

Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium Models

Dr. Andrea Beccarini Willi Mutschler

Summer 2012

- The economy produces only one final product Y_t and a continuum of intermediates goods defined over $j : j \in [0, 1]$.
- Firm j produces the intermediate product y_t^j . Firms of the final product sector operate in a (perfect) competitive market, firms in the intermediate sector operate in a monopolistic competition and set their prices forward-looking.

Firms:

Final Product Sector

- For the production of the final product Y_t firm use the intermediate products y_t^j as Input.
- The aggregation is performed through the following technology:

$$Y_t = \left[\int_0^1 \left(y_t^j \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda_{p,t}}} dj \right]^{1+\lambda_{p,t}} \quad (1)$$

- Define p_t^j as the price of the intermediate product y_t^j , then the representative firm minimizes the cost function $\int_0^1 p_t^j y_t^j dj$ of a particular combination of inputs observing the constraint of eq. (1).
- Define the Lagrange multiplier as P_t , hence the Lagrangian is:

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= \int_0^1 p_t^j y_t^j dj + P_t \left(Y_t - \left[\int_0^1 (y_t^j)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda_{p,t}}} dj \right]^{1+\lambda_{p,t}} \right) \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_t^j} &= p_t^j - P_t \left((1 + \lambda_{p,t}) \left[\int_0^1 (y_t^j)^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda_{p,t}}} dj \right]^{\lambda_{p,t}} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_{p,t}} (y_t^j)^{\frac{-\lambda_{p,t}}{1+\lambda_{p,t}}} \right) = 0 \\
&\Leftrightarrow p_t^j = P_t Y_t^{\frac{\lambda_{p,t}}{1+\lambda_{p,t}}} (y_t^j)^{\frac{-\lambda_{p,t}}{1+\lambda_{p,t}}} \\
&\Leftrightarrow y_t^j = Y_t \left(\frac{p_t^j}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{-(1+\lambda_{p,t})}{\lambda_{p,t}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Eq. (2) is the optimal demand of good y_t^j . Substituting this in (1) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \left[\int_0^1 \left(\frac{p_t^j}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{-1}{\lambda_{p,t}}} Y_t^{\frac{1}{1+\lambda_{p,t}}} dj \right]^{1+\lambda_{p,t}} \\ \Leftrightarrow P_t &= \left[\int_0^1 \left(p_t^j \right)^{\frac{-1}{\lambda_{p,t}}} dj \right]^{-\lambda_{p,t}} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

- P_t can be interpreted as the price index of the final good sector.
- $\lambda_{p,t}$ is a stochastic parameter representing the mark-up of the good market. It holds:

$$\lambda_{p,t} = \lambda_p + \eta_t^p \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_t^p \sim N(0, \sigma_{\eta_t^p}^2)$$

Firms:

Intermediate sector

Each firms j of the intermediate good sector minimizes its labour and capital costs $W_t L_{j,t} + r_t^k \tilde{K}_{j,t}$ observing its Cobb-Douglas production function:

$$y_t^j = \varepsilon_t^a \tilde{K}_{j,t}^\alpha L_{j,t}^{1-\alpha} - \Phi$$

- $\tilde{K}_{j,t}$ is the effective utilized stock of capital given by $\tilde{K}_{j,t} = z_t K_{j,t-1}$.
- $L_{j,t}$ is the index of the differently utilized labour force.
- Φ are fix costs.
- ε_t^a is a productivity shock, that follows a AR(1)-Process:
 $\varepsilon_t^a = \rho_a \varepsilon_{t-1}^a + \eta_t^a$ with $\eta_t^a \sim N(0, 1)$.

In order to find the optimal production quantity, it is convenient to show that marginal costs are constant.

One proceeds first by finding a functional relationship between $\tilde{K}_{j,t}$ and $L_{j,t}$ derived by the minimization problem of $W_t L_{j,t} + r_t^k \tilde{K}_{j,t}$ with respect to $L_{j,t}$ and $\tilde{K}_{j,t}$ subject to $y_t^j = \varepsilon_t^a \tilde{K}_{j,t}^\alpha L_{j,t}^{1-\alpha} - \Phi$:

$$\frac{W_t}{r_t^k} = \frac{(1-\alpha)\varepsilon_t^a \tilde{K}_{j,t}^\alpha L_{j,t}^{-\alpha}}{\alpha \varepsilon_t^a \tilde{K}_{j,t}^{\alpha-1} L_{j,t}^{1-\alpha}} \Leftrightarrow \frac{W_t L_{j,t}}{r_t^k \tilde{K}_{j,t}} = \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}$$

The utilization rate between capital and labour is identical for all firms and constant. This is the same of the economy as a whole.

