

Frequency of Binge Eating Episodes in Bulimia Nervosa and Binge Eating Disorder: Diagnostic Considerations

G. Terence Wilson, PhD^{1*}
Robyn Sysko, PhD²

ABSTRACT

Objective: In DSM-IV, to be diagnosed with Bulimia Nervosa (BN) or the provisional diagnosis of Binge Eating Disorder (BED), an individual must experience episodes of binge eating is “at least twice a week” on average, for 3 or 6 months, respectively. The purpose of this review was to examine the validity and utility of the frequency criterion for BN and BED.

Method: Published studies evaluating the frequency criterion were reviewed.

Results: Our review found little evidence to support the validity or utility of the DSM-IV frequency criterion of twice a

week binge eating; however, the number of studies available for our review was limited.

Discussion: A number of options are available for the frequency criterion in DSM-V, and the optimal diagnostic threshold for binge eating remains to be determined. © 2009 American Psychiatric Association.

Keywords: bulimia nervosa; binge eating disorder; DSM-V; diagnostic criteria

(*Int J Eat Disord* 2009; 42:603–610)

Introduction

The frequency criterion in DSM-IV¹ for the diagnosis of bulimia nervosa (BN) is “at least twice a week,” on average, for 3 months (criterion C). Similarly, in the provisional criteria for binge eating disorder (BED), the frequency criterion is the occurrence of “binge eating, on average, at least 2 days a week for 6 months” (criterion D). The DSM-IV Work Group voiced concern with this twice-a-week frequency criterion for BN that was introduced in DSM-III-R, noting unpublished data that indicated no difference between once a week or greater frequency.² Unless there was substantial empirical evidence favoring change, the operational philosophy guiding the development of

DSM-IV was to make no alterations to DSM-III-R criteria. Research is now available, however, to document the limitations inherent in this criterion and the need for change in DSM-V. The purpose of this review was to examine the validity and utility of the frequency criterion for BN and BED and to consider possible options for change.

Method

A literature review was conducted to identify relevant articles by searching computer databases (e.g., MEDLINE, ISI Web of Science) and reviewing the reference sections of studies of the frequency criterion. Search terms included, but were not limited to, bulimia nervosa, binge eating disorder, binge eating frequency, and diagnostic criteria. Articles were reviewed if they included a comparison of individuals primarily based on the frequency of binge eating or purging behaviors.

Results

Bulimia Nervosa

Our review identified a total of 10 studies examining the frequency criterion among patients with BN.^{3–12} We first present research evaluating differences in eating disorder symptoms and comorbid psy-

Accepted 9 June 2009

Supporting Information Table S1 may be found in the online version of this article.

Supported by 5T32MH015144 from The National Institutes of Health.

*Correspondence to: Dr. G. Terence Wilson, Rutgers University Eating Disorders Clinic, 41C Gordon Rd., Piscataway, New Jersey 08854. E-mail: tewilson@rci.rutgers.edu

¹ Graduate School of Applied and Professional Psychology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey

² Department of Psychiatry, College of Physicians & Surgeons of Columbia University, The Division of Clinical Therapeutics, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, New York

Published online 16 July 2009 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI: 10.1002/eat.20726

© 2009 American Psychiatric Association. This Article is being co-published by the *International Journal of Eating Disorders* and the American Psychiatric Association.

chopathology ($n = 6$ studies³⁻⁸), with basic descriptive information about these studies provided in Supporting Information Table S1. Studies addressing the prognostic validity of the frequency criterion ($n = 4$ studies⁹⁻¹²) are subsequently described.

Using a structured interview in a Canadian community sample of women and men, Garfinkel et al.³ compared participants who met DSM-III-R criteria for BN and those missing only the twice-a-week frequency criterion (partial BN). Overall, the two groups were indistinguishable in terms of compensatory weight behaviors, childhood experiences (e.g., sexual abuse), and comorbidity for depression and anxiety disorders. Moreover, both groups had similarly high levels of parental psychopathology. Although the partial-syndrome BN group tended to score midway between BN and normal controls, the difference between the two bulimic groups was not statistically significant. The authors concluded that the frequency criterion in DSM-IV "remains an arbitrary threshold that excludes from diagnosis subjects who in every other way resemble women with bulimia nervosa" (p. 1057).

