1 Introduction

As part of James E. Avery's efforts to develop an efficient screening pipeline for fullerenes and potentially fulleroids we will in this report detail our efforts in porting parts of the xtb program by Grimme et al to SYCL code. The goal is a highly optimised and fully lockstep-parallel implementation of the electronic structure calculations from the GFN2-xTB method. A previous thesis by la Cour provides an efficient lockstep-parallel implementation of a forcefield method for computing geometric structures of fullerenes, which we will take to be our input.

The mentioned screening pipeline would enable the search of entire isomer spaces for fullerenes with certain properties such as a low lowest energy state indicating a stable isomer.

A fullerene is a molecule consisting only of carbon atoms connected in 12 pentagons and enough hexagons to create a hollow structure. As we increase the number of atoms the isomer space quickly grows leading to very slow search times. We aim to provide a quick and relatively accurate method for discarding large unpromising parts of the isomer space before searching with more accurate methods.

Fulleroids are essentially an extension to fullerenes where we allow n-gons instead of only penta- and hexagons, as long as we can still create a closed shape.

After a literature review we settled on the Geometry, Frequency, Noncovalent, extended Tight Binding (GFNn-xTB) family of methods as they are relatively accurate and quite fast at predicting electronic structures to a reasonable accuracy. We hope that this inherent speed will aid in getting good though-put after the transformation to a lockstep-parallel version. The GFNn-xTB metods come in iterations. GFN1 is the first and lays the ground work for the later iterations. It does however rely on element pairwise specific constants. In GFN2 this has been changed in favour of only element specific parameters. GFN0 is a more approximate and faster version of GFN2. And GFN-FF takes this trade-off further as this is a forcefield method which is parametrised using the insights (and parameters) gained from the other GFN iterations.

Forcefield methods save on computing all the pairwise interactions between atoms in a molecule and instead use efficient rules to lump atoms together in predictable clumps which then interact with other clumps. This can save tremendous effort.

Specifically GFN2 seemed most promising for our purposes as it is more accurate than GFN0 and simpler than GFN1, and if it is not fast enough would be relatively easy to then implement GFN0. GFN-FF was not considered suitable due to us wanting to see if it could be fast enough without defaulting to a forcefield method.

Lockstep-parallelisation is a paradigm best suited for GP-GPU. It takes advantage of the fact that GPUs operate more efficiently when all the cores are doing the same operations in a predictable fashion. This essentially is a step beyond data parallelism. We are not only operating on the same data across

cores, but also doing the exact same steps. This means no conditionals with a data dependent evaluation. It is fine to have a loop that runs five times, opposed to say data[coreId] times.

2 Extended Hückel Theory Matrix for GFN2xTB

$$H_{\mu\nu}^{EHT} = \frac{1}{2} K_{AB}^{ll'} S_{\mu\nu} (H_{\mu\mu} + H_{\nu\nu})$$

$$\cdot X(EN_A, EN_B)$$

$$\cdot \Pi(R_{AB}, l, l')$$

$$\cdot Y(\zeta_l^A, \zeta_{l'}^B), \forall \mu \in l(A), \nu \in l'(B)$$

$$(1)$$

where μ and ν are AO indecies, l and l' index shells. Both AO's are associated with an atom labled A and B. $K_{AB}^{ll'}$ is a element and shell specific fitted constant however, in GFN2 it only depends on the shells. $S_{\mu\nu} = \langle \phi_{\mu} | \phi_{\nu} \rangle$ is just the overlap of the orbitals. In GFN2 $H_{\kappa\kappa} = h_A^l - \delta h_{CN_A'}^l CN_A'$ where CN_A' is the modified GFN2-type Coordinate Number for the element of atom A.

