Propositional logic in Artificial intelligence

Propositional logic (PL) is the simplest form of logic where all the statements are made by propositions. A proposition is a declarative statement which is either true or false. It is a technique of knowledge representation in logical and mathematical form.

Example:

1. a) It is Sunday.
2. b) The Sun rises from West (False proposition)
3. c) 3+3= 7(False proposition)
4. d) 5 is a prime number.

Following are some basic facts about propositional logic:

- Propositional logic is also called Boolean logic as it works on 0 and 1.
- In propositional logic, we use symbolic variables to represent the logic, and we can use any symbol for a representing a proposition, such A, B, C, P, Q, R, etc.
- Propositions can be either true or false, but it cannot be both.
- Propositional logic consists of an object, relations or function, and **logical connectives**.
- These connectives are also called logical operators.
- The propositions and connectives are the basic elements of the propositional logic.
- Connectives can be said as a logical operator which connects two sentences.
- A proposition formula which is always true is called **tautology**, and it is also called a valid sentence.
- A proposition formula which is always false is called **Contradiction**.
- A proposition formula which has both true and false values is called
- Statements which are questions, commands, or opinions are not propositions such as "**Where is Rohini**", "**How are you**", "**What is your name**", are not propositions.

Syntax of propositional logic:

The syntax of propositional logic defines the allowable sentences for the knowledge representation. There are two types of Propositions:

1. **Atomic Propositions**
2. **Compound propositions**

- **Atomic Proposition:** Atomic propositions are the simple propositions. It consists of a single proposition symbol. These are the sentences which must be either true or false.

Example:

1. a) 2+2 is 4, it is an atomic proposition as it is a **true** fact.
2. b) "The Sun is cold" is also a proposition as it is a **false** fact.

- **Compound proposition:** Compound propositions are constructed by combining simpler or atomic propositions, using parenthesis and logical connectives.

Example:

1. a) "It is raining today, and street is wet."
2. b) "Ankit is a doctor, and his clinic is in Mumbai."

Logical Connectives:

Logical connectives are used to connect two simpler propositions or representing a sentence logically. We can create compound propositions with the help of logical connectives. There are mainly five connectives, which are given as follows:

1. **Negation:** A sentence such as ¬ P is called negation of P. A literal can be either Positive literal or negative literal.
2. **Conjunction:** A sentence which has ∧ connective such as, **P ∧ Q** is called a conjunction.
   Example: Rohan is intelligent and hardworking. It can be written as,
   P= Rohan is intelligent,
   Q= Rohan is hardworking. → P∧ Q.
3. **Disjunction:** A sentence which has ∨ connective, such as **P ∨ Q**. is called disjunction, where P and Q are the propositions.
   Example: "Ritika is a doctor or Engineer",
   Here P= Ritika is Doctor. Q= Ritika is Doctor, so we can write it as **P ∨ Q**.
4. **Implication:** A sentence such as P → Q, is called an implication. Implications are also known as if-then rules. It can be represented as
   If it is raining, then the street is wet.
   Let P= It is raining, and Q= Street is wet, so it is represented as P → Q
5. **Biconditional:** A sentence such as **P⇔ Q is a Biconditional sentence, example If I am breathing, then I am alive**
   P= I am breathing, Q= I am alive, it can be represented as P ⇔ Q.

Following is the summarized table for Propositional Logic Connectives:

Connective symbols	Word	Technical term	Example
∧	AND	Conjunction	A ∧ B
∨	OR	Disjunction	A ∨ B
→	Implies	Implication	A → B
⇔	If and only if	Biconditional	A⇔ B
¬ or ~	Not	Negation	¬ A or ¬ B

Truth Table:

In propositional logic, we need to know the truth values of propositions in all possible scenarios. We can combine all the possible combination with logical connectives, and the representation of these combinations in a tabular format is called **Truth table**. Following are the truth table for all logical connectives:

For Negation:

P	¬ P
True	**False**
False	**True**

For Conjunction:

P	Q	P∧ Q
True	True	**True**
True	False	**False**
False	True	**False**
False	False	**False**

For disjunction:

P	Q	P ∨ Q.
True	True	**True**
False	True	**True**
True	False	**True**
False	False	**False**

For Implication:

P	Q	P→ Q
True	True	**True**
True	False	**False**
False	True	**True**
False	False	**True**

For Biconditional:

P	Q	P⇔ Q
True	True	**True**
True	False	**False**
False	True	**False**
False	False	**True**

Truth table with three propositions:

We can build a proposition composing three propositions P, Q, and R. This truth table is made-up of 8n Tuples as we have taken three proposition symbols.