The marginal costs of product j are derived as follows:

$$L_{j,t} = \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{r_t^k}{W_t} \tilde{K}_{j,t} \quad \text{in} \quad y_t^j = \varepsilon_t^a \tilde{K}_{j,t}^\alpha L_{j,t}^{1-\alpha} - \Phi$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{K}_{j,t} = \left(y_t^j + \Phi \right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon_t^a} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{W_t}{r_t^k} \right)^{1-\alpha}$$

$$\text{and} \quad L_{j,t} = \left(y_t^j + \Phi \right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon_t^a} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \frac{W_t}{r_t^k} \right)^{-\alpha} \quad (4)$$

$$\Rightarrow C_t = W_t L_{j,t} + r_t^k \tilde{K}_{j,t} = \left(y_t^j + \Phi \right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon_t^a} W_t^{1-\alpha} \left(r_t^k \right)^\alpha \left(\alpha^{-\alpha} (1-\alpha)^{-(1-\alpha)} \right)$$

$$MC_t = \frac{\partial C_t}{\partial y_t^j} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_t^a} W_t^{1-\alpha} \left(r_t^k \right)^\alpha \left(\alpha^{-\alpha} (1-\alpha)^{-(1-\alpha)} \right) \quad (5)$$

The nominal profit for firm j is:

$$Y_t^j = \underbrace{p_t^j y_t^j}_{\text{Revenues}} - \underbrace{MC_t (y_t^j + \Phi)}_{\text{Costs}} = (p_t^j - MC_t) \underbrace{\left(\frac{p_t^j}{P_t} \right)^{\frac{-(1+\lambda_{p,t})}{\lambda_{p,t}}}}_{=y_t^j} Y_t - MC_t \Phi \quad (6)$$

Each firm j has a market power in the market of its good and hence it maximizes its expected profit.

In order to do this it uses a discount factor $(\beta^k \rho_{t+k})$ that is based on the fact that firms belong to households. From the Euler-equation of consumption, it holds:

$$\beta^k \rho_{t+k} = \beta^k \frac{\lambda_{t+k}}{\lambda_t P_{t+k}} \quad (7)$$

- The determination of prices also follows a Calvo-rule in order to model the nominal price rigidity.
- Each firm j is allowed in period t , with probability $1 - \xi_p$, to peg its nominal price.
- Since firms are defined over a continuum between 0 and 1, each period, prices that are updated are $1 - \xi_p$ and prices that are not updated amount to ξ_p .
- Prices of firms that was unable to re-set their prices are partially indexed by the past inflation rate Π_{t-1} :

$$p_t^j = (\Pi_{t-1})^{\gamma_p} p_{t-1}^j = \left(\frac{P_{t-1}}{P_{t-2}} \right)^{\gamma_p} p_{t-1}^j \quad (8)$$

where γ_p is the degree of price indexation: $\gamma_p = 0$ implies no indexation ($p_t^j = p_{t-1}^j$) and $\gamma_p = 1$ a complete indexation to the past inflation rate ($p_t^j = \Pi_{t-1} p_{t-1}^j$).

\tilde{p}_t denotes the nominal price of the firm that are able in period t to re-optimize., It holds:

$$p_t^j = \begin{cases} \tilde{p}_t & \text{if } p_t^j \text{ in period } t \text{ is optimally set, with prob. } 1 - \xi_p \\ \left(\frac{p_{t-1}}{p_{t-2}}\right)^{\gamma_p} p_{t-1}^j & \text{otherwise, with prob. } \xi_p \end{cases}$$

The equation of motion for the aggregated price index P_t can be obtained through eq. (3):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (P_t)^{\frac{-1}{\bar{\lambda}_{p,t}}} &= \xi_p \cdot \int_0^1 \left(P_{t-1} \left(\frac{P_{t-1}}{P_{t-2}} \right)^{\gamma_p} \right)^{\frac{-1}{\bar{\lambda}_{p,t}}} dj + (1 - \xi_p) \cdot \int_0^1 \tilde{p}_t^{\frac{-1}{\bar{\lambda}_{p,t}}} dj \\
 &= \xi_p \left[\left(\frac{P_{t-1}}{P_{t-2}} \right)^{\gamma_p} P_{t-1} \right]^{\frac{-1}{\bar{\lambda}_{p,t}}} + (1 - \xi_p) \tilde{p}_t^{\frac{-1}{\bar{\lambda}_{p,t}}} \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