$$CN'_{A} = \sum_{B \neq A}^{N_{\text{atoms}}} (1 + e^{-10(4(R_{A,\text{cov}} + R_{B,\text{cov}})/3R_{AB} - 1)})^{-1} \times (1 + e^{-20(4(R_{A,\text{cov}} + R_{B,\text{cov}} + 2)/3R_{AB} - 1)})^{-1}$$
(2)

 h_A^l and $\delta h_{CN_A'}^l$ are both fitted constants. EN_A is the electronegativity of the element of atom A, given in the original xtb code.

$$X(EN_A, EN_B) = 1 + k_{EN} \Delta E N_{AB}^2 \tag{3}$$

$$k_{EN} = 0.02 \text{ in GFN2} \tag{4}$$

$$\Delta E N_{AB}^2 = (EN_A - EN_B)^2 \tag{5}$$

$$\Pi(R_{AB}, l, l') = \left(1 + k_{A, l}^{\text{poly}} \left(\frac{R_{AB}}{R_{\text{cov}, AB}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(1 + k_{B, l'}^{\text{poly}} \left(\frac{R_{AB}}{R_{\text{cov}, AB}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$$
(6)

 $R_{\text{cov},AB}$ are the summed covalent radii $(R_{\text{cov},A} + R_{\text{cov},B})$, e.g. $R_{\text{cov},H} = 0.32$, $R_{\text{cov},C} = 0.75$ are given in the original xtb code. $k_{A,l}^{\text{poly}}$ and $k_{B,l'}^{\text{poly}}$ are element and shell specific constants.

$$Y(\zeta_l^A, \zeta_{l'}^B) = \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\zeta_l^A \zeta_{l'}^B}}{\zeta_l^A + \zeta_{l'}^B}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{7}$$

Here, ζ_l^A are the STO exponents of the GFN2-xTB AO basis. Slater Type Orbitals are defined as such:

$$\chi_{\zeta,n,l,m}(r,\theta,\varphi) = NY_{l,m}(\theta,\varphi)r^{n-1}e^{-\zeta r}$$
(8)

N is a normalisation constant, Y are spherical harmonic funtions, n, l, m are the quantum numbers for the AO. r, θ, φ are polar 3D coordinates. ζ determines the radial extent of the STO, a large value gives rise to a function that is "tight" around the nucleus and a small value gives a more "diffuse" function. This ζ is the one mentioned in the Y term of E_{EHT} and is a value fitted when constructing the basis set, thus it is given to us.

3 Fock Matrix for GFN2-xTB

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{GFN2-xTB} = H_{\mu\nu}^{EHT} + F_{\mu\nu}^{IES+IXC} + F_{\mu\nu}^{AES} + F_{\mu\nu}^{AXC} + F_{\mu\nu}^{D4},$$

$$\forall \mu \in A, \nu \in B$$
(9)

3.1 Isotropic Electrostatic and Exchange-correlation contribution

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{IES+IXC} = -\frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} \sum_{C} \sum_{l''} (\gamma_{AC,ll''} + \gamma_{BC,l'l''}) q_{C,l''}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} (q_{A,l}^2 \Gamma_{A,l} + q_{B,l'}^2 \Gamma_{B,l'})$$
(10)

l, l', l'' being the angular momenta of the orbitals μ, ν and each of C's orbitals.

$$\Gamma_{A,l} = K_l^{\Gamma} \Gamma_A \tag{11}$$

 K_l^{Γ} is a shell specific constant common for all elements and Γ_A is an element specific constant.

$$\gamma_{AB,ll'} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R_{AB}^2 + \eta_{AB,ll'}^{-2}}}$$
(12)

$$\eta_{AB,ll'} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\eta_A (1 + k_A^l) + \eta_B (1 + k_B^{l'}) \right]$$
 (13)

 q_l is a partial Mulliken charge. η_A and η_B are element-specific fit parameters, while k_A^l and $k_B^{l'}$ are element-specific scaling factors for the individual shells $(k_A^l=0 \text{ when } l=0)$.