P	Q	R	¬R	P∨ Q	P∨Q→¬R
True	True	True	False	True	False
True	True	False	True	True	True
True	False	True	False	True	False
True	False	False	True	True	True
False	True	True	False	True	False
False	True	False	True	True	True
False	False	True	False	False	True
False	False	False	True	False	True

Precedence of connectives:

Just like arithmetic operators, there is a precedence order for propositional connectors or logical operators. This order should be followed while evaluating a propositional problem. Following is the list of the precedence order for operators:

Precedence	Operators
First Precedence	Parenthesis
Second Precedence	Negation
Third Precedence	Conjunction(AND)
Fourth Precedence	Disjunction(OR)
Fifth Precedence	Implication
Six Precedence	Biconditional

Note: For better understanding use parenthesis to make sure of the correct interpretations. Such as ¬R∨ Q, It can be interpreted as (¬R) ∨ Q.

Logical equivalence:

Logical equivalence is one of the features of propositional logic. Two propositions are said to be logically equivalent if and only if the columns in the truth table are identical to each other.

Let's take two propositions A and B, so for logical equivalence, we can write it as A⇔B. In below truth table we can see that column for ¬A∨ B and A→B, are identical hence A is Equivalent to B

A	B	¬A	¬A∨ B	A→B
T	T	F	**T**	**T**
T	F	F	**F**	**F**
F	T	T	**T**	**T**
F	F	T	**T**	**T**

Properties of Operators:

- **Commutativity:**

    - P∧ Q= Q ∧ P, or
    - P ∨ Q = Q ∨ P.
- **Associativity:**
    - (P ∧ Q) ∧ R= P ∧ (Q ∧ R),
    - (P ∨ Q) ∨ R= P ∨ (Q ∨ R)
- **Identity element:**
    - P ∧ True = P,
    - P ∨ True= True.
- **Distributive:**
    - P∧ (Q ∨ R) = (P ∧ Q) ∨ (P ∧ R).
    - P ∨ (Q ∧ R) = (P ∨ Q) ∧ (P ∨ R).
- **DE Morgan's Law:**
    - ¬ (P ∧ Q) = (¬P) ∨ (¬Q)
    - ¬ (P ∨ Q) = (¬ P) ∧ (¬Q).
- **Double-negation elimination:**
    - ¬ (¬P) = P.

Limitations of Propositional logic:

- We cannot represent relations like ALL, some, or none with propositional logic. Example:
    1. **All the girls are intelligent.**
    2. **Some apples are sweet.**
- Propositional logic has limited expressive power.
- In propositional logic, we cannot describe statements in terms of their properties or logical relationships.

Rules of Inference in Artificial intelligence

Inference:

In artificial intelligence, we need intelligent computers which can create new logic from old logic or by evidence, **so generating the conclusions from evidence and facts is termed as Inference**.

Inference rules:

Inference rules are the templates for generating valid arguments. Inference rules are applied to derive proofs in artificial intelligence, and the proof is a sequence of the conclusion that leads to the desired goal.

In inference rules, the implication among all the connectives plays an important role. Following are some terminologies related to inference rules:

- **Implication:** It is one of the logical connectives which can be represented as P → Q. It is a Boolean expression.
- **Converse:** The converse of implication, which means the right-hand side proposition goes to the left-hand side and vice-versa. It can be written as Q → P.
- **Contrapositive:** The negation of converse is termed as contrapositive, and it can be represented as ¬ Q → ¬ P.
- **Inverse:** The negation of implication is called inverse. It can be represented as ¬ P → ¬ Q.

From the above term some of the compound statements are equivalent to each other, which we can prove using truth table:

P	Q	P → Q	Q→ P	¬ Q → ¬ P	¬ P → ¬ Q.
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	T	F
F	F	T	T	T	T

Hence from the above truth table, we can prove that P → Q is equivalent to ¬ Q → ¬ P, and Q→ P is equivalent to ¬ P → ¬ Q.

