

# MOBILE APP REPORT

## Tech Stack Comparison

### 1. Frontend Technology

#### 1.1 Java

##### Pros:

- a. Our members have great exposure to Java.
- b. Java is a reputed and long-maintained OOP language, thus it's convenient to implement our app's logistics
- c. Using Android Studio with Java we can develop our layouts and GUI efficiently with XML.
- d. In terms of our App, fewer dependencies need to be installed thus faster build speed.
- e. Easy production output (apk) via Android studio.

##### Cons:

- a. Cannot support cross-platform mobile App (iOS)

#### 1.2 React Native

##### Pros:

- a. Friendly to React users like us but still need to learn difference in syntaxes.
- b. Has growing popularity and increasing number of 3<sup>rd</sup> party libraries.
- c. Support cross-platform mobile App, although some processes need to be taken to make an iOS version.

##### Cons:

- a. Not as mature and popular as its web brother React and offers less shared resources.
- b. Production output is less convenient than using Android Studio environment with Java.

#### 1.3 Kotlin

##### Pros:

- a. Has all the advantages of Java and the is a refined version of Java (in terms of null-safe, class extension, and no checked exceptions).

##### Cons:

- a. The time cost to learn different syntaxes is high in terms of development cycle.

### 2. Backend Technology

#### 1.1 Python (Flask) + Pytest

##### Pros:

- a. Both of our members have years of experience in Python. One member just recently developed a web app using Python Flask as backend.
- b. Our server load is not expected to be high, thus Python's single flow is enough to handle.
- c. Rich, fast, reliable, and understandable modules are available. Well-maintained community.

- d. Fast development due to its simple and compact syntax.
- e. Have built-in Pytest testing framework

Cons:

- a. Runtime is relatively slow due to Python's single flow.
- b. Fewer resources and less popular compared to Node JS.

## 1.2 JavaScript (Node JS)

Pros:

- a. Good performance compatibility with React as they are all JavaScript libraries.
- b. One of the fastest server-side solutions.
- c. Both our members have previous exposure to Node JS.
- d. Have Mocha testing framework for quick tests.
- e. Very popular frameworks according to 2019 stackoverflow report.

Cons:

- a. The interactions between middleware are sometimes complicated.
- b. Although also rich in 3<sup>rd</sup> party module quantities, some npm modules have poor quality or even lack of documentation.

## 1.3 Java + JUnit

Pros:

- a. Both of our members have years of experience in Java.
- b. Java has some very powerful application frameworks like Spring.
- c. In terms of speed, Java is faster than Python since it's a compiled language.
- d. Have Junit for Java Unit Testing.
- e. Highly reputed multi-purpose high-level language. Has been popular many years.

Cons:

- a. Although Java has some very powerful web frameworks, they are all expensive to learn. For this project respectively, the better choice should be a light-weight framework.
- b. Less web app related modules due to less popularity of Java in web dev area.

## 3. CI/CD (BACKEND-ONLY)

### 3.1 Github Actions

Pros:

- a. Most compatibility and integration with Github repositories since it's developed by Github.
- b. Can run a workflow on any Github event (for us: push or PR). When we create PR, we would like to directly test our code on our test server (which is on AWS), thus deployment triggered by PR action is very convenient.
- c. It's free.

Cons:

- a. Provides less features than Circle CI (more analytics and debugging tools).

### 3.2 Circle CI

Pros:

- a. It has a free version.
- b. Faster performance than Github Actions.

Cons:

- a. Less integration with Github services
- 4. Database
  - 4.1 MongoDB
    - Pros:
      - a. Both of our members have used MongoDB for CSC309 project.
      - b. MongoDB is very compatible with Node JS, since data is stored as JSON.
      - c. Very flexible due to its non-relational feature also speed is fast.
    - Cons:
      - a. Relatively poor support for Python.
  - 4.2 MySQL
    - Pros:
      - a. Both of members have enough knowledge in MySQL during CSC343.
      - b. Compatible with most high-level languages (e.g. Java, Python, JavaScript). It can be conveniently supported by a Python library called sqlalchemy to work with Python Flask.
    - Cons:
      - a. Requires strict definitions of tables and columns to store data thus less flexible.  
However, since we don't expect to have many models in this project, it is acceptable.
  - 4.3 Plain text/csv file
    - Pros:
      - a. No need to bother with SQLs. Only need basic file I/O operations.
    - Cons:
      - a. Since no logical relations are included, querying data can be very complicated and ineffective.
      - b. Have severe security issues regarding access to data records.

## **Tech Stack Solution**

- 1. Java
  - Android Studio with Java + XML

Key reason: When researching React Native, we found that somehow React Native's 3<sup>rd</sup> party libraries have poorer qualities than its siblings in React ecosystem. Then we found out that developing Android layout with XML via Android Studio is surprisingly convenient. Plus, we have great exposure to Java and our App's logistics are not that complex to handle. Thus, we choose Android Studio with Java.
- 2. Backend
  - Python(Flask) + Pytest

Key reason: Unlike Node.js, Flask's syntax for writing routes is more compact and readable. Also, Flask allows more customizable error handling and reporting. In addition, Python has built-in testing framework Pytest, which we are very familiar with and easy to use.
- 3. CI/CD
  - Github Actions

Key reason: We would prefer high integration with Github services, especially the feature that Github Actions CI/CD can run a workflow on Github PR event, because we want to

directly test functionalities on our test server after PR.

#### 4. Database

- MySQL

Key reason: Since we don't have many data models, only least amount of relations need to be defined. Moreover, there's a library called flask-sqlalchemy which adds support for SQLAlchemy which is a Python SQL toolkit (easily supports MySQL).

## Summary

The technical stack we decide to use for the web application is Java + Flask + MySQL + Github Actions. The main considerations are ease of development, maturity and richness of the tool, and overall integration.