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 132(38.5%) | 93(37.5%) | 87(39.4%) | 43(41.3%) | 33(50.8%) | 33(55.0%) |
| Agree | 123(35.9%) | 89(35.9%) | 79(35.7%) | 35(33.7%) | 22(33.8%) | 16(26.7%) |
| Neutral | 42(12.2%) | 29(11.7%) | 27(12.2%) | 15(14.4%) | 5(7.7%) | 7(11.7%) |
| Disagree | 23(6.7%) | 18(7.3%) | 14(6.3%) | 1(1.0%) | 0(0.0%) | 0(0.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 23(6.7%) | 19(7.7%) | 14(6.3%) | 10(9.6%) | 5(7.7%) | 4(6.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Monitoring and evaluation of the production process, from farmer to consumer, are integrated into the agricultural research system | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 86(25.1%) | 60(24.2%) | 60(27.1%) | 23(22.1%) | 20(30.8%) | 15(25.0%) |
| Agree | 124(36.2%) | 93(37.5%) | 69(31.2%) | 32(30.8%) | 20(30.8%) | 15(25.0%) |
| Neutral | 75(21.9%) | 49(19.8%) | 54(24.4%) | 30(28.8%) | 13(20.0%) | 14(23.3%) |
| Disagree | 21(6.1%) | 16(6.5%) | 13(5.9%) | 2(1.9%) | 2(3.1%) | 2(3.3%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 37(10.8%) | 30(12.1%) | 25(11.3%) | 17(16.3%) | 10(15.4%) | 14(23.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Sectoral reviews are carried out and other research data collected in order to effectively evaluate the effects of the products and services provided (outcomes) with a view to developing future strategies. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 96(28.0%) | 68(27.4%) | 60(27.1%) | 31(29.8%) | 22(33.8%) | 18(30.0%) |
| Agree | 99(28.9%) | 70(28.2%) | 63(28.5%) | 36(34.6%) | 22(33.8%) | 17(28.3%) |
| Neutral | 66(19.2%) | 43(17.3%) | 48(21.7%) | 19(18.3%) | 5(7.7%) | 13(21.7%) |
| Disagree | 42(12.2%) | 35(14.1%) | 27(12.2%) | 5(4.8%) | 4(6.2%) | 2(3.3%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 40(11.7%) | 32(12.9%) | 23(10.4%) | 13(12.5%) | 12(18.5%) | 10(16.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Activities, outputs, outcomes and performance indicators are effectively evaluated through monitoring and evaluation activities to address programs and policies related to the transformation of food systems. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 98(28.6%) | 64(25.8%) | 63(28.5%) | 29(27.9%) | 24(36.9%) | 16(26.7%) |
| Agree | 93(27.1%) | 70(28.2%) | 56(25.3%) | 24(23.1%) | 17(26.2%) | 16(26.7%) |
| Neutral | 71(20.7%) | 44(17.7%) | 50(22.6%) | 29(27.9%) | 8(12.3%) | 13(21.7%) |
| Disagree | 44(12.8%) | 41(16.5%) | 29(13.1%) | 9(8.7%) | 4(6.2%) | 5(8.3%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 37(10.8%) | 29(11.7%) | 23(10.4%) | 13(12.5%) | 12(18.5%) | 10(16.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The internal management and evaluation of your organization stimulates frequent critical reflection, enabling you to learn from your mistakes. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 156(45.5%) | 113(45.6%) | 107(48.4%) | 61(58.7%) | 39(60.0%) | 39(65.0%) |
| Agree | 128(37.3%) | 93(37.5%) | 81(36.7%) | 32(30.8%) | 22(33.8%) | 13(21.7%) |
| Neutral | 30(8.7%) | 21(8.5%) | 18(8.1%) | 4(3.8%) | 1(1.5%) | 4(6.7%) |
| Disagree | 18(5.2%) | 13(5.2%) | 7(3.2%) | 4(3.8%) | 3(4.6%) | 2(3.3%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 11(3.2%) | 8(3.2%) | 8(3.6%) | 3(2.9%) | 0(0.0%) | 2(3.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Members/staff of your organization are free to propose ideas for implementing food systems transformation. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 168(49.0%) | 125(50.4%) | 114(51.6%) | 61(58.7%) | 41(63.1%) | 40(66.7%) |
| Agree | 122(35.6%) | 91(36.7%) | 74(33.5%) | 34(32.7%) | 21(32.3%) | 12(20.0%) |
| Neutral | 30(8.7%) | 18(7.3%) | 16(7.2%) | 7(6.7%) | 0(0.0%) | 4(6.7%) |
| Disagree | 12(3.5%) | 7(2.8%) | 9(4.1%) | 2(1.9%) | 2(3.1%) | 3(5.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 11(3.2%) | 7(2.8%) | 8(3.6%) | 0(0.0%) | 1(1.5%) | 1(1.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Your organization has an effective system for keeping in touch with general trends and developments related to food systems. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 136(39.7%) | 100(40.3%) | 94(42.5%) | 57(54.8%) | 39(60.0%) | 36(60.0%) |
| Agree | 102(29.7%) | 79(31.9%) | 60(27.1%) | 28(26.9%) | 19(29.2%) | 14(23.3%) |
| Neutral | 35(10.2%) | 24(9.7%) | 20(9.0%) | 8(7.7%) | 2(3.1%) | 4(6.7%) |
| Disagree | 42(12.2%) | 25(10.1%) | 30(13.6%) | 8(7.7%) | 2(3.1%) | 2(3.3%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 28(8.2%) | 20(8.1%) | 17(7.7%) | 3(2.9%) | 3(4.6%) | 4(6.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Your organization is open and responsive to its stakeholders and the general public. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 154(44.9%) | 115(46.4%) | 100(45.2%) | 64(61.5%) | 46(70.8%) | 39(65.0%) |
| Agree | 142(41.4%) | 101(40.7%) | 91(41.2%) | 32(30.8%) | 16(24.6%) | 13(21.7%) |
| Neutral | 28(8.2%) | 19(7.7%) | 19(8.6%) | 4(3.8%) | 1(1.5%) | 6(10.0%) |
| Disagree | 11(3.2%) | 6(2.4%) | 7(3.2%) | 3(2.9%) | 0(0.0%) | 1(1.7%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 8(2.3%) | 7(2.8%) | 4(1.8%) | 1(1.0%) | 2(3.1%) | 1(1.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