Types of Inference rules:

1. Modus Ponens:

The Modus Ponens rule is one of the most important rules of inference, and it states that if P and P → Q is true, then we can infer that Q will be true. It can be represented as:

Notation for Modus ponens: $\frac{P \rightarrow Q, \quad P}{\therefore Q}$

Example:

Statement-1: "If I am sleepy then I go to bed" ==> P→ Q
Statement-2: "I am sleepy" ==> P
Conclusion: "I go to bed." ==> Q.
Hence, we can say that, if P→ Q is true and P is true then Q will be true.

Proof by Truth table:

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$
0	**0**	**0**
0	**1**	**1**
1	**0**	**0**
1	**1**	**1**

2. Modus Tollens:

The Modus Tollens rule state that if P→ Q is true and **¬ Q is true, then ¬ P** will also true. It can be represented as:

Notation for Modus Tollens: $\frac{P \rightarrow Q, \quad \sim Q}{\sim P}$

Statement-1: "If I am sleepy then I go to bed" ==> P→ Q
Statement-2: "I do not go to the bed."==> ~Q
Statement-3: Which infers that "**I am not sleepy**" => ~P

Proof by Truth table:

P	Q	$\sim P$	$\sim Q$	$P \rightarrow Q$
0	**0**	**1**	**1**	**1**
0	**1**	**1**	**0**	**1**
1	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**
1	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**

3. Hypothetical Syllogism:

The Hypothetical Syllogism rule state that if P→R is true whenever P→Q is true, and Q→R is true. It can be represented as the following notation:

Example:

Statement-1: If you have my home key then you can unlock my home. **P→Q**
Statement-2: If you can unlock my home then you can take my money. **Q→R**
Conclusion: If you have my home key then you can take my money. **P→R**

Proof by truth table:

P	Q	R	$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow R$	$P \rightarrow R$	
0	0	0	1	1	1	←
0	0	1	1	1	1	←
0	1	0	1	0	1	
0	1	1	1	1	1	←
1	0	0	0	1	1	
1	0	1	0	1	1	
1	1	0	1	0	0	
1	1	1	1	1	1	←

4. Disjunctive Syllogism:

The Disjunctive syllogism rule state that if P∨Q is true, and ¬P is true, then Q will be true. It can be represented as:

Notation of Disjunctive syllogism: $\frac{P \vee Q,\ \neg P}{Q}$

Example:

Statement-1: Today is Sunday or Monday. ==>P∨Q
Statement-2: Today is not Sunday. ==> ¬P
Conclusion: Today is Monday. ==> Q

Proof by truth-table:

P	Q	$\neg P$	$P \vee Q$	
0	0	1	0	
0	1	1	1	←
1	0	0	1	
1	1	0	1	

5. Addition:

The Addition rule is one the common inference rule, and it states that If P is true, then P∨Q will be true.

Notation of Addition: $\frac{P}{P \vee Q}$

Example:

Statement: I have a vanilla ice-cream. ==> P
Statement-2: I have Chocolate ice-cream.
Conclusion: I have vanilla or chocolate ice-cream. ==> (P∨Q)

Proof by Truth-Table:

P	Q	$P \vee Q$	
0	0	0	
1	0	1	←
0	1	1	
1	1	1	←

6. Simplification:

The simplification rule state that if **P∧ Q** is true, then **Q or P** will also be true. It can be represented as:

Notation of Simplification rule: $\frac{P \wedge Q}{Q}$ Or $\frac{P \wedge Q}{P}$

Proof by Truth-Table:

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$	
0	0	0	
1	0	0	
0	1	0	
1	1	1	←

7. Resolution:

The Resolution rule state that if P∨Q and ¬ P∧R is true, then Q∨R will also be true. **It can be represented as**

Notation of Resolution $\frac{P \vee Q,\ \neg P \wedge R}{Q \vee R}$

Proof by Truth-Table:

P	¬ P	Q	R	$P \vee Q$	¬ P∧R	$Q \vee R$
0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	0	1
0	1	1	0	1	1	1 ←
0	1	1	1	1	1	1 ←
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	0	1
1	0	1	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	1	1	0	1 ←

The Wumpus World in Artificial intelligence

Wumpus world:

The Wumpus world is a simple world example to illustrate the worth of a knowledge-based agent and to represent knowledge representation. It was inspired by a video game **Hunt the Wumpus** by Gregory Yob in 1973.