$$GAP_A = \sum_{l \in A} q_{A,l} \tag{14}$$

$$q_{A,l} = \sum_{l' \in B} P_{ll'} S_{ll'} = GOP_l \tag{15}$$

3.2 Anisotropic Electrostatic and Exchange-correlation contribution

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{AES} + F_{\mu\nu}^{AXC} = \frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} \left[V_S(\mathbf{R}_B) + V_S(\mathbf{R}_C) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}_{\mu\nu}^T \left[\mathbf{V}_D(\mathbf{R}_B) + \mathbf{V}_D(\mathbf{R}_C) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in \{x,y,z\}} Q_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} \left[V_Q^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}_B) + V_Q^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}_C) \right]$$

$$\mathbf{P}^T = \left(P_T - P_T^{\mu} - P_T^{\mu} \right)$$
(15)

 $\mathbf{D}_{\mu\nu}^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{\mu\nu}^{x} & D_{\mu\nu}^{y} & D_{\mu\nu}^{z} \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$

(18)

$$V_{S}(\mathbf{R}_{C}) = \sum_{A} \left\{ \mathbf{R}_{C}^{T} \left[f_{5}(R_{AC}) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{A} R_{AC}^{2} - \mathbf{R}_{AC} 3 f_{5}(R_{AC}) (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{A}^{T} \mathbf{R}_{AC}^{2}) \right. \right.$$

$$\left. - f_{3}(R_{AC}) q_{A} \mathbf{R}_{AC} \right] - f_{5}(R_{AC}) \mathbf{R}_{AC}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{A} \mathbf{R}_{AC} - f_{3}(R_{AC}) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{A}^{T} \mathbf{R}_{AC}$$

$$\left. + q_{A} f_{5}(R_{AC}) \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}_{C}^{2} \mathbf{R}_{AC}^{2} - \frac{3}{2} q_{A} f_{5}(R_{AC}) \sum_{\alpha\beta} \alpha_{AB} \beta_{AB} \alpha_{C} \beta_{C} \right\}$$

$$\left. + 2 f_{XC}^{\mu_{C}} \mathbf{R}_{C}^{T} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{C} - f_{XC}^{\boldsymbol{\Theta_{C}}} \mathbf{R}_{C}^{T} \left[3 \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{C} - \text{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\Theta_{C}}) \boldsymbol{I} \right] \mathbf{R}_{C} \right.$$

$$(19)$$

QUESTION: Should this not be $R_C^{2,T}$, in line 3, term 1?

$$V_{D}(\mathbf{R}_{C}) = \sum_{A} \left[\mathbf{R}_{AC} 3f_{5}(R_{AC}) (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{A}^{T} \mathbf{R}_{AC}) - f_{5}(R_{AC}) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{A} R_{AC}^{2} + f_{3}(R_{AC}) q_{A} \mathbf{R}_{AC} \right]$$

$$- q_{A} f_{5}(R_{AC}) \mathbf{R}_{C} R_{AC}^{2} + 3 q_{A} f_{5}(R_{AC}) \mathbf{R}_{AC} \sum_{\alpha} \alpha_{C} \alpha_{AC} \right]$$

$$- 2 f_{XC}^{\mu_{C}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{C} - 2 f_{XC}^{\Theta_{C}} \left[3 \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{C} - \text{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{C}) \boldsymbol{I} \right] \mathbf{R}_{C}$$

$$(20)$$

$$V_{Q}^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{R}_{C}) = -\sum_{A} q_{A} f_{5}(R_{AC}) \left[\frac{3}{2} \alpha_{AC} \beta_{AC} - \frac{1}{2} R_{AB}^{2} \right]$$

$$- f_{XC}^{\Theta_{C}} \left[3\Theta_{C}^{\alpha\beta} - \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{\alpha} \Theta_{C}^{\alpha\alpha} \right]$$

$$(21)$$

 μ_A is the cumulative atomic dipole moment of atom A and Θ_A is the corresponding traceless quadrupole moment. Traceless simply means that the sum of

the diagonal elements is 0. The curly braces and brackets are used in the same way as normal parenthesis for showing order of operations. q_A is the atomic charge of atom A.