In a well-controlled study, Sullivan et al.⁴ examined the classification of bulimic behaviors in a population sample of 1897 female twins ($n = 31$ with a lifetime history of BN). The authors systematically varied the diagnostic threshold of binge eating from one to eight times per month. These different thresholds were evaluated against several external validators, including familial aggregation (risk to co-twin), eating disorder symptoms, psychiatric comorbidity, and personality measures of neuroticism and extraversion. For most validators, "there was no suggestion of a point at which there was a qualitative change" (p. 604). The single exception was for risk to the cotwin. In this case, the data indicated that the most informative threshold was once-a-week binge eating.

Crow et al.⁵ completed a large, multicenter study of the diagnostic features of full, and partial syndrome eating disorders. Partial BN was defined as meeting criteria for BN on the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV¹⁸ with two exceptions: the shape and weight criterion, and the frequency criterion. Participants were required to have experienced binge eating episodes a minimum of once a month, on average, over the previous 6 months. Total score of the Yale-Brown-Cornell Eating Disorders Scale¹⁹ discriminated between full and partial-BN, with full BN showing more severe eating disorder symptoms. No differences were observed on the Eating Disorder Examination (EDE²⁰) and impairment of self-esteem and social adjustment were comparable across groups. Importantly, as

patients in the partial BN group could deny the body shape and weight concern criterion, this study is not a pure assessment of the utility of the frequency criterion.

Another large multisite study compared women who met full BN criteria with those who met all criteria with the exception that their binge eating frequency was once-a-week.⁶ Scores on the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q²¹) showed more similarities than differences. The two groups of women were indistinguishable in terms of subjective bulimic episodes, dietary restraint, body shape and weight concerns, and associated personality/psychiatric comorbidity.

In contrast, Rockert et al.⁷ found that patients who met the full criteria for BN reported significantly higher scores on the subscales of the Eating Disorder Inventory (EDI²²), the Beck Depression Inventory,²³ and Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale²⁴ than those who engaged in binge eating once a week. The EDI provides a more limited clinical evaluation than other measures reported here, such as the EDE. Moreover, it should be noted that those patients who binged no more than once-a-week showed clinical levels of disturbance on the EDI. The authors themselves concluded that "these findings support lowering the frequency of binge eating episodes for BN to once per week..." (p. 503).

Spoor et al.⁸ assessed the binge eating frequency criterion in a large sample of 1,231 adolescent and adult females of which only 194 reported any binge eating or purging. Those who met full DSM-IV criteria for BN ($n = 136$) were compared with a sub-threshold group ($n = 58$) binge eating or engaging in compensatory behaviors for weight control less than twice a week (once a week on average), and a comparison group without binge eating or purging ($n = 774$). No significant differences in psychosocial impairment were found between the two BN groups, and both the full and sub-threshold groups had significantly more impairment than the comparison group. With regard to health care utilization, the full-threshold group reported higher scores than the comparison females, and the sub-threshold group did not differ from either the full-threshold or comparison groups. The authors concluded that the DSM-IV frequency criterion may be too stringent to identify all individuals with functional impairment. Interpretation of these results is complicated by the fact that the lowered frequencies were combinations of the co-occurrence of binge eating and compensatory behaviors (e.g., compensatory behaviors without binge eating).