The Wumpus world is a cave which has 4/4 rooms connected with passageways. So there are total 16 rooms which are connected with each other. We have a knowledge-based agent who will go forward in this world. The cave has a room with a beast which is called Wumpus, who eats anyone who enters the room. The Wumpus can be shot by the agent, but the agent has a single arrow. In the Wumpus world, there are some Pits rooms which are bottomless, and if agent falls in Pits, then he will be stuck there forever. The exciting thing with this cave is that in one room there is a possibility of finding a heap of gold. So the agent goal is to find the gold and climb out the cave without fallen into Pits or eaten by Wumpus. The agent will get a reward if he comes out with gold, and he will get a penalty if eaten by Wumpus or falls in the pit.

Note: Here Wumpus is static and cannot move.

Following is a sample diagram for representing the Wumpus world. It is showing some rooms with Pits, one room with Wumpus and one agent at (1, 1) square location of the world.



There are also some components which can help the agent to navigate the cave. These components are given as follows:

1. The rooms adjacent to the Wumpus room are smelly, so that it would have some stench.
2. The room adjacent to PITs has a breeze, so if the agent reaches near to PIT, then he will perceive the breeze.
3. There will be glitter in the room if and only if the room has gold.
4. The Wumpus can be killed by the agent if the agent is facing to it, and Wumpus will emit a horrible scream which can be heard anywhere in the cave.

PEAS description of Wumpus world:

To explain the Wumpus world we have given PEAS description as below:

Performance measure:

- +1000 reward points if the agent comes out of the cave with the gold.
- -1000 points penalty for being eaten by the Wumpus or falling into the pit.
- -1 for each action, and -10 for using an arrow.
- The game ends if either agent dies or came out of the cave.

Environment:

- A 4*4 grid of rooms.
- The agent initially in room square [1, 1], facing toward the right.
- Location of Wumpus and gold are chosen randomly except the first square [1,1].
- Each square of the cave can be a pit with probability 0.2 except the first square.

Actuators:

- Left turn,
- Right turn
- Move forward
- Grab
- Release
- Shoot.

Sensors:

- The agent will perceive the **stench** if he is in the room adjacent to the Wumpus. (Not diagonally).
- The agent will perceive **breeze** if he is in the room directly adjacent to the Pit.
- The agent will perceive the **glitter** in the room where the gold is present.
- The agent will perceive the **bump** if he walks into a wall.
- When the Wumpus is shot, it emits a horrible **scream** which can be perceived anywhere in the cave.
- These percepts can be represented as five element list, in which we will have different indicators for each sensor.

- Example if agent perceives stench, breeze, but no glitter, no bump, and no scream then it can be represented as:
  [Stench, Breeze, None, None, None].

The Wumpus world Properties:

- **Partially observable:** The Wumpus world is partially observable because the agent can only perceive the close environment such as an adjacent room.
- **Deterministic:** It is deterministic, as the result and outcome of the world are already known.
- **Sequential:** The order is important, so it is sequential.
- **Static:** It is static as Wumpus and Pits are not moving.
- **Discrete:** The environment is discrete.
- **One agent:** The environment is a single agent as we have one agent only and Wumpus is not considered as an agent.

Exploring the Wumpus world:

Now we will explore the Wumpus world and will determine how the agent will find its goal by applying logical reasoning.

Agent's First step:

Initially, the agent is in the first room or on the square [1,1], and we already know that this room is safe for the agent, so to represent on the below diagram (a) that room is safe we will add symbol OK. Symbol A is used to represent agent, symbol B for the breeze, G for Glitter or gold, V for the visited room, P for pits, W for Wumpus.

At Room [1,1] agent does not feel any breeze or any Stench which means the adjacent squares are also OK.



Agent's second Step:

Now agent needs to move forward, so it will either move to [1, 2], or [2,1]. Let's suppose agent moves to the room [2, 1], at this room agent perceives some breeze which means Pit is around this room. The pit can be in [3, 1], or [2,2], so we will add symbol P? to say that, is this Pit room?

Now agent will stop and think and will not make any harmful move. The agent will go back to the [1, 1] room. The room [1,1], and [2,1] are visited by the agent, so we will use symbol V to represent the visited squares.