$$\Theta_A^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{3}{2}\theta_A^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}}{2}\left(\theta_A^{xx} + \theta_A^{yy} + \theta_A^{zz}\right) \tag{22}$$

$$\theta_A^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{l' \in A} \sum_{l} P_l \left(\alpha_A D_{ll'}^{\beta} + \beta_A D_{ll'}^{\alpha} - \alpha_A \beta_A S_{ll'} - Q_{ll'}^{\alpha\beta} \right) \tag{23}$$

$$q_A = Z_A - GAP_A \tag{24}$$

$$\mu_A^{\alpha} = \sum_{l' \in A} \sum_{l} P_{l'l} \left(\alpha_A S_{l'l} - D_{l'l}^{\alpha} \right) \tag{25}$$

$$D_{ll'}^{\alpha} = \langle \phi_l | \alpha_i | \phi_{l'} \rangle = \langle \phi_l(\alpha_i) | \alpha_i | \phi_{l'}(\alpha_i) \rangle = \int \alpha_i \phi_l^*(\alpha_i) \phi_{l'}(\alpha_i) d\alpha_i$$
 (26)

$$Q_{ll'}^{\alpha\beta} = \langle \phi_l | \alpha_i \beta_i | \phi_{l'} \rangle = \langle \phi_l(\alpha_i) | \alpha_i \beta_i | \phi_{l'}(\beta_i) \rangle = \int \int \alpha_i \beta_i \phi_l^*(\alpha_i) \phi_{l'}(\beta_i) d\alpha_i d\beta_i$$
(27)

 α and β are Cartesian components labled $(x, y, z)^T$ with atom A being centered in $\mathbf{R}_A = (x_i, y_i, z_i)^T$ where i is a form of pointer/label dereferencing. $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ is just the delta function, i.e is is 1 if α and β are the same label and 0 otherwise, this serves to include the term only for the diagonal.

$$\mathbf{\Theta}_{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{A}^{xx} & \Theta_{A}^{xy} & \Theta_{A}^{xz} \\ \Theta_{A}^{yx} & \Theta_{A}^{yy} & \Theta_{A}^{yz} \\ \Theta_{A}^{zx} & \Theta_{A}^{zy} & \Theta_{A}^{zz} \\ \Theta_{A}^{zy} & \Theta_{A}^{zy} & \Theta_{A}^{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$
(28)

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_A = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_A^x & \mu_A^y & \mu_A^z \end{pmatrix}^T \tag{29}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{AB} = \mathbf{R}_A - \mathbf{R}_B \tag{30}$$

$$R_{AB} = \sqrt{(\mathbf{R}_{AB}^x)^2 + (\mathbf{R}_{AB}^y)^2 + (\mathbf{R}_{AB}^z)^2}$$
 (31)

$$f_n(R_{AB}) = \frac{f_{damp}(a_n, R_{AB})}{R_{AB}^n} = \frac{1}{R_{AB}^n} \frac{1}{1 + 6\left(\frac{R_0^{AB}}{R_{AB}}\right)^{a_n}}$$
(32)

$$R_0^{AB} = 0.5(R_0^{A'} + R_0^{B'}) (33)$$

$$R_0^{A'} = \begin{cases} R_0^A + \frac{R_{max} - R_0^A}{1 + exp[-4(CN'_A - N_{val} - \Delta_{val})]} & \text{if } N_{val} \text{ is given} \\ 5.0 \text{ bohrs} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(34)

$$R_{max} = 5.0 \text{ bohrs} \tag{35}$$

$$\Delta_{val} = 1.2 \tag{36}$$

 R_0^A is a fitted value for 12 elements and 5.0 for the rest. a_n are adjusted global parameters. Where $f_{XC}^{\mu_A}$ and $f_{XC}^{\Theta_A}$ are fitted values.

