

Two projects to optimize Python



FOSDEM 2013, Bruxelles

Victor Stinner
<victor.stinner@gmail.com>

Agenda

- CPython bytecode is inefficient
- AST optimizer
- Register-based bytecode

Part I

CPython bytecode
is inefficient

CPython is inefficient

- Python is very dynamic, cannot be easily optimized
- CPython peephole optimizer only supports basic optimizations like replacing **1+1** with **2**
- CPython bytecode is inefficient

Inefficient bytecode



Given a simple function:

```
def func():  
    x = 33  
    return x
```

Inefficient bytecode

- I get:
(4 instructions)
LOAD_CONST 1 (33)
STORE_FAST 0 (x)
LOAD_FAST 0 (x)
RETURN_VALUE
- I expected:
(2 instructions)
LOAD_CONST 1 (33)
RETURN_VALUE
- Or even:
(1 instruction)
RETURN_CONST 1 (33)

How Python works

- Parse the source code
- Build an Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)
- Emit Bytecode
- Peephole optimizer
- Evaluate bytecode

Let's optimize!

- Parse the source code
- Build an Abstract Syntax Tree (AST)
→ **astoptimizer**
- Emit Bytecode
- Peephole optimizer
- Evaluate bytecode
→ **registervm**

Part II

AST optimizer

AST optimizer

- AST is high-level and contains a lot of information
- Rewrite AST to get faster code
- Disable dynamic features of Python to allow more optimizations
- Unpythonic optimizations are disabled by default

AST optimizations (1)

- Call builtin functions and methods:

`len("abc")` → 3

`(32).bit_length()` → 6

`math.log(32) / math.log(2)` → 5.0

- Evaluate `str % args` and `print(arg1, arg2, ...)`

`"x=%s" % 5` → `"x=5"`

`print(2.3)` → `print("2.3")`

AST optimizations (2)

- Simplify expressions (2 instructions => 1):

`not(x in y) → x not in y`

- Optimize loops (Python 2 only):

`while True: ... → while 1: ...`

`for x in range(10): ...`

`→ for x in xrange(10): ...`

In Python 2, `True` requires a (slow) global lookup, the number `1` is a constant

AST optimizations (3)

- Replace list (build at runtime) with tuple (constant):

```
for x in [1, 2, 3]: ...
```

```
→ for x in (1, 2, 3): ...
```

- Replace list with set (Python 3 only):

```
if x in [1, 2, 3]: ...
```

```
→ if x in {1, 2, 3}: ...
```

In Python 3, `{1, 2, 3}` is converted to a constant frozenset (if used in a test)

AST optimizations (4)

- Evaluate operators:

`"abcdef"[:3] → "abc"`

`def f(): return 2 if 4 < 5 else 3`
`→ def f(): return 2`

- Remove dead code:

`if 0: ...`
`→ pass`

Used as a preprocessor

- "if DEBUG" and "if os.name == 'nt'" have a cost at runtime
- Tests can be removed at compile time:

```
cfg.add_constant('DEBUG', False)  
cfg.add_constant('os.name',  
                 os.name)
```
- Pythonic preprocessor: no need to modify your code, code works without the preprocessor

astoptimizer TODO list

- Constant folding: experimental support (buggy)
- Unroll (short) loops
- Function inlining (is it possible?)

Part III

Register-based bytecode

registervm

- Rewrite instructions to use registers instead of the stack
- Use single assignment form (SSA)
- Build the control flow graph
- Apply different optimizations
- Register allocator
- Emit bytecode

Stack-based bytecode

```
def func():
```

```
    x = 33
```

```
    return x + 1
```

```
LOAD_CONST 1 (33)    # stack: [33]
STORE_FAST 0 (x)      # stack: []
LOAD_FAST  0 (x)      # stack: [33]
LOAD_CONST 2 (1)      # stack: [33, 1]
BINARY_ADD            # stack: [34]
RETURN_VALUE          # stack: []
```

(6 instructions)

Register bytecode

```
def func():  
    x = 33  
    return x + 1
```

```
LOAD_CONST_REG 'x', 33 (const#1)  
LOAD_CONST_REG R0, 1 (const#2)  
BINARY_ADD_REG R0, 'x', R0  
RETURN_VALUE_REG R0
```

(4 instructions)

registervm optim (1)

- Using registers allows more optimizations
- Move constants loads and globals loads (slow) out of loops:
return [str(item) for item in data]
- Constant folding:
x=1; y=x; return y
→ y=1; return y
- Remove duplicate load/store instructions:
constants, names, globals, etc.

Merge duplicate loads

- Stack-based bytecode :

```
return (len("a"), len("a"))
```

```
LOAD_GLOBAL 'len' (name#0)  
LOAD_CONST 'a' (const#1)  
CALL_FUNCTION (1 positional)  
LOAD_GLOBAL 'len' (name#0)  
LOAD_CONST 'a' (const#1)  
CALL_FUNCTION (1 positional)  
BUILD_TUPLE 2  
RETURN_VALUE
```

Merge duplicate loads

- Register-based bytecode :

```
return (len("a"), len("a"))
```

```
LOAD_GLOBAL_REG R0, 'len' (name#0)
```

```
LOAD_CONST_REG R1, 'a' (const#1)
```

```
CALL_FUNCTION_REG R2, R0, 1, R1
```

```
CALL_FUNCTION_REG R0, R0, 1, R1
```

```
CLEAR_REG R1
```

```
BUILD_TUPLE_REG R2, 2, R2, R0
```

```
RETURN_VALUE_REG R2
```


registervm optim (2)

- Remove unreachable instructions (dead code)
- Remove useless jumps (relative jump + 0)

Pybench results

- BuiltinMethodLookup:
fewer instructions: 390 => 22
24 ms => 1 ms (**24x faster**)
- NormalInstanceAttribute:
fewer instructions: 381 => 81
40 ms => 21 ms (**1.9x faster**)
- StringPredicates:
fewer instructions: 303 => 92
42 ms => 24 ms (**1.8x faster**)

Pybench results

- Pybench is a microbenchmark
- Don't expect such speedup on your applications
- registervm is still experimental and emits invalid code

Other projects

- PyPy and its amazing JIT
- Pymothoa, Numba: JIT (LLVM)
- WPython: "Wordcode-based" bytecode
- Hotpy 2
- Shedskin, Pythran, Nuitka: compile to C++

Questions?

<https://bitbucket.org/haypo/astoptimizer>

<http://hg.python.org/sandbox/registervm>



Contact:

victor.stinner@gmail.com

Thanks to David Malcom
for the LibreOffice template

<http://dmalcolm.livejournal.com/>