Agent's third step:

At the third step, now agent will move to the room [1,2] which is OK. In the room [1,2] agent perceives a stench which means there must be a Wumpus nearby. But Wumpus cannot be in the room [1,1] as by rules of the game, and also not in [2,2] (Agent had not detected any stench when he was at [2,1]). Therefore agent infers that Wumpus is in the room [1,3], and in current state, there is no breeze which means in [2,2] there is no Pit and no Wumpus. So it is safe, and we will mark it OK, and the agent moves further in [2,2].



Agent's fourth step:

At room [2,2], here no stench and no breezes present so let's suppose agent decides to move to [2,3]. At room [2,3] agent perceives glitter, so it should grab the gold and climb out of the cave.

Knowledge-base for Wumpus world

As in the previous topic we have learned about the wumpus world and how a knowledge-based agent evolves the world. Now in this topic, we will create a knowledge base for the wumpus world, and will derive some proves for the Wumpus-world using propositional logic.

The agent starts visiting from first square [1, 1], and we already know that this room is safe for the agent. To build a knowledge base for wumpus world, we will use some rules and atomic propositions. We need symbol [i, j] for each location in the wumpus world, where i is for the location of rows, and j for column location.



Atomic proposition variable for Wumpus world:

- Let $\mathbf{P_{i,j}}$ be true if there is a Pit in the room [i, j].
- Let $\mathbf{B_{i,j}}$ be true if agent perceives breeze in [i, j], (dead or alive).
- Let $\mathbf{W_{i,j}}$ be true if there is wumpus in the square[i, j].
- Let $\mathbf{S_{i,j}}$ be true if agent perceives stench in the square [i, j].
- Let $\mathbf{V_{i,j}}$ be true if that square[i, j] is visited.
- Let $\mathbf{G_{i,j}}$ be true if there is gold (and glitter) in the square [i, j].
- Let $\mathbf{OK_{i,j}}$ be true if the room is safe.

Note: For a 4 * 4 square board, there will be 7*4*4= 122 propositional variables.

Some Propositional Rules for the wumpus world:

(R1) $\neg S_{11} \rightarrow \neg W_{11} \wedge \neg W_{12} \wedge \neg W_{21}$

(R2) $\neg S_{21} \rightarrow \neg W_{11} \wedge \neg W_{21} \wedge \neg W_{22} \wedge \neg W_{31}$

(R3) $\neg S_{12} \rightarrow \neg W_{11} \wedge \neg W_{12} \wedge \neg W_{22} \wedge \neg W_{13}$

(R4) $S_{12} \rightarrow W_{13} \vee W_{12} \vee W_{22} \vee W_{11}$

Note: lack of variables gives us similar rules for each cell.

Representation of Knowledgebase for Wumpus world:

Following is the Simple KB for wumpus world when an agent moves from room [1, 1], to room [2,1]:

$\neg W_{11}$	$\neg S_{11}$	$\neg P_{11}$	$\neg B_{11}$	$\neg G_{11}$	V_{11}	OK_{11}
$\neg W_{12}$	----	$\neg P_{12}$	-----	----	$\neg V_{12}$	OK_{12}
$\neg W_{21}$	$\neg S_{21}$	$\neg P_{21}$	B_{21}	$\neg G_{21}$	V_{21}	OK_{21}

Here in the first row, we have mentioned propositional variables for room[1,1], which is showing that room does not have wumpus($\neg W_{11}$), no stench ($\neg S_{11}$), no Pit($\neg P_{11}$), no breeze($\neg B_{11}$), no gold ($\neg G_{11}$), visited (V_{11}), and the room is Safe(OK_{11}).

In the second row, we have mentioned propositional variables for room [1,2], which is showing that there is no wumpus, stench and breeze are unknown as an agent has not visited room [1,2], no Pit, not visited yet, and the room is safe.

In the third row we have mentioned propositional variable for room[2,1], which is showing that there is no wumpus($\neg W21$), no stench ($\neg S_{21}$), no Pit ($\neg P_{21}$), Perceives breeze(B_{21}), no glitter($\neg G_{21}$), visited (V_{21}), and room is safe (OK_{21}).

Prove that Wumpus is in the room (1, 3)

We can prove that wumpus is in the room (1, 3) using propositional rules which we have derived for the wumpus world and using inference rule.

- **Apply Modus Ponens with ¬S11 and R1:**

We will firstly apply MP rule with R1 which is $\neg S_{11} \neg W_{11} \wedge \neg W_{12} \wedge \neg W_{21}$, and $\neg S_{11}$ which will give the output $\neg W_{11} \wedge W_{12} \wedge W_{12}$.



