# Grades for Earnest Achayo

#### **Arrange by**



NAME	DUE	STATUS SCORE	OUT OF	
Week 1 Independent Project   Moringa School Landing Page Imported Assignments	g 18 May by 14:00	11	12	전 (1) (1)
Week 2 Independent Project   Quick Movers Website Imported Assignments	18 May by 18:59	13	16	
Friday: Independent Project - Quiz Board Imported Assignments		24	24	or Care

Anonymous User

Close Rubric

QUIZ BOARD (1)						
CRITERIA	RATINGS					POINTS
The Project achieves the required objectives. view longer description	4 pts The project achieves all the objectives given.	3 pts The project achieves more than half of the objectives given.	2 pts The project achieves half of the objectives given.	1 pts The project achieves less than half of the objectives given.	0 pts The project does NOT achieve ANY of the objectives given.	4 / 4 pts
User stories are met view longer description	4 pts The project functional ly achieves all the user stories given.	3 pts The project functional ly achieves more than half of the user stories given.	2 pts The project achieves half of the user stories given.	1 pts The project achieves less than half of the user stories given.	0 pts The project does NOT achieve ANY of the user stories given.	4 / 4 pts

CRITERIA	RATINGS			POINTS
Code indentation and syntax view longer description	3 pts The project uses proper indentation & syntax. The project code is consistently indented & the javascript code uses camelcase naming of variables. The variable names used also make sense.	2 pts The project implements fair code indentation & syntax.  The project code is fairly indented which means it not constantly indented all-through but looks fairly neat and is fairly easy to read. The project JS code also uses camelcase & proper variable naming.	1 pts Improper indentation of code The project code lacks consist indentation & does not implement camelcase and appropriate naming of variables.	3 / 3 pt

CRITERIA	RATINGS				POINTS
	3 pts The project contains a properly documented README file.	2 pts The project contains an averagely detailed README file	1 pts The project contains a default README file The project	0 pts The project does NOT contain a README file. The Github	
The project contains a properly documented README markdowr file.	structured and detailed. The	The project has a README file in the Github repository that is fairly detailed and contains most but not all features of a README file & the project.	contains the default README file that is generated by Github and has minimal details about the project.	repository does NOT contain any README markdown file.	3/3p

CRITERIA	RATINGS			POINTS
The project is polished in a Portfolio quality state.	2 pts The project is very well polished in a portfolio quality state.  The project is well designed, very visually appealing, easy to work through the website, shows a touch of creativity. The project uses Bootstrap classes and custom CSS to style elements. This also means it goes above the visual aspect with features like clearing form fields after an event and so much more.	1 pts The project is averagely polished. The project is styled averagely, has an average visual appeal, and one can work with the website without much of a strain.	O pts The project is NOT polished. The project looks clumsy & shows NO effort of styling to make it visually appealing.	2 / 2 pt

CRITERIA	RATINGS				POINTS
Use of Version Control. view longer description	3 pts 20+ commits  Project has more than 20 commits are feat based and with clear commit messages associated with them. For example a commit messages should read "navigation section complete, links clickable and redirecting to rig pages".	The pro- 10 to 2 ature with cla messa associa them  ole, age	Commits Dject contains Commits Diear commit	1 pts Less than 10 commits  The project contains less than 10 commits with clear commit messages associated with them.	3 / 3 pts
Deployment view longer description	3 pts Project is deployed to Github Pages & project description and live link are provided.  The project description and the link to the deployed site are present in the Github repository with the live link displaying the actual project.	2 pts Project description and link provided. The project description and live link are provided on the Githul repository but the live link does not display the actual project	t project description the URL to deployed	Github Pages.  b The project is NOT deployed to github pages and neither the project m or description of the nor URL to	

BOARD (1)				
ERIA	RATINGS		POINTS	
ess Logic & UI	2 pts The projects business logic code is seperated from the UI logic code.	0 pts The project business logic & UI logic code is NOT seperated.		2 / 2 pts
		1	Total	points: 24
_		4	5	ব
		4	4	₹
_		5	6	乜
		5	6	乜
		4	7	ţ
		6	6	₹
		2	3	ব
<b>Quiz</b> nts		7	7	ব
	Quiz nts  Quiz nts	PERIA RATINGS  Peration of ness Logic & UI onger description  Quiz nts  Quiz nts	PERIA RATINGS  Pration of The projects business logic code is seperated from the UI logic code is NOT seperated.  Quiz nts 4  Quiz nts 5  Quiz nts 5  Quiz nts 6  Quiz nts 6  Quiz nts 7  Quiz nts 7	retation of less Logic & UI onger description  Quiz nts  Quiz nts

NAME	DUE	STATUS SCORE	OUT OF	
Lesson 11 Quiz Assignments		3	3	区
Lesson 12 Quiz Assignments		7	10	ব
Lesson 13 Quiz Assignments		4	7	ব
Lesson 14 Quiz Assignments		3	3	区
Lesson 15 Quiz Assignments		2	4	乜
Roll Call Attendance Assignments		100%	100	t
ASSIGNMENTS		91.23%	156.00 / 171.00	
IMPORTED ASSIGNMENTS		92.31%	48.00 / 52.00	
TOTAL		91.48%	204.00 / 223.00	