## Strategy revision

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The decentralization of powers is sufficient for strategies to be immediately adapted or revised to suit the local context. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 90(26.2%) | 66(26.6%) | 59(26.7%) | 35(33.7%) | 27(41.5%) | 25(41.7%) |
| Agree | 109(31.8%) | 78(31.5%) | 69(31.2%) | 25(24.0%) | 18(27.7%) | 9(15.0%) |
| Neutral | 56(16.3%) | 39(15.7%) | 41(18.6%) | 16(15.4%) | 11(16.9%) | 12(20.0%) |
| Disagree | 31(9.0%) | 24(9.7%) | 18(8.1%) | 7(6.7%) | 3(4.6%) | 4(6.7%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 57(16.6%) | 41(16.5%) | 34(15.4%) | 21(20.2%) | 6(9.2%) | 10(16.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| There is a capacity to analyze and develop commercial and institutional strategies dictated by control system data. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 71(20.7%) | 49(19.8%) | 47(21.3%) | 20(19.2%) | 15(23.1%) | 11(18.3%) |
| Agree | 112(32.7%) | 73(29.4%) | 77(34.8%) | 41(39.4%) | 29(44.6%) | 23(38.3%) |
| Neutral | 72(21.0%) | 58(23.4%) | 43(19.5%) | 23(22.1%) | 10(15.4%) | 14(23.3%) |
| Disagree | 41(12.0%) | 32(12.9%) | 26(11.8%) | 5(4.8%) | 2(3.1%) | 1(1.7%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 47(13.7%) | 36(14.5%) | 28(12.7%) | 15(14.4%) | 9(13.8%) | 11(18.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| There is a capacity to develop revisions of policy strategies based on the effects of shocks on food production systems. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 93(27.1%) | 69(27.8%) | 63(28.5%) | 36(34.6%) | 27(41.5%) | 22(36.7%) |
| Agree | 114(33.2%) | 81(32.7%) | 75(33.9%) | 34(32.7%) | 19(29.2%) | 16(26.7%) |
| Neutral | 60(17.5%) | 38(15.3%) | 41(18.6%) | 18(17.3%) | 10(15.4%) | 14(23.3%) |
| Disagree | 27(7.9%) | 22(8.9%) | 18(8.1%) | 6(5.8%) | 1(1.5%) | 1(1.7%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 49(14.3%) | 38(15.3%) | 24(10.9%) | 10(9.6%) | 8(12.3%) | 7(11.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