3.3 Dispersion contribution

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{D4} = -\frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} (d_A + d_B), \forall \mu \in A, \nu \in B$$

$$d_A = \sum_{r}^{N_{A,ref}} \frac{\partial \xi_A^r(q_A, q_{A,r})}{\partial q_A} \sum_{B} \sum_{s}^{N_{B,ref}} \sum_{n=6,8}$$

$$W_A^r(CN_{cov}^A, CN_{cov}^{A,r}) W_B^s(CN_{cov}^B, CN_{cov}^{B,s}) \xi_B^s(q_B, q_{B,s}) \times$$

$$s_n \frac{C_n^{AB,ref}}{R_{AB}^n} f_n^{damp,BJ}(R_{AB})$$
(38)

The dispersion coefficient for two reference atoms $C_n^{AB,\mathrm{ref}}$ is evaluated at the reference points, i.e., for $q_A=q_r$, $q_B=q_s$, $CN_{\mathrm{cov}}^A=CN_{\mathrm{cov}}^r$, and $CN_{\mathrm{cov}}^B=CN_{\mathrm{cov}}^s$.

The Gaussian weighting for each reference system is given by:

$$W_A^r(CN_{cov}^A, CN_{cov}^{A,r}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{gauss}} \frac{1}{N} \exp\left[-6j \cdot (CN_{cov}^A - CN_{cov}^{A,r})^2\right]$$
(39)

with

$$\sum_{r}^{N_{A,ref}} W_A^r(CN_{cov}^A, CN_{cov}^{A,r}) = 1$$
 (40)

 \mathcal{N} is a normalization constant.

$$\mathcal{N} = \sum_{A,\text{ref}=1}^{N^{A,\text{ref}}} \exp\left[-6j \cdot (CN^A - CN^{A,\text{ref}})^2\right]$$
(41)

// Write r or ref? CN with or without cov?

The number of Gaussian function per reference system N_{gauss} is mostly one, but equal to three for $CN_{cov}^{A,r}=0$ and reference systems with similar coordination number.

 C_6^{AB} is the pairwise dipole-dipole dispersion coefficients calculated by numerical integration via the Casimir-Polder relation.

$$C_6^{AB} = \frac{3}{\pi} \sum_j w_j \overline{\alpha}_A(i\omega_j, q_A, CN_{cov}^A) \overline{\alpha}_B(i\omega_j, q_B, CN_{cov}^B)$$
 (42)

 w_j are the integration weights, which are derived from a trapeziodal partitioning between the grid points $j \in \{2, \dots, 22\}$.

The isotropically averaged, dynamic dipole-dipole polarizabilites $\overline{\alpha}$ at the jth imaginary frequency $i\omega_j$ are obtained from the self-consistent D4 model; i.e.,

they are depending on the covalent coordination number and are also charge dependent.

$$\overline{\alpha}_A(i\omega_j, q_A, CN_{cov}^A) = \sum_{r}^{N_{A,ref}} \xi_A^r(q_A, q_{A,r}) \overline{\alpha}_{A,r}(i\omega_j, q_{A,r}, CN_{cov}^{A,r}) W_A^r(CN_{cov}^A, CN_{cov}^{A,r})$$

$$\tag{43}$$

$$\overline{\alpha}_{A,r}(i\omega_j, q_{A,r}, CN_{cov}^{A,r}) = \sum_{A,\text{ref}=1}^{N^{A,\text{ref}}} \alpha^{A,\text{ref}}(i\omega, q_A) W_A^r$$
(44)

The charge-dependent atomic dynamic polarizability for a single reference system of atom A is given by the product of $\alpha^{A,\text{ref}}(i\omega)$ and its scaling function as:

$$\alpha^{A,\text{ref}}(i\omega, q_A) = \alpha^{A,\text{ref}}(i\omega)\xi_A^r(q_A, q_{A,r}) \tag{45}$$

$$\alpha^{A,\text{ref}}(i\omega) = \frac{1}{m} \left[\alpha^{AmXn}(i\omega) - \frac{n}{l} \alpha^{X_l}(i\omega) \xi_A^r(q_X, q_{X,r}) \right]$$
(46)