- The optimal price set in t , \tilde{p}_t , has a probability $(\xi_p)^i$ not to be changed until period i .
- Through the indexation of eq.(8) it holds for the not re-optimized price $t + i$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_t^j &= \tilde{p}_t \\
 p_{t+1}^j &= \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} p_t^j = \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} \tilde{p}_t \\
 p_{t+2}^j &= \left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \right)^{\gamma_p} p_{t+1}^j = \left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t} \right)^{\gamma_p} \left(\frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} \tilde{p}_t = \left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} \tilde{p}_t \\
 &\vdots \\
 p_{t+i}^j &= \left(\frac{P_{t+i-1}}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} \tilde{p}_t
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

- Firms that are not allowed to change their prices in period t , maximize their profit function (6) under the constraint (10).
- Considering that wages hold until period i with probability $(\xi_p)^i$, the Lagrangian for period t is:

$$L^p = E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_p^i \beta^i \rho_{t+i} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\frac{P_{t+i-1}}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} \tilde{p}_t - MC_{t+i} \right] Y_{t+i} \cdot \\ & \cdot \left(\frac{\left(\frac{P_{t+i-1}}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} \tilde{p}_t}{P_{t+i}} \right)^{\frac{-(1+\lambda_{p,t+i})}{\lambda_{p,t+i}}} - \Phi MC_{t+i} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

The first order conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial L^p}{\partial \tilde{p}_t} &= E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\zeta}_p^i \beta^i \rho_{t+i} \left\{ \left(\frac{P_{t+i-1}}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} Y_{t+i} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{P_{t+i-1}}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} \tilde{p}_t}{P_{t+i}} \right)^{\frac{-(1+\lambda_{p,t+i})}{\lambda_{p,t+i}}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left[\left(\frac{P_{t+i-1}}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} \tilde{p}_t - MC_{t+i} \right] \frac{-(1+\lambda_{p,t+i})}{\lambda_{p,t+i}} Y_{t+i} \cdot \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \cdot \left(\frac{\left(\frac{P_{t+i-1}}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} \tilde{p}_t}{P_{t+i}} \right)^{\frac{-(1+\lambda_{p,t+i})}{\lambda_{p,t+i}} - 1} \frac{\left(\frac{P_{t+i-1}}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p}}{P_{t+i}} \right\} \\
 &= E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\zeta}_p^i \beta^i \rho_{t+i} \left\{ \left(\frac{P_{t+i-1}}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} y_{t+i}^j + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left[\left(\frac{P_{t+i-1}}{P_{t-1}} \right)^{\gamma_p} \tilde{p}_t - MC_{t+i} \right] \frac{-(1+\lambda_{p,t+i})}{\lambda_{p,t+i}} \frac{1}{P_t} \right\} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying through the factor $-\tilde{p}_t \lambda_{p,t+i}$ and considering eq (7) for the discount factor yields the following mark-up formula for the re-optimized price:

$$E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^i \xi_p^i \frac{\lambda_{t+i}}{\lambda_t} y_{t+i}^j \left[\frac{\tilde{p}_t}{P_t} \left(\frac{(P_{t+i-1}/P_{t-1})^{\gamma_p}}{P_{t+i}/P_t} \right) - (1 + \lambda_{p,t+i}) \frac{MC_{t+i}}{P_{t+i}} \right] = 0 \quad (11)$$

With flexible prices ($\xi_p = 0$) the above equation becomes:

$$\tilde{p}_t = (1 + \lambda_{p,t}) \cdot MC_t$$

- The optimal price is set so that firms impose a premium over the average marginal costs.
- Through a log-linearization, the markup formula is easier to interpret:

$$\varphi_t = \mu + (1 - \beta \tilde{\zeta}_p) E_t \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^i \tilde{\zeta}_p^i [mc_{t+i} + p_{t+i} - \gamma_p(p_{t+i-1} - p_{t-1})]$$

where $\varphi_t = \log(\tilde{p}_t)$, $\mu = \log(1 + \lambda_p)$, $p_t = \log(P_t)$ and $mc_t = \log(MC_t/P_t)$.