## Institutional motivation

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The turnover of members or staff in your organization is relatively low. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 99(28.9%) | 71(28.6%) | 68(30.8%) | 31(29.8%) | 24(36.9%) | 22(36.7%) |
| Agree | 83(24.2%) | 69(27.8%) | 49(22.2%) | 23(22.1%) | 17(26.2%) | 7(11.7%) |
| Neutral | 52(15.2%) | 31(12.5%) | 34(15.4%) | 13(12.5%) | 1(1.5%) | 6(10.0%) |
| Disagree | 49(14.3%) | 36(14.5%) | 34(15.4%) | 16(15.4%) | 6(9.2%) | 9(15.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 60(17.5%) | 41(16.5%) | 36(16.3%) | 21(20.2%) | 17(26.2%) | 16(26.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The members or staff of your organization have the necessary skills to use reliable data for strategic analysis and other policy-related work. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 114(33.2%) | 89(35.9%) | 72(32.6%) | 54(51.9%) | 36(55.4%) | 37(61.7%) |
| Agree | 96(28.0%) | 73(29.4%) | 55(24.9%) | 24(23.1%) | 18(27.7%) | 9(15.0%) |
| Neutral | 38(11.1%) | 28(11.3%) | 27(12.2%) | 10(9.6%) | 1(1.5%) | 3(5.0%) |
| Disagree | 44(12.8%) | 25(10.1%) | 32(14.5%) | 9(8.7%) | 1(1.5%) | 5(8.3%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 51(14.9%) | 33(13.3%) | 35(15.8%) | 7(6.7%) | 9(13.8%) | 6(10.0%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Appropriate incentives are in place to support member or staff motivation | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 103(30.0%) | 72(29.0%) | 71(32.1%) | 39(37.5%) | 26(40.0%) | 29(48.3%) |
| Agree | 118(34.4%) | 87(35.1%) | 73(33.0%) | 28(26.9%) | 19(29.2%) | 13(21.7%) |
| Neutral | 37(10.8%) | 24(9.7%) | 23(10.4%) | 14(13.5%) | 6(9.2%) | 8(13.3%) |
| Disagree | 31(9.0%) | 23(9.3%) | 17(7.7%) | 7(6.7%) | 2(3.1%) | 0(0.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 54(15.7%) | 42(16.9%) | 37(16.7%) | 16(15.4%) | 12(18.5%) | 10(16.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Funding from multiple sources is sufficient to cover the cost of operations. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 70(20.4%) | 47(19.0%) | 49(22.2%) | 22(21.2%) | 14(21.5%) | 12(20.0%) |
| Agree | 89(25.9%) | 70(28.2%) | 53(24.0%) | 24(23.1%) | 18(27.7%) | 8(13.3%) |
| Neutral | 35(10.2%) | 22(8.9%) | 25(11.3%) | 10(9.6%) | 3(4.6%) | 6(10.0%) |
| Disagree | 42(12.2%) | 29(11.7%) | 28(12.7%) | 16(15.4%) | 3(4.6%) | 9(15.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 107(31.2%) | 80(32.3%) | 66(29.9%) | 32(30.8%) | 27(41.5%) | 25(41.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| There is a strong capacity to communicate and share research results with government agencies, farmers, the private sector and other stakeholders. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 74(21.6%) | 56(22.6%) | 43(19.5%) | 26(25.0%) | 21(32.3%) | 16(26.7%) |
| Agree | 108(31.5%) | 86(34.7%) | 74(33.5%) | 34(32.7%) | 22(33.8%) | 16(26.7%) |
| Neutral | 62(18.1%) | 43(17.3%) | 41(18.6%) | 16(15.4%) | 10(15.4%) | 13(21.7%) |
| Disagree | 37(10.8%) | 20(8.1%) | 24(10.9%) | 9(8.7%) | 2(3.1%) | 2(3.3%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 62(18.1%) | 43(17.3%) | 39(17.6%) | 19(18.3%) | 10(15.4%) | 13(21.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

