

Student Reg. No. :

Student Name.

	A-Extensive Evidence	B-Convincing Evidence	C-Limited Evidence	D-No Evidence
Documentation Formatting Grade:	All the documentation meets all the criteria.	Documentation is well formatted but some of the criteria is not fulfilled.	Documentation is required a lot of improvement.	Documentation is not Available
Documentation Formatting Criteria: In Binder, Title Page, Header-Footers, Font Style, Font Size all are all consistence and according to given guidelines . Project Poster is professionally design and well presented				
Documentation Contents Grade:	Documentation includes all of the criteria.	Documentation meet more than 80% of the criteria given.	Documentation meet more than 50% of the criteria.	When the documentation meet less than 50% of the criteria.
Documentation Contents Criteria: Table of Contents - Case Study Scenario - Domain Model with only the Class names - Domain Model with Relations and Constraints - Domain Model with Multiplicity - Class Diagram - Separate code of the Classes attributes to show the relations added in the attributes - Full Code				
Domain Model Grade:	Have all the Classes (BL, DL and UI), Constraints and Multiplicity is added. Aggregation and Association Relations are mentioned.	Met 80% criteria given in extensive evidence (Have made only the BL Classes)	Met 50% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met less than 50% criteria given in extensive evidence
Class Diagram Grade:	All the attributes, functions and multiplicity is mentioned with also the private and public differentiation according to the format.	Attributes due to relations are also mentioned.	Attributes due to relations are also mentioned. Private and Public differentiation is not made. Multiplicity is not mentioned	Did not follow the Criteria in Extensive Evidence
Code of Class Attributes Grade:	Code of class attributes is given. Lists and objects due to the relations are added.	Met 80% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met 50% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met less than 50% criteria given in extensive evidence
3 Tier Model Grade:	Separate BL, DL and UI classes are made. Relevant attributes and functions are present in the relevant Classes	Met 80% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met 50% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met less than 50% criteria given in extensive evidence
Sequence Diagram Grade:	Sequence Diagrams are designed for major functionality and Code and Sequence Diagram is synchronized.	Code and Sequence Diagram does not synchronized at some places	Code and Sequence Diagram does not synchronized at many places	Code and Sequence Diagram does not synchronized.
Static Functions Grade:	Made the Data List Static and the related functions static as well and accessing the functions using the Class name	Met 80% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met 50% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met less than 50% criteria given in extensive evidence
Object Relational Mapping Grade:	Made Separate Files for all classes. ORM is done correctly. Functions for reading and writing the data are made properly.	Met 80% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met 50% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met less than 50% criteria given in extensive evidence
Encapsulation Incorporated Grade:	All the attributes are made private. Getter and Setter Functions are provided and used properly	Met 80% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met 50% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met less than 50% criteria given in extensive evidence
Student Understanding with the Code. Grade:	Student has complete understanding how the code is working and knows the concept.	Student has good understand but some place he does not know the concepts	Student has a very little understand and lack the major concepts.	Student does not have any level of understanding of the code.
Number of Scenarios Implemented	Students has implemented all scenarios	Student has implemented more than 80% of scenarios	Student has implemented more than 60% of scenarios	Student has implemented less than 60% of scenarios
Driver Program	Student has written the driver program to exhibit /develop complete working application.	Met 80% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met 50% criteria given in extensive evidence	Met less than 50% criteria given in extensive evidence

Checked by:

[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)