

Map Accessibility Project (MAP) Literature Review

Aaron Cherney, Christian Stewart, and Karter Prehn

December 4, 2022

Keywords— Maps, Multi-Modal, Tactile, Audio, Feedback, Visual-Impairment Evaluations, Navigation, Information Gathering, Information Handling

1 Introduction

We wanted to explore two areas of interest, maps as tools of information and maps as tools of navigation for the Blind and visually impaired. Through this exploration, our team would be able to decide a direction towards one of those two overarching topics, understanding some of the tools, methods, and design principles that have already been implemented in the pursuit of accessibility and making information available for the Blind and visually impaired. These will influence the creation of our own guidelines for the future development of making maps accessible in a meaningful and effective ways for the Blind and visually impaired, in the context of information retrieval or navigation related to maps.

This review is intended to be a review of available literature, summarizing important findings related to each piece of literature by team members. It is intended to act as a working document, designed for quick reference and guidance, but not a substitution for deeper reference and reading of the original literature. It should also be noted that this literature review likely does not include every important finding that may be relevant from each literature paper reviewed, and due to time constraints, there are many pieces of literature that have not been reviewed.

It is important to review available literature on existing implementations of tools and suggested guidelines to understand what has been done, and to develop a knowledge base of the subject material, particularly useful in our team's case to encourage higher level discussion between team members, subject matter expert, and our client. It was through our review that we decided to pursue the category of information as a tool in aiding access of maps for the Blind and visually impaired, with the possibility of exploring implementing an optional layer into Google Maps which can orientate itself at all times in the direction of the user, while presenting relevant information through possible uses of audio and haptic feedback. Our literature review taught several important lessons. These lessons fall into three distinct categories: navigation, information-gathering, and modality. Each of these categories will be reviewed below.

2 Literature Discussion and Overview

This discussion is based off of all the literature reviewed, all reviewed documents can be found in the references section at the bottom of the paper. Much of this discussion will focus on...

2.1 What problems occur when accessing information from a map

Based off the literature reviewed there are a few standout problems that appear when accessing information from a map. The main problems include the following: Understanding relational data(where you are relative to known locations)[CHL⁺06], perception of angle/trajectory to a given location[LTI18], and obtaining relevant data from the map locations such as a location description. Another large problem that was explored in our research was actual application accessibility, many of the largest online map and location services have been tested and shown to be only partially accessible to visually impaired individuals based off Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.0[MCP15].

2.2 What solutions have been explored

Many different solutions have been explored, Most of these solutions have been under the context of navigation and of these not many have made it past the end user testing, despite showing promising results. An example

of this is [AHE13], which describes a waypoint system that's shown to be very promising and makes use of audio and tactile feedback.

2.3 How does this work and literature justify our proposed solution

Many of the problems explored in the literature may be outdated by now or perhaps not relevant anymore. For example, Yahoo maps was shutdown in 2015, making solutions involving it irrelevant. In order to gain more relevant and timely details, we propose surveying a sample population of at least 50 participants. We have chosen to aim for a larger population size because many of the papers reviewed only survey around 15-25 visually impaired participants with their proposed solutions. We feel this was inadequate, and that more feedback will lead to better results.

3 Lessons Learned From Literature

Many lessons were learned from the literature review and these lessons fell under a couple different categories, these categories are as follows:

1. Guidelines for feedback
2. Guidelines for mobile applications
3. General guidelines for developing an accessible project
4. Miscellaneous lessons about some more esoteric solutions

While many lessons were learned and many guidelines were formed in the literature, it is most important to realize that one should still avoid making assumptions and be objective as often as possible.

3.1 Guidelines For Feedback

When it comes to feedback such as audio and vibrations we can say that there are some general preferences that should be applied to accessible applications. However, specific applications of feedback forms sometimes show specific preferences, for example some participants in [RSMAM⁺14] claimed that constant feedback is preferred while other papers never made this claim. It is also shown in the same paper that a Multi-Modal feedback system (specifically a combination of audio and vibration) is preferred. The latter statement about Multi-Modal feedback has been consistent throughout almost every single paper reviewed. We can see that two statements from the same paper have very different levels of importance when reviewed in context, this is why one must avoid making assumptions whenever possible when dealing with accessibility.

Some more general lessons can be taken from [Vat17] where the authors state that accessible mobile applications should deliver appropriate feedback for all visual abilities, meaning that one should accommodate for a variety of different visual impairments (colorblind and partially blind for example). The other lesson taken from this paper is that an application should also deliver appropriate feedback during and after any type of gesture articulation (example, using two fingers to zoom in on a picture).