Evidence on the prognostic utility of the binge eating frequency criterion is largely lacking. However, based on a small, clinical sample of women, Wilson and Eldredge⁹ found no difference in concurrent psychopathology or treatment response between patients with BN (full DSM-III-R criteria, $n = 17$) and those who met all criteria except for binge eating frequency (once a week on average, $n = 5$). Walsh et al.¹⁰ evaluated guided self-help (GSH) in combination with fluoxetine or pill placebo for the treatment of patients with BN or sub-threshold BN in a primary care setting. No significant differences were observed in treatment response between patients with DSM-IV BN ($n = 76$) and a small comparison group of patients with binge eating or purging less than twice per week ($n = 15$; average number of objective bulimic episodes = 4.6 ± 2.4) on the Beck Depression Inventory,²³ Brief Symptom Inventory,²⁵ or the Restraint, Shape Concern, or Weight Concern subscales of the EDE-Q.²¹

Two additional studies, described below, examined treatment response among patients who failed to meet the frequency criterion.^{11,12} However, as these studies included patients who were sub-threshold on other DSM-IV BN criteria (e.g., frequency of purging, duration of symptoms and size of binge episodes), it is not possible to conclude whether these studies support altering the frequency criterion.

Schmidt et al.¹¹ compared full-syndrome BN ($n = 61$) with Eating Disorder Not Otherwise Specified (EDNOS, $n = 24$) in a sample of treatment-seeking adolescents. EDNOS was defined as: (1) binge eating or purging less than twice a week, or (2) experiencing symptoms for less than 3 months, or (3) use of extreme compensatory behaviors without binge eating. At baseline, the EDNOS group reported more comorbid psychopathology, whereas adolescents with BN had significantly more severe eating disorder psychopathology. At the 1 year follow-up, adolescents with BN were less likely to have ceased binge eating and purging, but no differences were observed on other measures of treatment response to family therapy and guided self-help respectively. In a similar design, Krug et al.¹² compared treatment outcome among adult women with full-syndrome BN and sub-threshold BN (EDNOS). The sub-threshold patients ($n = 39$) met criteria for BN but reported no objective binge eating or purging (45.5%, $n = 18$, without objective binge eating; 21.6%, $n = 8$, without purging), or did not meet the twice-a-week frequency criterion ($n = 13$). Binge eating frequen-

cies for the two groups were 6.9 and 1.2 per week, respectively, and overall the groups did not differ significantly in terms of personality and clinical characteristics at baseline or for remission rates from binge eating and purging after a brief outpatient psychoeducational treatment.

The DSM-IV criteria for BN require the same frequency for "inappropriate compensatory behavior to prevent weight gain" such as purging. Less is known about the unique contribution of different frequencies of purging when compared with binge eating. The limited available data do not support the DSM-IV threshold for vomiting.⁴

Binge Eating Disorder

Our review identified a total of nine studies examining the frequency criterion among patients with BED.^{5,13-17,26-28} As above, research evaluating differences in eating disorder symptoms and comorbid psychopathology is presented first ($n = 6$ studies^{5,13-17}) and are listed in Supporting Information Table S1, followed by studies of the impact of the frequency criterion on weight loss treatment ($n = 3$ studies; 26-28). The provisional DSM-IV criteria for BED defined the frequency threshold in terms of days rather than episodes, and therefore, any evaluation of this criterion must consider the utility of retaining this distinction.

Days versus Episodes of Binge Eating

The use of binge days rather than binge episodes relates to the phenomenology of binge eating for patients with BED, and in particular, observations of chaotic eating, frequent loss of control over eating, and binge episodes that began in the context of a meal but are not punctuated by compensatory behaviors. Spitzer et al. (1992) described individuals with BED as having "difficulty separating binge episodes during a given day" (p. 193²⁹) based on a small study of 22 "nonpurging bulimic subjects."³⁰ The patients with nonpurging BN recalled significantly fewer binge episodes a week by calendar recall than by prospective self-monitoring, which was the opposite from what had been observed in similar research on BN. Rossiter et al.³⁰ suggested that the absence of purging, which would punctuate or anchor specific binge eating episodes, resulted in less accurate estimates of the number of specific episodes. Accordingly, they recommended using days on which binge eating occurred instead of binge eating episodes to improve the accuracy of self-report. In response to these early data, DSM-IV proposed that "future research should address whether the preferred method of

setting a frequency threshold is counting the number of days on which binges occur or counting the number of episodes of binge eating" (p. 731). Yet, surprisingly, this recommendation has generated little research on the topic.

