## Checklist for the presentation

The presentation will be graded based on this checklist; presentations should be at least 30 and at most 45 minutes. There will be 15 minutes of questions. All team members should answer questions.

- the slides are prepared with care
  - o pay attention to typos, grammar mistakes
  - o pay attention to the quality of figures, especially when copied from the paper
  - consistent layout, including use of typeface, font, text color
  - o a major pitfall to avoid is putting too much material on a single slide!
    - avoid to use full sentences
    - when presenting results, ask yourself: could I use a table, figure?
    - do not change font size to fit more on a slide
- the presentation's structure is clear
  - clear problem statement
    - not only what, but also why
    - how does it advance the state-of-the-art?
  - o clear conclusion
  - o the order of the slides is logical
    - concepts are introduced before being used
    - at any point in the presentation it is clear why the subject is covered
    - avoid unnecessary repetitions, yet it is ok and even advised to have some
       "synchronization points" where you recap the most important parts of the material so-far, but make sure it is a recap, not a full repetition
- the presenters master the subject
  - o explanation in own words
  - use of own examples
  - o deep understanding of the material covered in the paper
    - do not only understand what a statement in the paper means, but also why
      it its true
      - for instance: "the derivative of f is 0 in point x" can be understood at different levels:
        - o you know what is a derivative, what is f and x
        - you understand why it is a logical consequence of the reasoning built up in the paper that the derivative is 0
        - you also understand what are the consequences of the derivative being 0; why is it important? (e.g., it means a maximum/minimum is obtained in that point)
  - o critical evaluation of the paper
    - not just accept something because "the authors said so"; academics are humans (they are!) and make mistakes.
- the content of the presentation is clear
  - difficult concepts are illustrated with examples
  - o there is a good selection of material from the paper
    - not superficial; after the presentation the audience knows something they didn't knew beforehand
    - also not too ambitious; if it took you 2 days to understand the paper fully,
       you won't be able to transfer your complete understanding in 20-30 minutes

- focus on the main results; often a paper contains 1 main result and a few extensions; concentrate on the main result and make a conscious decision whether it is worth going into full details for the extensions; sometimes less is more!
- o obviously the content has to be correct
  - numerical examples are thoroughly checked
  - statements are crisp
    - "algorithm A always is the fastest" is likely not true; try to carefully describe the circumstances under which a statement is true
- Presentation style
  - o presenter tries to engage the audience
  - o presenter talks confidently and clearly
  - o presenter uses their own words and doesn't read from the slides