- **Apply And-Elimination Rule:**

After applying And-elimination rule to ¬ W_{11} ¬ W_{12} ¬ W_{21}, we will get three statements: **¬ W_{11}, ¬ W_{12}, and ¬W_{21}**.

- **Apply Modus Ponens to ¬S_{21}, and R2:**

Now we will apply Modus Ponens to ¬S_{21} and R2 which is ¬S_{21} ¬ W_{21} ¬ W_{22} ¬ W_{31}, which will give the Output as **¬ W_{21} ¬ W_{22} ¬ W_{31}**



- **Apply And -Elimination rule:**

Now again apply And-elimination rule to **¬ W_{21} ¬ W_{22} ¬ W_{31}**, We will get three statements: **¬ W_{21}, ¬ W_{22}, and ¬ W_{31}**.

- **Apply MP to S_{12} and R4:**

Apply Modus Ponens to **S_{12}** and **R_4** which is **S_{12} W_{13} . W_{12} . W_{22} .W_{11}**, we will get the output as **W_{13} W_{12} W_{22} .W_{11}**.



- **Apply Unit resolution on W_{13} W_{12} W_{22} W_{11} and ¬ W_{11} :**

After applying Unit resolution formula on W_{13} W_{12} W_{22} W_{11} and ¬ W_{11} we will get W_{13} W_{12} W_{22}.



- **Apply Unit resolution on W_{13} W_{12} W_{22} and ¬ W_{22} :**

After applying Unit resolution on **W_{13} W_{12} W_{22}**, and **¬W_{22}**, we will get **W_{13} W_{12}** as output.



- **Apply Unit Resolution on W_{13} W_{12} and ¬ W_{12} :**

After Applying Unit resolution on **W_{13} W_{12} and ¬ W_{12}**, we will get **W_{13}** as an output, hence it is proved that the Wumpus is in the room [1, 3].



Knowledge Engineering in First-order logic

What is knowledge-engineering?

The process of constructing a knowledge-base in first-order logic is called as knowledge-engineering. In **knowledge-engineering**, someone who investigates a particular domain, learns important concept of that domain, and generates a formal representation of the objects, is known as **knowledge engineer**.

In this topic, we will understand the Knowledge engineering process in an electronic circuit domain, which is already familiar. This approach is mainly suitable for creating **special-purpose knowledge base**.

The knowledge-engineering process:

Following are some main steps of the knowledge-engineering process. Using these steps, we will develop a knowledge base which will allow us to reason about digital circuit (**One-bit full adder**) which is given below



1. Identify the task:

The first step of the process is to identify the task, and for the digital circuit, there are various reasoning tasks.

At the first level or highest level, we will examine the functionality of the circuit:

- **Does the circuit add properly?**
- **What will be the output of gate A2, if all the inputs are high?**

At the second level, we will examine the circuit structure details such as:

- **Which gate is connected to the first input terminal?**

- **Does the circuit have feedback loops?**

2. Assemble the relevant knowledge:

In the second step, we will assemble the relevant knowledge which is required for digital circuits. So for digital circuits, we have the following required knowledge:

- Logic circuits are made up of wires and gates.
- Signal flows through wires to the input terminal of the gate, and each gate produces the corresponding output which flows further.
- In this logic circuit, there are four types of gates used: **AND, OR, XOR, and NOT**.
- All these gates have one output terminal and two input terminals (except NOT gate, it has one input terminal).

3. Decide on vocabulary:

The next step of the process is to select functions, predicate, and constants to represent the circuits, terminals, signals, and gates. Firstly we will distinguish the gates from each other and from other objects. Each gate is represented as an object which is named by a constant, such as, **Gate(X1)**. The functionality of each gate is determined by its type, which is taken as constants such as **AND, OR, XOR, or NOT**. Circuits will be identified by a predicate: **Circuit (C1)**.

For the terminal, we will use predicate: **Terminal(x)**.

For gate input, we will use the function **In(1, X1)** for denoting the first input terminal of the gate, and for output terminal we will use **Out (1, X1)**.

The function **Arity(c, i, j)** is used to denote that circuit c has i input, j output.

The connectivity between gates can be represented by predicate **Connect(Out(1, X1), In(1, X1))**.

We use a unary predicate **On (t)**, which is true if the signal at a terminal is on.