Of all the studies including patients with sub-threshold or full-threshold BED summarized above, only one used the formal DSM-IV frequency criterion of 2 days of binge eating a week as an entry criterion.⁵ The rest reported results using the frequency of binge eating episodes. Some treatment outcome studies have reported results in terms of binge days rather than episodes based on the EDE.^{31,32} It is clear that the two measures are highly correlated. For example, Wilson et al.³² found positive correlations of 0.83, and 0.97 for binge eating days and episodes at post-treatment and 2 year follow-up, respectively. In addition, the inter-rater reliability for the EDE in coding objective binge episodes and days on which one or more OBEs occurred is essentially the same. For example, Grilo et al.³³ reported a correlation of 0.98, Grilo et al.³⁴ observed a correlation of 0.98, and Wilson et al.³² a correlation of 0.96 for these items on the EDE. Another means of comparing the clinical utility of using episodes versus days of binge eating is to examine the correlation between self-report and interview-based measures. As self-report questionnaires are more likely to be used in clinical practice, it is important to evaluate the relationship between days or episodes of binge eating on these measures. Celio et al.³⁵ observed significant associations between the frequency of binge eating days and episodes as measured by the EDE-Q and EDE. Although a stronger association was observed between the measures for binge days than episodes, estimates for binge eating episodes on the EDE and EDE-Q were not significantly different, and on average, diverged by only 0.65 episodes per week.

Frequency Criterion

The first study of the frequency criterion in BED identified for this review included 170 treatment-seeking obese women and men, and demonstrated that recurrent binge eaters differed significantly from nonbinge eaters across a broad range of eating and weight-related characteristics assessed by EDE-Q.¹³ The pattern of differences remained the same whether or not a frequency criterion of binge eating once or twice a week was used to classify recurrent binge eaters.

Another study of a large sample of obese women and men in the community compared

individuals without binge eating, individuals meeting DSM-IV criteria for binge eating disorder (BED) and sub-threshold counterparts who reported binge eating episodes at least once but less than twice per week on average over the previous 6 months.¹⁴ The results indicated "greater similarities than differences" (p. 33) between the two binge eating groups, with no differences in dieting and weight history, or body image disturbance. The major difference was that the BED group reported more sadness and lower self-esteem than the sub-threshold group; however, the sub-threshold group experienced more body dissatisfaction, greater sadness, and lower self-esteem than normal controls. Striegel-Moore et al.¹⁴ concluded that there "exists a continuum of vulnerability, with a higher frequency of binge eating reflecting greater severity" (p. 34).

In a subsequent study, comparisons were made between women in the community with BED, sub-threshold BED, and healthy controls using the EDE.¹⁵ The sub-threshold BED group did not differ significantly from women with BED on dietary restraint, body shape and weight concerns, associated psychiatric distress, or history of seeking treatment for an eating or weight problem. In comparison to healthy controls, women with sub-threshold BED demonstrated significantly higher scores on the restraint, shape concern, and weight concern subscales of the EDE-Q and associated psychiatric distress.

The multicenter study of the diagnostic criteria for eating disorders by Crow et al.,⁵ discussed above, also compared full BED with partial-syndrome BED, in which binge eating frequency was at least 1 day a month over the previous 6 months. As with the Striegel-Moore et al. (2000) study,¹⁵ a strength of this research was the use of the EDE as a measure of eating disorder psychopathology. The two groups did not differ on measures of specific eating disorder psychopathology (with the exception of the shape concern subscale of the EDE), or levels of self-esteem, social adjustment, and depression.

Fitzgibbon et al.¹⁶ categorized women seeking treatment at an outpatient eating disorders clinic into different diagnostic categories including DSM-IV defined BED and sub-threshold BED, namely, any objective bulimic episode within the previous 6 months. They conducted a discriminant function analysis using 6 predictor variables, namely, drive for thinness, current body image, ideal body image, body dissatisfaction, interoceptive awareness, and depression to predict group membership. Overall the results

supported the continuity hypothesis for the bulimic and binge eating groups according to which eating disorders are on a continuum ranging from normal to pathological eating that differ quantitatively but not qualitatively. More specifically, BED represents a more severe form of sub-threshold BED, and there should not be categorical distinctions between the groups.