## Operational capacity

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Your organization has clear operational plans for achieving its mandate and objectives, which are fully understood by all members/staff. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 184(53.6%) | 132(53.2%) | 128(57.9%) | 66(63.5%) | 46(70.8%) | 42(70.0%) |
| Agree | 98(28.6%) | 72(29.0%) | 58(26.2%) | 27(26.0%) | 15(23.1%) | 12(20.0%) |
| Neutral | 27(7.9%) | 19(7.7%) | 13(5.9%) | 8(7.7%) | 2(3.1%) | 2(3.3%) |
| Disagree | 15(4.4%) | 10(4.0%) | 10(4.5%) | 1(1.0%) | 0(0.0%) | 0(0.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 19(5.5%) | 15(6.0%) | 12(5.4%) | 2(1.9%) | 2(3.1%) | 4(6.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Your organization delivers the expected results on time. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 107(31.2%) | 81(32.7%) | 73(33.0%) | 51(49.0%) | 36(55.4%) | 31(51.7%) |
| Agree | 61(17.8%) | 49(19.8%) | 27(12.2%) | 16(15.4%) | 13(20.0%) | 12(20.0%) |
| Neutral | 82(23.9%) | 57(23.0%) | 56(25.3%) | 16(15.4%) | 9(13.8%) | 11(18.3%) |
| Disagree | 58(16.9%) | 36(14.5%) | 40(18.1%) | 14(13.5%) | 4(6.2%) | 2(3.3%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 35(10.2%) | 25(10.1%) | 25(11.3%) | 7(6.7%) | 3(4.6%) | 4(6.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Staff Are able to implement your organization's operational plans | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 126(36.7%) | 94(37.9%) | 78(35.3%) | 54(51.9%) | 43(66.2%) | 32(53.3%) |
| Agree | 124(36.2%) | 89(35.9%) | 81(36.7%) | 30(28.8%) | 16(24.6%) | 18(30.0%) |
| Neutral | 42(12.2%) | 31(12.5%) | 33(14.9%) | 9(8.7%) | 0(0.0%) | 2(3.3%) |
| Disagree | 29(8.5%) | 19(7.7%) | 16(7.2%) | 8(7.7%) | 4(6.2%) | 3(5.0%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 22(6.4%) | 15(6.0%) | 13(5.9%) | 3(2.9%) | 2(3.1%) | 5(8.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Your organization has mechanisms in place to verify that its services meet the needs of customers, stakeholders or beneficiaries. | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Totally agree | 112(32.7%) | 81(32.7%) | 77(34.8%) | 55(52.9%) | 40(61.5%) | 33(55.0%) |
| Agree | 85(24.8%) | 68(27.4%) | 54(24.4%) | 27(26.0%) | 14(21.5%) | 15(25.0%) |
| Neutral | 52(15.2%) | 32(12.9%) | 33(14.9%) | 12(11.5%) | 5(7.7%) | 6(10.0%) |
| Disagree | 57(16.6%) | 41(16.5%) | 38(17.2%) | 6(5.8%) | 4(6.2%) | 1(1.7%) |
| Strongly Disagree | 37(10.8%) | 26(10.5%) | 19(8.6%) | 4(3.8%) | 2(3.1%) | 5(8.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

