20) State variable xt - the dividend of Control variable 4+ : the price pt b) Let xten be: (1)  $X_{\xi+1} = h(x_{\xi+1}\sigma(\theta)) + \sigma \varepsilon_{\xi+1}$ A forst-order approximention of h around (x,0) is: (2)  $h(x,0) = h(\overline{x},0) + h_{x}(\overline{x},0)(x-\overline{x})$ (f we set o=0, we can put (2) in (1)  $X+\epsilon_1 = h(\bar{x},0) + h_X(\bar{x},0)(X-\bar{x}) + \sigma - \varepsilon_{\varepsilon+1}$  $= \overline{X} = h(x_{t,0})$ 

 $= \overline{X} + h(x_{t}, \sigma) + \sigma - \varepsilon_{t+1}$   $\forall i \neq h \quad \sigma = 0.$ 

20) State vowiable xt - the dividend of Control voviable 4+ : the price pt b) Let Xt+1 be: (1)  $X_{\xi+1} = h(x_{\xi+1}\sigma(\theta)) + \sigma \varepsilon_{\xi+1}$ A forst-order approximention of h around (x,0) is: (2)  $h(x,0) = h(\bar{x},0) + h_{x}(\bar{x},0)(x-\bar{x})$ (f we set o=0, we can put (2) in (1)  $X+\epsilon_1 = h(\bar{x},0) + h_X(\bar{x},0)(X-\bar{x}) + \sigma - \varepsilon_{\varepsilon+1}$  $= \overline{X} = h(x_{t,0})$ 

 $= \overline{X} + h(x_{t}, \sigma) + \sigma - \varepsilon_{t+1}$   $\forall i \neq h \quad \sigma = 0.$ 

Now tolking Expectations and evaluate at (x,0), yields. · YE= g(x,0) = y · 7x = U"(x) · y + U(x) · Jx - BP U"(x) · ( y +x) -BU'(x)-Bx-P-BU'(x)-x=0  $= 3 \cdot U'(x) \cdot (x) - U'(x) \cdot y + \beta \rho U''(x) (y + x)$   $= 3 \cdot U'(x) \cdot (x) - U'(x) \cdot y + \beta \rho U''(x) (y + x)$ · Fxx = U"(x) - y + U"(x) - gx + U"(x) - gx + U'(x) - gxx - Bp2 U"(x). (x+y)-BpU"(x).p.(+1) - B-P-V"(x) · (T+1) - BU'(x) - gxx · PZ =0  $= \frac{2\beta \cdot \rho^{2} \cdot U''(\bar{x})(\bar{y}+1) - U'''(\bar{x}) \cdot \bar{y} - U''(\bar{x}) \cdot gx \cdot 2}{U'(\bar{x})(\bar{x})(\bar{x})(\bar{x})(\bar{x})(\bar{x})}$ + Bp2 U"(x) (x+q2) + U'(x) (1-Bp2) · Fo = U'(x) · go - B · U'(x) · go =0 => 90=0 · Fox = U"(x)·0 + U'(x) - jox - B.PU"(x)·0 -B-V'(x) - gox =0 = 2 Sox = 1 XO = 0

## Exercise 3

It can be seen in this case that there is room for further convergence if the number of steatiens becomes greater. It is also imperfant to choose the convert priers to get a good estimation For the extension of "for" for example the posterior distribution is almost equal to prior distribution. But Covered The model from the lecture almost gets a posterior probability of 1, so that it is pretty good fifting. Forthermore, the model from the lecture lits the

data better than the modification in this exam