Elder et al. (2006) examined differences among a sample of individuals presenting for bariatric surgery, including three groups derived from pre-surgery EDE-Q scores: no or infrequent binge eating, once-weekly binge eating, and binge eating at least twice weekly. The no/infrequent binge eating group reported significantly less pathology than the once and twice weekly groups for eating disorder symptoms, self-esteem, and depressive symptoms. No differences were observed between the once and twice weekly groups for any variable analyzed.

Research has also examined the impact of different frequencies of binge eating on weight loss in overweight and obese individuals. In an analysis of a self-help behavior modification program for weight loss in obese men and women, Delinsky et al.²⁶ compared participants who reported binge eating eight or more times a month ($n = 27$) with those who binged one to four times a month ($n = 39$). The former showed significantly more eating, weight, and shape concern on the EDE-Q than the latter, but there were no differences in weight loss after 12 months. White et al.²⁷ compared three frequencies of binge eating in a sample of gastric bypass patients: twice-a-week ($n = 14$), once-a-week ($n = 19$), and less than once a month ($n = 22$). Pretreatment data showed that all levels of binge eating were associated with distress. But the "outcome data provide impressive support (predictive validity) for a threshold of once weekly signifying a clinically meaningful entity. Once-weekly binge eaters differed from infrequent binge eaters but not twice-weekly binge eaters in predicting 12-month outcomes" (p. 1932²⁷). Using a structured clinical interview based on the SCID, 216 obese patients were categorized into three groups based on lifetime binge eating symptoms: no binge eating ($n = 43$); sub-threshold binge eating (fewer than two episodes of binge eating per week; $n = 129$); and BED (at least two episodes of binge eating a week; $n = 44$; 28). At a 2 year follow-up, the nonbinge eating group showed a significantly greater percent excess BMI loss than either the sub-threshold binge eating or BED groups. The latter two groups did not differ on weight loss

outcomes, results that were maintained at a 3 year follow-up.

Discussion

Our review found little if any evidence of the validity or utility of the DSM-IV frequency criterion of twice a week binge eating for BN or BED, which is consistent with a recent meta-analysis of studies relevant to the relationship between BN and EDNOS.³⁶ However, as noted in several of the papers summarized above, the optimal diagnostic threshold for binge eating remains to be determined. During the development of the DSM-IV criteria, Wilson and Walsh² noted that few studies examined the utility of thresholds lower than once a week. In our review, we could find relatively few studies that reported frequencies below once a week.^{5,7,9,11,15-17,26} The available data do not establish a specific diagnostic threshold for binge eating frequency. Our review only identified seven studies that address the impact of the frequency criterion on treatment outcome, and therefore, limited data are available to inform the development of an optimal cut-point for clinical utility.

Drawing comparisons across the different studies summarized above, and trying to integrate the findings is complicated by the use of diverse measures, ranging from self-report questionnaires to standardized interviews. Another significant limitation of the available evidence is the variability in sample sizes. For studies of BN, the average sample size of sub-threshold patients for studies of frequency and associated psychopathology was 54.8 (range of 22-127), and the average sample size was 20.8 patients for studies examining prognosis or treatment response (range of 5-239). For BED, the average sample of sub-threshold patients was 51.2 (range of 12-119) and 63.3 (range of 22-129) in studies of frequency and associated psychopathology and treatment response, respectively. In the absence of effect size estimates, it is difficult to determine whether the lack of significant differences between full and sub-threshold cases reflects inadequate power to detect differences rather than the absence of "true differences."