Now that we have general guidelines for the feedback forms we explored a paper that studied what kind of physical landmarks blind individuals actually wanted feedback for. In [AHE13] the authors explored and tested a wayfinding application and discovered through end-user testing a couple different key guidelines for landmarks and audio queues/feedback that would be used in the context of guiding a user around a physical space outdoors. Lesson 1, ambient sounds should not be overlooked (environmental sounds for example). Lesson 2, intersections and traffic are vitally important when navigating. Lesson 3, landmarks should be immovable and permanent. One last thing that was included in this paper was that a public comment system could be explored so that users could share a spaces "quirks" from a visually impaired perspective.

3.2 Guidelines For Mobile Applications/Devices

This is a shorter section because only one paper that was reviewed gave solid guidelines for the development of mobile applications and devices. [Vat17] lists the guidelines nicely and is included here as a list.

1. Allow configurable visual settings. (Studies show people with visual impairments will spend a lot of time configuring settings)
2. Design for commercially available wearable devices.
3. Design mobile device interactions to reduce encumbrance when using other accessibility devices.

4. Detect and deal appropriately with unintended touch. (Possibly a toggle switch for touch)
5. Design usable touch gestures for people with visual impairments. (favor landmarks of the device)
6. Deliver appropriate feedback for all visual abilities. Meaning: accommodate for a variety of different visual impairments.
7. Deliver appropriate feedback during and after gesture articulation.

Another lesson learned about mobile applications is from [RSMAM⁺14] where the authors state that fixed regions of a device such as the devices corners and buttons are preferred when creating and designing a mobile application. Lastly, [BR17] states very simply that mobile phones offer a low cost means of software accessibility for the visually impaired. The latter statement is a great summation of why we were leaning towards developing some sort of mobile application.

3.3 General Guidelines For Different Types Of Maps

When exploring the subject of maps in particular there were many papers that talked about the ability to use 3D printed maps as a tool for the visually impaired. I think it is important to note here that we did not consider going in this direction because our lessons learned showed it to be less than promising as a solution to our problem. With that being said here is a list of our lessons learned about 3D printed maps.

[Göt16]

1. 3D printed audio-tactile maps are feasible but have limited capabilities.
2. 3D printing a map that combines PLA and conductive material is time consuming yet effective for areas that aren't subject to change.

[YBT12] Braille maps can be useful, but have several problems:

1. Are often costly.
2. May not have enough detail.
3. Might not be up-to-date.

The authors built SpaceSense, which uses vibrotactile feedback to provide users information about distance and direction towards a particular destination.

The study shows a positive correlation between the usage of SpaceSense and the ability for participants to maintain spatial relationships between places.

[SRG⁺10]

The authors created Timbremap, a tool that uses a sonification interface to allow touch-screen mobile devices to provide audio feedback about indoor layouts and geometry.

Their users reported that the tool was effective in conveying, "non-trivial geometry" and helped visually-impaired users to explore indoor layouts.

[Gj8] 3d printing a map and adding audio feedback was demonstrated to be a great way to provide information.

3.4 Fitts's Law

Fitts' Law states that the amount of time required for a person to move a pointer (e.g., mouse cursor) to a target area is a function of the distance to the target divided by the size of the target. Thus, the longer the distance and the smaller the target's size, the longer it takes. This is important to making accessible maps and interfaces for visually impaired users because using Fitts's Law we can extrapolate how difficult it may be to perform an action on a map and we can even put a number on it and possibly rank certain interfaces based off difficulty.

However, the big problem with this is that we are then falling into the habit of making "assumptions" based off math. Our team then decided to explore many different papers that address this potential problem with Fitts's Law.

Here is what we learned from the following papers:

[LTI18]

1. Fitts' Law can be applied to touchscreens with blind users when the distance and target size are being considered but not when angle is being considered.
2. a single medium of feedback is still effective in this context. (Varying distance and size).

[SPRW20]

1. Reliability of re-testing Fitts' Law is limited especially when evaluating with impaired users.
2. When testing for accessibility reasons, multiple testing sessions should be utilized.

3.5 Miscellaneous Valuable Lessons

[MCP15] Investigating Accessibility on Web-based Maps

1. Google Maps has many accessibility options that need to be met.
2. Expert COMS opinions typically correlate to how the end user performs.
3. Tool based eval is not the best way but provides a decent evaluation for more broad accessibility criteria.

[NFA14] Usability Evaluation of a Web System for Spatially Oriented Audio Descriptions of Images Addressed to Visually Impaired People

1. A user's screen reader experience matters when developing a test environment.

[CHL⁺06] Using an Audio Interface to Assist Users Who Are Visually Impaired with Steering Tasks

1. Relational graphs involving geographic data can be effective.
2. Graphs should contain relational data rather than abstract information.

[OJL21] Image Accessibility for Screen Reader Users: A Systematic Review and a Road Map

1. The authors studied 33 papers in an attempt to provide a better understanding of existing approaches to image accessibility.
2. They found that there is little existing information about automating accessibility options for images.
3. The authors concluded that not enough research has been done on the topic.

