

Of the bglmage at that same spot. So we'll call it getPixel with x and y to get that value.

do that by calling setPixel with that x and y. And the bgPixel that we just got.

And that completes the inside of that if statement.

So now those three lines represent that one line of our pseudocode. So we need it to do a little bit

of work to translate that one line into actual code. Now that we have the corresponding pixel in the

BG image We want to set that to the corresponding position in the output image. So we're going to

Okay, let's look at our last line of pseudocode. It starts with the word otherwise, but what does

on line 12 but that can be hard, and so that is error prone, that can lead to problems, so most

An else just means, if the condition above on line 12 was false, then run this code instead.

languages, including JavaScript, include something called an else statement, a shorthand for this

So what do we do when we say otherwise? We're going to set the output's corresponding pixel to

So we're going to say, output.setPixel(x, y, pixel) but in this case we want the current pixel so that's

and those are things that we want to computer, just like we did before and I'm just going to show

I wrote them and stored them in a variable so, from a functionality perspective of the code, these

work exactly the same. Whether I decide to save it into an instance variable, or whether I pass it

directly into the function, doesn't matter from the programming's functionality perspective. So you

should use the one that you're most comfortable with. Some people find it more readable to give

can write them in line. It's entirely up to you what you want to do.

every value a name, and some people find it more readable if the code is a little bit shorter and they

But now I'm going to reveal my pseudocode and see that I start out and I got for each pixel, that was

my standard loop, I'm checking to see the current pixel is green. Then I'm getting the pixel at the

corresponding x and y coordinates in the bglmage. I'm setting those to the corresponding position

output image to the foreground images, or the current pixel. So I feel pretty confident at this point

that my pseudocode is correct or that my code corresponding to my pseudocode is correct. So I'm

foreground image. This doesn't look like it applied anything at all from the background image. How

idea of what green was, was a little bit hazy. And this is a very exact measure for what green is. This

to have a more loose definition of what green is and I'm going to try, say, 240 as a value. So this now

is saying, the green has to be exactly the maximum value of green which is 255. So I'm going to try

code. That looks a whole lot better. Now I can see dinosaurs, now I can see space. It seems like it

worked a whole lot better to give us this range. However, if you look very closely at the image, you'll

see that Drew and I have a halo around us. We have a green halo where we weren't quite correct in

our range. So let's try, since that worked so well, let's try upping the range a little bit more and say

And I ran the code again, and now you can see that the colors, the space colors, are bleeding into

Drew's shirt. And so it looks like Drew is fading into Space, and that's not what we want either. Now

we could spend some time trying to perfect this range, for this particular image so that we get the

halo to go away but space doesn't intrude on the foreground image. But that doesn't seem like the

best way to go about solving this problem, because we're really just going to make it work for these

two images, for this particular range of colors. So let's think about a different way to define green

that might be a little bit more general and robust. So instead, I'm going to define green as a color

that has more green in it than both red and blue combined. So I'm going to write that as the value

So now when I run the code I see that the halos run away and the space went back to its proper

place, so I'm very happy with the results of this code that I've written. I've gotten my code to work

for these two images, and I feel very good about it, but to be certain of my code, I would certainly

work. But I'm going to leave that as an exercise for you to encourage you to experiment on your

want to try it on a wider variety of images, to see if I've really defined green correctly and gotten it to

of green is greater than the value of the red and the blue added together, combined.

could that possibly be? Well, if you recall, when we were starting this video, we sort of felt like our

It doesn't work. My goodness. I just double checked it and I have exactly what looks like the

gives me a variety of green values to work with. And let's see what happens when I run this

in the output image. If that wasn't the case, then I'm setting the pixel, the corresponding pixel in the

you a different way to do it, than how we did before. I'm going to write these values in line, whereas

otherwise mean? Otherwise means only do this last piece if the condition on line 12 was false. Well

how, how do we write that code? Well, we could write the opposite or the negative of the condition

3:01

3:45

3:52

situation.

4:33

4:43

4:50

5:09

5:27

6:06

6:53

8:18

8:22

9:36

own with your own images.

the current pixel.

in the previous version,

going to run the code.

making it 200. So that gives us even more

green values to work with to try to get that halo to go away.

just pixel. Now we wrote x and y here