Some of the studies described above included a comparison group of individuals without an eating disorder along with full and sub-threshold eating disorder cases. These studies offer some

information about whether the failure to detect differences based on the frequency criterion is explained by sample size or statistical power. Only one of the studies identified for BN utilized a comparison group,⁸ and the results were mixed, with sub-threshold patients differing from controls on a measure of psychosocial impairment, but not healthcare utilization. More studies of BED included individuals without binge eating episodes,^{13–15,17} and more consistent differences were observed between individuals with sub-threshold BED and individuals without an eating disorder. Thus, as individuals with sub-threshold binge eating (BN or BED) can be distinguished from individuals without an eating disorder, the failure to identify meaningful differences between full and sub-threshold cases may not result solely from methodological limitations of studies evaluating the frequency criterion.

From this review of the extant literature addressing the frequency criterion for binge eating, a number of options can be considered for DSM-V. First, as the existing data suggest that binge eating episodes can be measured reliably, we recommend that episodes be used in the diagnostic criteria for all DSM-V eating disorders to increase uniformity and consistency in assessing binge eating. For the number of episodes required, the first option is to retain the twice weekly binge eating threshold in DSM-V for both BN and BED. This option would preserve continuity with DSM-IV, and allow the accumulated literature about DSM-IV BN and BED with regard to course, outcome, and treatment response to be applied to patients diagnosed using DSM-V. The obvious objection to this option is the lack of specific empirical support for the twice per week frequency criterion. The same argument applies to inappropriate compensatory behavior.

A second option is to use a conservative standard for change, and to relax the frequency criterion by adopting a once a week frequency threshold. Several of the papers summarized above advocate this threshold (e.g., Refs. 4, 7, 27). This option for altering the frequency criterion has the most empirical support. Using a once-weekly threshold is suggested by evidence on family history, history of treatment-seeking for weight or eating problems,¹⁵ clinical characteristics, personality, and predictive validity, namely response to treatment. Further, few differences in either clinical characteristics or personality have been shown between individuals who binge once a week when compared with twice a week. Data are currently available on response to treatments

as diverse as group psychoeducation¹²; behavioral weight loss treatment²⁶; and bariatric surgery.²⁷ No significant differences have emerged. Ideally, research could examine whether increasing binge frequency predicts or even moderates treatment outcome to provide a more rigorous evaluation of this option for DSM-V. The same case can be made for inappropriate compensatory behavior.

An advantage of adopting the once-weekly binge eating option would be to capture a greater number of individuals with clinically significant levels of binge eating/eating disorder psychopathology who are currently arbitrarily excluded based on the DSM-IV criterion.³ Ideally, this would serve to reduce the unacceptably high number of patients who are currently included in the EDNOS category. However, Fairburn et al.³⁷ found that as an isolated change, reducing the frequency criterion to once a week might have relatively little impact on the total number of treatment-seeking patients who are diagnosed with EDNOS.

A third option, which would be a more significant change to the current DSM-IV categories of BN and BED, would be to adopt a criterion of “recurrent” binge eating over the past 3 months. Clinicians would be required to rate whether the binge eating should be considered mild, moderate, or severe. An additional criterion of functional impairment or clinically significant distress related to the binge eating behaviors would also be required, thereby ensuring that the individual was experiencing symptoms consistent with an eating disorder.³⁸ For example, if the third option were adopted, clinicians could use the following scheme: mild binge eating consists of binge eating twice a month to once a week; moderate binge eating occurs once to twice a week; severe binge eating occurs daily. This option for DSM-V would be consistent with using a more dimensional approach for defining eating pathology, whereby binge eating is described on a continuum, rather than cut at an arbitrary frequency (twice per week).

The dimensional nature is a major advantage of this third option, as a number of the studies reviewed here have identified a continuum of binge eating with a higher frequency reflecting greater severity. Another advantage is that the definition of recurrent binge eating is inclusive and captures the widest range of individuals with clinically significant binge eating/eating disorder psychopathology. This criterion could be refined further by adding a specifier of dysfunctional body shape and weight concerns (e.g., Ref. 39).