4. Encode general knowledge about the domain:

To encode the general knowledge about the logic circuit, we need some following rules:

- If two terminals are connected then they have the same input signal, it can be represented as:

1. $\forall$ t1, t2 Terminal (t1) $\wedge$ Terminal (t2) $\wedge$ Connect (t1, t2) $\rightarrow$ Signal (t1) = Signal (2).

- Signal at every terminal will have either value 0 or 1, it will be represented as:

1. $\forall$ t Terminal (t) $\rightarrow$Signal (t) = 1 $\vee$Signal (t) = 0.

- Connect predicates are commutative:

1. ∀ t1, t2 Connect(t1, t2) → Connect (t2, t1).

- Representation of types of gates:

1. ∀ g Gate(g) ∧ r = Type(g) → r = OR ∨r = AND ∨r = XOR ∨r = NOT.

- Output of AND gate will be zero if and only if any of its input is zero.

1. ∀ g Gate(g) ∧ Type(g) = AND →Signal (Out(1, g))= 0 ⇔ ∃n Signal (In(n, g))= 0.

- Output of OR gate is 1 if and only if any of its input is 1:

1. ∀ g Gate(g) ∧ Type(g) = OR → Signal (Out(1, g))= 1 ⇔ ∃n Signal (In(n, g))= 1

- Output of XOR gate is 1 if and only if its inputs are different:

1. ∀ g Gate(g) ∧ Type(g) = XOR → Signal (Out(1, g)) = 1 ⇔ Signal (In(1, g)) ≠ Signal (In(2, g)).

- Output of NOT gate is invert of its input:

1. ∀ g Gate(g) ∧ Type(g) = NOT → Signal (In(1, g)) ≠ Signal (Out(1, g)).

- All the gates in the above circuit have two inputs and one output (except NOT gate).

1. ∀ g Gate(g) ∧ Type(g) = NOT → Arity(g, 1, 1)
2. ∀ g Gate(g) ∧ r =Type(g) ∧ (r= AND ∨r= OR ∨r= XOR) → Arity (g, 2, 1).

- All gates are logic circuits:

1. ∀ g Gate(g) → Circuit (g).

5. Encode a description of the problem instance:

Now we encode problem of circuit C1, firstly we categorize the circuit and its gate components. This step is easy if ontology about the problem is already thought. This step involves the writing simple atomics sentences of instances of concepts, which is known as ontology.

For the given circuit C1, we can encode the problem instance in atomic sentences as below:

Since in the circuit there are two XOR, two AND, and one OR gate so atomic sentences for these gates will be:

1. For XOR gate: Type(x1)= XOR, Type(X2) = XOR
2. For AND gate: Type(A1) = AND, Type(A2)= AND
3. For OR gate: Type (O1) = OR.

And then represent the connections between all the gates.

Note: Ontology defines a particular theory of the nature of existence.

6. Pose queries to the inference procedure and get answers:

In this step, we will find all the possible set of values of all the terminal for the adder circuit. The first query will be:

What should be the combination of input which would generate the first output of circuit C1, as 0 and a second output to be 1?

1. ∃ i1, i2, i3 Signal (In(1, C1))=i1 ∧ Signal (In(2, C1))=i2 ∧ Signal (In(3, C1))= i3
2. ∧ Signal (Out(1, C1)) =0 ∧ Signal (Out(2, C1))=1

7. Debug the knowledge base:

Now we will debug the knowledge base, and this is the last step of the complete process. In this step, we will try to debug the issues of knowledge base.

In the knowledge base, we may have omitted assertions like $1 \neq 0$.

Inference in First-Order Logic

Inference in First-Order Logic is used to deduce new facts or sentences from existing sentences. Before understanding the FOL inference rule, let's understand some basic terminologies used in FOL.

Substitution:

Substitution is a fundamental operation performed on terms and formulas. It occurs in all inference systems in first-order logic. The substitution is complex in the presence of quantifiers in FOL. If we write **F[a/x]**, so it refers to substitute a constant "**a**" in place of variable "**x**".

Note: First-order logic is capable of expressing facts about some or all objects in the universe.

Equality:

First-Order logic does not only use predicate and terms for making atomic sentences but also uses another way, which is equality in FOL. For this, we can use **equality symbols** which specify that the two terms refer to the same object.

Example: Brother (John) = Smith.