// The effective nuclear charges $z^{X,\text{ref}}$ entering equation 46 are constant values determined once for the respective reference system. (Find out how to get them)

The charge-dependency is included via the empirical scaling function ξ_A^r .

$$\xi_A^r(q_A, q_{A,r}) = \exp\left[3\left\{1 - \exp\left[4\eta_A \left(1 - \frac{Z_A^{eff} + q_{A,r}}{Z_A^{eff} + q_A}\right)\right]\right\}\right]$$
(47)

where η_A is the chemical hardness taken from ref 98. Z_A^{eff} is the effective nuclear charge of atom A.

 C_8^{AB} is calculated recursively from the lowest order C_6^{AB} coefficients.

$$C_8^{AB} = 3C_6^{AB}\sqrt{\mathcal{Q}^A \mathcal{Q}^B} \tag{48}$$

$$Q^{A} = s_{42} \sqrt{Z^{A}} \frac{\langle r^{4} \rangle^{A}}{\langle r^{2} \rangle^{A}} \tag{49}$$

 $\sqrt{Z^A}$ is the ad hoc nuclear charge dependent factor.

From the original xTB program we can see that s_{42} is 0.5, and Z^A is the atomic number of A.

$$\sqrt{0.5\left(\frac{r^4}{r^2}\sqrt{Z^A}\right)}\tag{50}$$

 $\langle r^4 \rangle$ and $\langle r^2 \rangle$ are simple multipole-type expectation values derived from atomic densities which are averaged geometrically to get the pair coefficients.

 CN_{cov}^A is the covalent coordination number for atom A.

q is the atomic charge, so q_A is the atomic charge for atom A.

The scaling parameters in the dispersion model are:

$$a1 = 0.52$$
 | $a2 = 5.0$ | $s6 = 1.0$ | $s8 = 2.7$

BJ = Becke-Johnson

$$f_n^{damp,BJ}(R_{AB}) = \frac{R_{AB}^n}{R_{AB}^n + (a_1 \times R_{AB}^{crit} + a_2)^6}$$
 (51)

$$R_{AB}^{crit} = \sqrt{\frac{C_8^{AB}}{C_6^{AB}}} \tag{52}$$

$$f_9^{damp,zero}(R_{AB}, R_{AC}, R_{BC}) = \left(1 + 6\left(\sqrt{\frac{R_{AB}^{crit}R_{BC}^{crit}R_{CA}^{crit}}{R_{AB}R_{BC}R_{CA}}}\right)^{16}\right)^{-1}$$
(53)

4 Total Energy for GFN2-xTB

$$E_{GFN2-xTB} = E_{rep}^{(0)} + E_{disp}^{(0,1,2)} + E_{EHT}^{(1)} + E_{IES+IXC}^{(2)} + E_{AES+AXC}^{(2)} + E_{IES+IXC}^{(3)}$$

$$= E_{rep} + E_{disp}^{D4'} + E_{EHT} + E_{\gamma} + E_{AES} + E_{AXC} + E_{\Gamma}^{GFN2}$$
(54)

4.1 Repulsion Energy

$$E_{rep} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A,B} \frac{Z_A^{eff} Z_B^{eff}}{R_{AB}} e^{-\sqrt{a_A a_B} (R_{AB})^{(k_f)}}$$
 (55)

$$k_f = \begin{cases} 1 & if A, B \in \{H, He\} \\ \frac{3}{2} & otherwise \end{cases}$$
 (56)

 Z^{eff} and a are variables fitted for each element. A,B are the labels of atoms. Since we only have C and H in our systems we can simplify this quite a bit in code. R_{AB} is the distance between the A and B atoms.

