

# Real Dirac Quantization

Holden

November 17, 2015

## Motivation from Complex Polarizations

For  $(M, \omega, J)$  a  $\text{spin}^c$ -manifold one gets the Dirac quantum Hilbert space as follows. Let  $\mathcal{L}_\omega$  be the line-bundle with curvature  $\omega$  and consider the Dirac operator  $\not{D} : \Gamma(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{S})$  where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the canonical spinor rep and the  $\text{spin}^c$ -structure we've chosen has determinant a bundle-equivalent to  $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{\otimes 2}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{quantum}} := \text{ind} \not{D} = [\not{D}, L^2(M)] \in KK(M, \text{pt})$$

This quantization procedure generalizes the usual recipe for geometric quantization with a *complex* polarization. Of course when there is a Hamiltonian action on  $M$  by a compact Lie group  $G$  we get a representation  $\mathcal{H}_q \in K_G(\text{pt})$ .

Where did the  $L^2$  come from? One the one hand it came from physics where quantum states are also wave functions  $|\psi\rangle$  whose square norm  $|\psi|^2 = \langle \psi | \psi \rangle$  represents probability density and so  $\int_M |\psi|^2$  had better be finite so that it may be normalized to be 1. On the other hand it came through math from the definitions of K-theory and from the "need" for a Hilbert space; that  $L^p(M)$  is a Hilbert space iff  $p = 2$  and integration is somehow fundamental.

What happens when  $M$  is not compact? One finds that  $\ker \not{D}$  is no longer quite in  $L^2(M)$ , and the set up must be tweaked a bit.

What follows is a geometric approach that may not work itself but certainly contains elements that a proper solution must have.

## Generalized Spectral Covers

The idea is simple: Develop a method of forming spectral covers over  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  corresponding to the operator  $\not{D}_\mu$  acting fiber-wise on the trivial bundle  $V \times \mathfrak{g}^*$  for some irreducible tempered representation  $V$ . Intersect the spectral curve (which should support some properly constructed sheaf carrying information about the spectral measure) with the zero section and push down to  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . The resulting sheaf should be precisely the one which is resolved by the matrix factorization constructed in the FHT way. The novelty would be that this presumably gives a nice geometric picture of the Weyl multiplicity on hyperbolic orbits.

There are several obvious issues. The representations are infinite-dimensional and only in the discrete series case is 0 actually an eigenvalue. For principal series representations 0 will only be in the continuous part of the spectrum of  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$ . This means that the usual scheme-theoretic construction of the spectral cover which sees only eigenvalues (without multiplicity) will not suffice. Which is to be expected since it's really a construction mean for  $\text{Coh}(X)$ . What one needs is a method of detecting the type of a point in the spectrum and tailoring the construction of a sheaf over the spectral support or perhaps a the spectral support itself appropriately. For example one could imagine a construction that produces a sheaf supported on the spectrum of  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$  inside  $\mathbb{A}^1$  such that over an eigenvalue one puts the corresponding kernel and over a continuous point (where  $\mathcal{D}_\mu - \lambda$  is injective but not surjective) one puts (the dual of) the cokernel. Let us approach this steep mountain ascent in the way that steep mountain ascents are usually approached-by switchbacks. Therefore:

### **A Homological Angle**

A principal series representation  $V$  has almost by definition a realization as the the vector space of global sections of a sheaf on some space. Specifically

$$V = \Gamma(G/MAN, \mathcal{O}(\sigma, \nu))$$

for some element  $\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$  and some  $\sigma \in \hat{M}$  which will henceforth be suppressed out of laziness. Also let  $X := G/MAN$ . This realization of  $V$  allows one attempt to localize the Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$  to a (twisted) differential operator on the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(\nu) \otimes \mathcal{S}$  ( $\mathcal{S}$  = spinors) since  $\mathcal{D}_\mu$  acts on global sections  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(\nu) \otimes \mathcal{S}) = V \otimes \mathcal{S}$ . This localization will surely work since  $\mathcal{D}_\mu = \mathcal{D}_0 + \gamma(\mu)$  and the two terms on the left are easily interpreted differential operators on  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X \mathcal{D}_\mu$  be the  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module corresponding to  $\mathcal{D}_\mu \in \mathcal{D}_X(X)$ . At this point one applies the general theory of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules and forms the solution complex

$$\mathcal{R}\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}(\nu) \otimes \mathcal{S})$$