As in the above example, the object referred by the **Brother (John)** is similar to the object referred by **Smith**. The equality symbol can also be used with negation to represent that two terms are not the same objects.

Example: ¬(x=y) which is equivalent to x ≠y.

FOL inference rules for quantifier:

As propositional logic we also have inference rules in first-order logic, so following are some basic inference rules in FOL:

- **Universal Generalization**
- **Universal Instantiation**
- **Existential Instantiation**
- **Existential introduction**

1. Universal Generalization:

- Universal generalization is a valid inference rule which states that if premise P(c) is true for any arbitrary element c in the universe of discourse, then we can have a conclusion as ∀ x P(x).
- It can be represented as: $\frac{P(c)}{\forall x\, P(x)}$.
- This rule can be used if we want to show that every element has a similar property.

- In this rule, x must not appear as a free variable.

Example: Let's represent, P(c): "**A byte contains 8 bits**", so for **∀ x P(x)** "**All bytes contain 8 bits**.", it will also be true.

2. Universal Instantiation:

- Universal instantiation is also called as universal elimination or UI is a valid inference rule. It can be applied multiple times to add new sentences.
- The new KB is logically equivalent to the previous KB.
- As per UI, **we can infer any sentence obtained by substituting a ground term for the variable**.
- The UI rule state that we can infer any sentence P(c) by substituting a ground term c (a constant within domain x) from **∀ x P(x) for any object in the universe of discourse**.
- It can be represented as: $\frac{\forall x\, P(x)}{P(c)}$.

Example:1.

IF "Every person like ice-cream"=> ∀x P(x) so we can infer that
"John likes ice-cream" => P(c)

Example: 2.

Let's take a famous example,

"All kings who are greedy are Evil." So let our knowledge base contains this detail as in the form of FOL:

∀x king(x) ∧ greedy (x) → Evil (x),

So from this information, we can infer any of the following statements using Universal Instantiation:

- **King(John) ∧ Greedy (John) → Evil (John),**
- **King(Richard) ∧ Greedy (Richard) → Evil (Richard),**
- **King(Father(John)) ∧ Greedy (Father(John)) → Evil (Father(John)),**

3. Existential Instantiation:

- Existential instantiation is also called as Existential Elimination, which is a valid inference rule in first-order logic.
- It can be applied only once to replace the existential sentence.
- The new KB is not logically equivalent to old KB, but it will be satisfiable if old KB was satisfiable.
- This rule states that one can infer P(c) from the formula given in the form of ∃x P(x) for a new constant symbol c.

- The restriction with this rule is that c used in the rule must be a new term for which P(c) is true.
- It can be represented as: $\frac{\exists x\, P(x)}{P(c)}$

Example:

From the given sentence: **∃x Crown(x) ∧ OnHead(x, John),**

So we can infer: **Crown(K) ∧ OnHead(K, John),** as long as K does not appear in the knowledge base.

- The above used K is a constant symbol, which is called **Skolem constant**.
- The Existential instantiation is a special case of **Skolemization process**.

4. Existential introduction

- An existential introduction is also known as an existential generalization, which is a valid inference rule in first-order logic.
- This rule states that if there is some element c in the universe of discourse which has a property P, then we can infer that there exists something in the universe which has the property P.
- It can be represented as: $\frac{P(c)}{\exists x P(x)}$
- **Example: Let's say that,**
  "Priyanka got good marks in English."
  "Therefore, someone got good marks in English."

Generalized Modus Ponens Rule:

For the inference process in FOL, we have a single inference rule which is called Generalized Modus Ponens. It is lifted version of Modus ponens.

Generalized Modus Ponens can be summarized as, " P implies Q and P is asserted to be true, therefore Q must be True."

According to Modus Ponens, for atomic sentences **pi, pi', q**. Where there is a substitution θ such that SUBST **(θ, pi',) = SUBST(θ, pi)**, it can be represented as:

$$\frac{p1', p2', \ldots, pn', (p1 \wedge p2 \wedge \ldots \wedge pn \Rightarrow q)}{SUBST(\theta, q)}$$

Example:

We will use this rule for Kings are evil, so we will find some x such that x is king, and x is greedy so we can infer that x is evil.

1. Here let say, p1' is king(John) p1 is king(x)
2. p2' is Greedy(y) p2 is Greedy(x)
3. θ is {x/John, y/John} q is evil(x)
4. SUBST(θ,q).