Finally, we recommend that the time period over which binge eating (and inappropriate compensatory behavior in BN) be assessed be the same for both BN and BED. In DSM-IV, in contrast to BN, the provisional diagnostic criteria for BED stipulate assessment of binge eating over the prior 6 months. The empirical basis for the latter recommendation is lacking. For consistency and clinical utility, we recommend a 3 month assessment period of binge eating across all diagnoses.

The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of Carlos Grilo, Ph.D. in preparing this review.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 1994.
2. Wilson GT, Walsh BT. Eating disorders in the DSM-IV. *J Abnorm Psych* 1991;100:362–365.
3. Garfinkel PE, Lin E, Goering P, Spegg C, Goldbloom DS, Kennedy S, et al. Bulimia nervosa in a Canadian community sample: Prevalence and comparison of subgroups. *Am J Psychiatry* 1995;152:1052–1058.
4. Sullivan PF, Bulik CM, Kendler KS. The epidemiology and classification of bulimia nervosa. *Psychol Med* 1998;28:599–610.
5. Crow SJ, Agras WS, Halmi K, Mitchell JE, Kraemer HC. Full syndromal versus subthreshold anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, and binge eating disorder: A multicenter study. *Int J Eat Disord* 2002;32:309–318.
6. le Grange D, Binford RB, Peterson CB, Crow SJ, Crosby RD, Klein MH, et al. DSM-IV threshold versus subthreshold bulimia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* 2006;39:462–467.
7. Rockert W, Kaplan AS, Olmsted MP. Eating disorder not otherwise specified: The view from a tertiary care treatment center. *Int J Eat Disord* 2007;40:S99–S103.
8. Spoor STP, Stice E, Burton E, Bohon C. Relations of bulimic symptom frequency and intensity to psychosocial impairment and health care utilization: Results from a community-recruited sample. *Int J Eat Disord* 2007;40:505–514.
9. Wilson GT, Eldredge KL. Frequency of binge-eating in bulimic patients: Diagnostic validity. *Int J Eat Disord* 1991;10:557–561.
10. Walsh BT, Fairburn CG, Mickley D, Sysko R, Parides MK. Treatment of bulimia nervosa in a primary care setting. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004;161:556–561.
11. Schmidt U, Lee S, Perkins S, Eisler I, Treasure J, Beecham J, et al. Do adolescents with eating disorder not otherwise specified or full-syndrome bulimia nervosa differ in clinical severity, comorbidity, risk factors, treatment outcome or cost? *Int J Eat Disord* 2008;41:498–504.
12. Krug I, Casasnovas C, Granero R, Martinez C, Jimenez-Murcia S, Bulik C, et al. Comparison study of full and subthreshold bulimia nervosa: Personality, clinical characteristics, and short-term response to therapy. *Psychother Res* 2008;18:37–47.
13. Wilson GT, Nonas CA, Rosenblum GD. Assessment of binge-eating in obese patients. *Int J Eat Disord* 1993;13:25–33.
14. Striegel-Moore RH, Wilson GT, Wilfley DE, Elder KA, Brownell KD. Binge eating in an obese community sample. *Int J Eat Disord* 1998;23:27–37.
15. Striegel-Moore RH, Dohm FA, Solomon EE, Fairburn CG, Pike KM, Wilfley DE. Subthreshold binge eating disorder. *Int J Eat Disord* 2000;27:270–278.
16. Fitzgibbon ML, Sanchez-Johnsen LAP, Martinovich Z. A test of the continuity perspective across bulimic and binge eating pathology. *Int J Eat Disord* 2003;34:83–97.
17. Elder KA, Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Rothschild BS, Burke-Martindale CH, Brody ML. Comparison of two self-report instruments for assessing binge eating in bariatric surgery candidates. *Behav Res Ther* 2006;44:545–560.
18. First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams JBW. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders, Patient Edition (SCID-P), version 2. New York: New York State Psychiatric Institute, Biometrics Research, 1995.