4.2 Extended Hückel Theory Energy

$$E_{EHT} = \sum_{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu}^{EHT} \tag{57}$$

$$P_{\mu\nu} = P_{\mu\nu}^0 + \delta P_{\mu\nu} \tag{58}$$

$$P_{\mu\nu} = P_{\mu\nu}^{0} + \delta P_{\mu\nu}$$

$$P^{0} = \sum_{A} P_{A}^{0}$$
(58)

$$\delta P_{\mu\nu} = ??$$
 comes from the iteration, can be skipped for now (60)

Where P_A^0 is the neutral atomic reference density of A. This is known as Superposition of Atomic Densities or SAD.

4.3 Isotropic electrostatic and Exchange-correlation energy

4.3.1 Second order

$$E_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A,B}^{N_{atoms}} \sum_{l \in A} \sum_{l' \in B} q_{A,l} q_{B,l'} \gamma_{AB,ll'}$$

$$\tag{61}$$

4.3.2 Third order

$$E_{\Gamma}^{GFN2} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{A}^{N_{atoms}} \sum_{l \in A} (q_{A,l})^3 \Gamma_{A,l}$$
 (62)

Anisotropic electrostatic energy 4.4

$$E_{AES} = E_{q\mu} + E_{q\Theta} + E_{\mu\mu}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A,B} \{ f_3(R_{AB}) [q_A(\boldsymbol{\mu}_B^T \boldsymbol{R}_{BA}) + q_B(\boldsymbol{\mu}_A^T \boldsymbol{R}_{AB})]$$

$$+ f_5(R_{AB}) [q_A \boldsymbol{R}_{AB}^T \boldsymbol{\Theta}_B \boldsymbol{R}_{AB} + q_B \boldsymbol{R}_{AB}^T \boldsymbol{\Theta}_A \boldsymbol{R}_{AB}$$

$$- 3(\boldsymbol{\mu}_A^T \boldsymbol{R}_{AB}) (\boldsymbol{\mu}_B^T \boldsymbol{R}_{AB}) + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_A^T \boldsymbol{\mu}_B) R_{AB}^2] \}$$
(63)

4.5 Anisotropic XC energy

$$E_{AXC} = \sum_{A} (f_{XC}^{\mu_A} |\boldsymbol{\mu}_A|^2 + f_{XC}^{\Theta_A} ||\boldsymbol{\Theta}_A||^2)$$
 (64)

What norms are these?

4.6 Dispersion Energy

$$E_{disp}^{D4'} = -\sum_{A>B} \sum_{n=6,8} s_n \frac{C_n^{AB}(q_A, CN_{cov}^A, q_B, CN_{cov}^B)}{R_{AB}^n} f_{damp,BJ}^{(n)}(R_{AB})$$

$$-s_9 \sum_{A>B>C} \frac{(3cos(\theta_{ABC})cos(\theta_{BCA})cos(\theta_{CAB}) + 1)C_9^{ABC}(CN_{cov}^A, CN_{cov}^B, CN_{cov}^C)}{(R_{AB}R_{AC}R_{BC})^3} \times f_{damp,zero}^{(9)}(R_{AB}, R_{AC}, R_{BC}).$$
(65)

The term in the second line is the three-body Axilrod– Teller–Muto (ATM) (What is this??????) term and the last line is the corresponding zero-damping function for this term.

The damping and scaling parameters in the dispersion model are:

$$s6 = 1.0 \mid s8 = 2.7 \mid s9 = 5.0$$

 $C_{\mathbf{q}}^{ABC}$ is the triple-dipole constant¹:

$$C_9^{ABC} = \frac{3}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \alpha^A(i\omega) \alpha^B(i\omega) \alpha^C(i\omega) d\omega$$
 (66)

The three-body contribution is typically < 5-10% of E_{disp} , so it is small enough that we can reasonably approximate the coefficients by a geometric mean as¹:

$$C_9^{ABC} \approx -\sqrt{C_6^{AB}C_6^{AC}C_6^{BC}} \tag{67}$$

 θ_{ABC} is the angle between the two edges going from B to the other two atoms. θ_{BCA} is the angle between the edges going from C to the other two and so on.

