# QUIC Unidirectional and Bidirectional Streams

IETF 99 By Ian Swett

## Background

QUIC needs to support HTTP

HTTP/2 supports both request/response and server push

Therefore the transport and application doc COMBINED must provide BOTH bidirectional streams and unidirectional streams

## Background

QUIC needs to support HTTP

HTTP/2 supports both request/response and server push

Therefore the transport and application doc COMBINED must provide BOTH bidirectional streams and unidirectional streams

Use only one direction of a bidirectional stream

OR

Build bidirectional streams on two unidirectional streams

## Bidirectional: Current draft

Pairs of incoming and outgoing streams: Think TCP

#### Pros:

- Ideal for HTTP requests and responses
- Large amounts of deployment experience

#### Cons:

Requires sending an unnecessary STREAM frame with a FIN for server push

# Bidirectional: With Application manipulation (gQUIC)

Bidirectional, but the application closes half the stream without sending a FIN

#### Pros:

- Ideal for HTTP requests
- Large amounts of deployment experience
- Doesn't require sending an unnecessary FIN

#### Cons:

- Transport state is unclear from the wire
- Feels a bit ugly to allow manipulating internal transport state

## Bidirectional: Open streams half-closed

Adds a bit in the STREAM frame to indicate it should be opened half closed.

#### Pros:

- Saves sending an unnecessary response FIN for Server Push
- Small change to the existing draft

#### Cons:

- Uses a bit in the type byte
- Adds some transport complexity, particularly around implicitly opened streams
- No deployment experience

#### PR #656

## Unidirectional Only in Transport

All streams are unidirectional. Pair two unidirectional streams to create bidirectional streams. Stream IDs are available on both sides.

Example: Can create a bidirectional stream from 5 on the client side and 5 on the server side or 5 on the client side and 18 on the server side.

# Unidirectional Only in Transport (continued)

#### Pros:

- Simplifies the transport document
- Simplifies server push

#### Cons:

- Makes the HTTP mapping doc more complex
- Each application that needs request/response mapping will need to create it.
- MAX\_STREAM limits can allow sending a request, but not a response.
- No deployment experience

#### PR #646

## Points to consider

- Server push is rare: <1% of responses</li>
- Sticking with the current draft is very much an option
- Only plain bidirectional streams have implementation and deployment
- Quartc uses streams in a unidirectional way heavily, so we'll have useful implementation experience 'soon'

## Any change now may be premature optimization