19. Sunday SR, Halmi KA, Einhorn A. The Yale-Brown-Cornell Eating Disorder Scale: A new scale to assess eating disorder symptomatology. *Int J Eat Disord* 1995;18:237–245.
20. Fairburn CG, Cooper P. The eating disorder examination. In: Fairburn CG, Wilson GT, editors. *Binge Eating: Nature, Assessment, and Treatment*. New York: Guilford Press, 1993.
21. Fairburn CG, Beglin SJ. Assessment of eating disorders: Interview or self-report questionnaire. *Int J Eat Disord* 1994;16:363–370.
22. Garner DM, Olmstead MP, Polivy J. Development and validation of a multidimensional eating disorder inventory for anorexia nervosa and bulimia. *Int J Eat Disord* 1983;3:15–34.
23. Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1961;4:561–571.
24. Rosenberg M. *Conceiving the Self*. New York: Basic Books, 1979.
25. Derogatis LR, Melisaratos N. The Brief Symptom Inventory: An introductory report. *Psych Med* 1983;13:595–605.
26. Delinsky SS, Latner JD, Wilson GT. Binge eating and weight loss in a self-help behavior modification program. *Obesity* 2006;14:1244–1249.
27. White MA, Masheb RA, Rothschild BS, Burke-Martindale CH, Grilo CM. The prognostic significance of regular binge eating in extremely obese gastric bypass patients: 12-month postoperative outcomes. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2006;67:1928–1935.
28. Sallet PC, Sallet JA, Dixon JB, Collis E, Pisani CE, Levy A, et al. Eating behavior as a prognostic factor for weight loss after gastric bypass. *Obes Surg* 2007;17:445–451.
29. Spitzer RL, Devlin M, Walsh BT, Hasin D, Wing R, Marcus M, et al. Binge eating disorder: A multisite field trial of the diagnostic criteria. *Int J Eat Disord* 1992;11:191–203.
30. Rossiter EM, Agras WS, Telch CF, Bruce B. The eating patterns of non-purging bulimic subjects. *Int J Eat Disord* 1992;11:111–120.
31. Wilfley DE, Welch RR, Stein RI, Spurrell EB, Cohen LR, Saelens BE, et al. A randomized comparison of group cognitive-behavioral therapy and group interpersonal psychotherapy for the treatment of overweight individuals with binge-eating disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2002;59:713–721.
32. Wilson GT, Wilfley DE, Agras WS, Bryson SW, Kraemer H. Psychological treatments of binge eating disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* (in press).
33. Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Wilson GT. Efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy and fluoxetine for the treatment of binge eating disorder: A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled comparison. *Biol Psychiatry* 2005;57:301–309.
34. Grilo CM, Masheb RM, Lozano-Blanco C, Barry DT. Reliability of the eating disorder examination in patients with binge eating disorder. *Int J Eat Disord* 2006;35:80–85.
35. Celio AA, Wilfley DE, Crow SJ, Mitchell J, Walsh BT. A comparison of the Binge Eating Scale, Questionnaire for Eating and Weight Patterns-Revised, and Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire with Instructions with the Eating Disorder Examination in the assessment of binge eating disorder and its symptoms. *Int J Eat Disord* 2004;36:434–444.

36. Thomas JJ, Vartanian LR, Brownell KD. The relationship between eating disorder not otherwise specified (EDNOS) and officially recognized eating disorders: Meta-analysis and implications for DSM. *Psychol Bull* 2009;135:407–433.
37. Fairburn CG, Cooper Z, Bohn K, O'Connor ME, Doll HA, Palmer RL. The severity and status of eating disorder NOS: Implications for DSM-V. *Behav Res Ther* 2007;45:1705–1715.
38. Fairburn CG, Walsh BT. Atypical eating disorders. In: Brownell KD, Fairburn CG, editors. *Eating Disorders and Obesity: A Comprehensive Handbook*. New York: Guilford Press, 1995.
39. Grilo CM, Hrabosky JI, White MA, Allison KC, Stunkard AJ, Masheb RM. Overvaluation of shape and weight in binge eating disorder and overweight controls: Refinement of a diagnostic construct. *J Abnorm Psych* 2008;117:414–419.