4.7 SAD - Superposition of Atomic Densities

The superposition of atomic densities (SAD) is an approach to obtain a good approximation of a collection of atoms, to be used as an initial guess for solving the self-consistent field (SCF) equation.

As originally implemented in DISCO, the molecular electron density can be obtained by adding the densities of all the constituting atoms.

This is how we get the density matrix for an isolated atom? equation 15 from: (https://sci-hub.box/10.1002/jcc.540030314)

$$D_{ij} = \sum_{a}^{occ} c_{ia} c_{ja} \tag{68}$$

 $^{^1} https://www.researchgate.net/publication/43347348_A_Consistent_and_Accurate_Ab_Initio_Parametrization_of_Density_FD_for_the_94_Elements_H-Pu$

To get the coefficients we need to solve SCF for each atom? this is supposedly cheap, but idk how to do it. (https://sci-hub.box/10.1002/jcc.20393) Though the math for Direct SCF Approach is given in this paper at equation 10: (https://sci-hub.box/10.1002/jcc.540030314). This is probably how.

The SAD method is then the sum of all of these?

Equation 2 in the GFN2 paper talks about "superposition of (neutral) atomic reference densities". Is this relevant?

Direct SCF Approach

$$\Delta F_{ab} = (c_{ia}c_{jb} + c_{ja}c_{ib})
\Delta F_{ij} + (c_{ia}c_{kb} + c_{ka}c_{ib})
\Delta F_{ik} + (c_{ia}c_{lb} + c_{la}c_{ib})
\Delta F_{il} + (c_{ja}c_{kb} + c_{ka}c_{jb})
\Delta F_{jk} + (c_{ja}c_{lb} + c_{la}c_{jb})
\Delta F_{jl} + (c_{ka}c_{lb} + c_{la}c_{kb})\Delta F_{kl}
= l_{ijkl}(4E_{ij}^{ab}D_{kl} + 4D_{ij}E_{kl}^{ab} - E_{ik}^{ab}D_{jl} - D_{ik}E_{jl}^{ab} - E_{il}^{ab}D_{jk} - D_{il}E_{jk}^{ab})$$
(69)

where

$$E_{ij}^{ab} = c_{ia}c_{jb} + c_{ja}c_{ib} \tag{70}$$

Equation 18 from (https://sci-hub.box/https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00584) uses ρ_0 which is the superposition of neutral atom densities:

$$\rho_0 = \sum_A \rho_0^A \tag{71}$$

5 Code Structure

```
xTB-math/
 _bin2xyz/
    _bin2xyz.cpp......converter from float64 coords into xyz format
     C200_10000_fullerenes.float64......3d coords for 10k fullerenes
  flake.lock
  _flake.nix.....conventional structure for Nix inputs and outputs
  _nix/......Nix package definitions for xTB and its dependencies
   __cpx.nix ...... CPCM-X - a solvation model
    _numsa.nix.....solvent accessible surface area calculation
    patches/..... patches for extracting data for validation
       dftd4/.....dispersion correction
         log_args_and_outputs.patch
        _use_gfn2.patch
       xtb/
         log_args_and_outputs.patch
         _log_electro.patch
         _log_utils.patch
     xtb.nix.....extended tight-binding program
  README.md
  report/
  xtb-python/..... Python port of xTB paper and Fortran impl
     basisset.py
     blas.py
    cmp_impls.py.....validation tests against Fortran impl
    _data/
      _C200.xyz
     __caffeine.xyz
     dftd4.py......computation for dispersion correction
     dftd4_reference.py......constants for dftd4
     energy.py.....various energy computations
    _fock.py.....Fock matrix computation
    gfn2.py ......xTB-GFN2 specific constants
    lapack.py......Facade for LAPACK functions
    scc.py......computation for self-consistent charges
     slater.py.....computation for slater determinants
     util.py
     xyz_reader.py
```