[WKY17] Evaluation of Virtual Tactile Dots on Touchscreens in Map Reading: Perception of Distance and Direction

1. Modern smartphones do not contain enough vibrating motors, correctly placed, to effectively facilitate location finding of virtual tactile dots.
2. Refreshable tactile displays are useful for understanding dynamic map information; but are commonly unobtainable due to size, weight, and price.
3. While navigation by vibrotactile feedback is possible and can be accurate, the time to do so can be too long. As such, the overarching concern is practicability.

[Tho18] Examination of the Level of Inclusion of Blind Subjects in the Development of Touchscreen Accessibility Technologies

1. The inclusion of Blind and visually impaired participants is very important to ensuring that what is being developed is useful to the group it's being developed for.
2. The development of software/hardware should have referenced research to support its development.

References

- [AHE13] Nazatul Naquiah Abd Hamid and Alistair D.N. Edwards. Facilitating route learning using interactive audio-tactile maps for blind and visually impaired people. In *CHI '13 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI EA '13, page 37–42, New York, NY, USA, 2013. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [BR17] Subhajit Bandyopadhyay and Balraj B Rathod. The sound and feel of titrations: A smartphone aid for color-blind and visually impaired students, 2017.
- [CHL⁺06] Robert F. Cohen, Valerie Haven, Jessica A. Lanzoni, Arthur Meacham, Joelle Skaff, and Michael Wissell. Using an audio interface to assist users who are visually impaired with steering tasks. In *Proceedings of the 8th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*, Assets '06, page 119–124, New York, NY, USA, 2006. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [G18] T. Götzelmann. Visually augmented audio-tactile graphics for visually impaired people. *ACM Trans. Access. Comput.*, 11(2), jun 2018.
- [Göt16] Timo Götzelmann. Capmaps. In Klaus Miesenberger, Christian Bühler, and Petr Penaz, editors, *Computers Helping People with Special Needs*, pages 146–152, Cham, 2016. Springer International Publishing.
- [LTI18] Manahel El Lahib, Joe Tekli, and Youssef Bou Issa. Evaluating fitts’ law on vibrating touch-screen to improve visual data accessibility for blind users. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 112:16–27, 2018.
- [MCP15] Jonathas Leontino Medina, Maria Istela Cagnin, and Débora Maria Barroso Paiva. Investigating accessibility on web-based maps. *SIGAPP Appl. Comput. Rev.*, 15(2):17–26, aug 2015.
- [NFSA14] José Monserrat Neto, André P. Freire, Sabrina S. Souto, and Ramon S. Abílio. Usability evaluation of a web system for spatially oriented audio descriptions of images addressed to visually impaired people. In Constantine Stephanidis and Margherita Antona, editors, *Universal Access in Human-Computer Interaction. Universal Access to Information and Knowledge*, pages 154–165, Cham, 2014. Springer International Publishing.
- [OJL21] Uran Oh, Hwayeon Joh, and YunJung Lee. Image accessibility for screen reader users: A systematic review and a road map. *Electronics*, 10(8), 2021.
- [RSMAM⁺14] M.C. Rodriguez-Sanchez, M.A. Moreno-Alvarez, E. Martin, S. Borromeo, and J.A. Hernandez-Tamames. Accessible smartphones for blind users: A case study for a wayfinding system. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 41(16):7210–7222, 2014.
- [SPRW20] Ather Sharif, Victoria Pao, Katharina Reinecke, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. The reliability of fitts’s law as a movement model for people with and without limited fine motor function. In *The 22nd International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility*, ASSETS '20, New York, NY, USA, 2020. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [SRG⁺10] Jing Su, Alyssa Rosenzweig, Ashvin Goel, Eyal de Lara, and Khai N. Truong. Timbremap: Enabling the visually-impaired to use maps on touch-enabled devices. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Human Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services*, MobileHCI '10, page 17–26, New York, NY, USA, 2010. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [Tho18] Robert Christopher Thompson. Examination of the level of inclusion of blind subjects in the development of touchscreen accessibility technologies. 2018.
- [Vat17] Radu-Daniel Vatavu. Visual impairments and mobile touchscreen interaction: State-of-the-art, causes of visual impairment, and design guidelines. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 33(6):486–509, 2017.
- [WKY17] Tetsuya Watanabe, Hirotsugu Kaga, and Tsubasa Yagi. Evaluation of virtual tactile dots on touchscreens in map reading: Perception of distance and direction. *Journal of Advanced Computational Intelligence and Intelligent Informatics*, 21(1):79–86, 2017.
- [YBT12] Koji Yatani, Nikola Banovic, and Khai Truong. Spacesense: Representing geographical information to visually impaired people using spatial tactile feedback. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '12, page 415–424, New York, NY, USA, 2012. Association for Computing Machinery.