## Individual capacity

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Capacity to produce and use information | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Beginner | 59(17.2%) | 44(17.7%) | 40(18.1%) | 12(11.5%) | 10(15.4%) | 8(13.3%) |
| Intermediate | 88(25.7%) | 66(26.6%) | 54(24.4%) | 33(31.7%) | 14(21.5%) | 16(26.7%) |
| Advanced | 92(26.8%) | 70(28.2%) | 63(28.5%) | 44(42.3%) | 32(49.2%) | 27(45.0%) |
| Not applicable | 104(30.3%) | 68(27.4%) | 64(29.0%) | 15(14.4%) | 9(13.8%) | 9(15.0%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Ability to conceptualize problems and potential solutions | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Beginner | 41(12.0%) | 35(14.1%) | 32(14.5%) | 8(7.7%) | 9(13.8%) | 7(11.7%) |
| Intermediate | 81(23.6%) | 62(25.0%) | 50(22.6%) | 32(30.8%) | 13(20.0%) | 15(25.0%) |
| Advanced | 56(16.3%) | 42(16.9%) | 40(18.1%) | 32(30.8%) | 25(38.5%) | 20(33.3%) |
| Not applicable | 165(48.1%) | 109(44.0%) | 99(44.8%) | 32(30.8%) | 18(27.7%) | 18(30.0%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Research and development capacity | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Beginner | 40(11.7%) | 33(13.3%) | 31(14.0%) | 14(13.5%) | 6(9.2%) | 9(15.0%) |
| Intermediate | 71(20.7%) | 52(21.0%) | 52(23.5%) | 33(31.7%) | 22(33.8%) | 20(33.3%) |
| Advanced | 46(13.4%) | 30(12.1%) | 29(13.1%) | 25(24.0%) | 20(30.8%) | 15(25.0%) |
| Not applicable | 186(54.2%) | 133(53.6%) | 109(49.3%) | 32(30.8%) | 17(26.2%) | 16(26.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Capacity to communicate, popularize and disseminate information | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Beginner | 48(14.0%) | 39(15.7%) | 32(14.5%) | 13(12.5%) | 7(10.8%) | 4(6.7%) |
| Intermediate | 73(21.3%) | 58(23.4%) | 43(19.5%) | 25(24.0%) | 12(18.5%) | 14(23.3%) |
| Advanced | 67(19.5%) | 45(18.1%) | 45(20.4%) | 38(36.5%) | 28(43.1%) | 25(41.7%) |
| Not applicable | 155(45.2%) | 106(42.7%) | 101(45.7%) | 28(26.9%) | 18(27.7%) | 17(28.3%) |
|  | | | | | | |

| **Table :** | | | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Other ( specify) | All | Nutrition, health, and food, security | Poverty reduction, livelihoods, and jobs | Gender equality, youth, and social inclusion | Climate adaptation and mitigation | Environmental health and biodiversity |
| Beginner | 13(3.8%) | 9(3.6%) | 10(4.5%) | 4(3.8%) | 2(3.1%) | 1(1.7%) |
| Intermediate | 11(3.2%) | 10(4.0%) | 6(2.7%) | 6(5.8%) | 4(6.2%) | 3(5.0%) |
| Advanced | 14(4.1%) | 11(4.4%) | 11(5.0%) | 4(3.8%) | 8(12.3%) | 1(1.7%) |
| Not applicable | 305(88.9%) | 218(87.9%) | 194(87.8%) | 90(86.5%) | 51(78.5%) | 55(91.7%) |
|  | | | | | | |