



# POLYGON TECHNOLOGY SMART CONTRACT AUDIT REPORT

27.10.2022

CONFIDENTIAL

# CONTENTS

- [Summary / 3](#)
- [Scope / 3](#)
- [Limitation on disclosure and usage of this report / 4](#)
- [Weaknesses / 5](#)
  - [Missing incorrect signer check in permit function / 5](#)
  - [Discrepancy in parsing of lists in RLPReader and Bor / 6](#)
  - [Missing explicit handling of all control flow branches / 8](#)
  - [Missing logic / 9](#)
  - [Gas optimisation / 10](#)
  - [Unused return value / 11](#)

# SUMMARY

SEVERITY	NUMBER OF FINDINGS
CRITICAL	0
HIGH	0
MEDIUM	2
LOW	2
INFORMATIONAL	2

**TOTAL: 6**

# SCOPE

The analyzed contracts are located in:

<https://github.com/maticnetwork/pos-portal/commit/a70d8b6dbd867cd45c485746b2b235f593b7494e>

# **LIMITATIONS ON DISCLOSURE AND USAGE OF THIS REPORT**

This report has been developed by the company **Hexens** (the Service Provider) based on the Smart Contract Audit of Polygon Technology (the Client). The document contains vulnerability information and remediation advice.

The information, presented in this report is confidential and privileged. If you are reading this report, you agree to keep it confidential, not to copy, disclose or disseminate without the agreement of Polygon Technology.

If you are not the intended receptor of this document, remember that any disclosure, copying or dissemination of it is forbidden.

# WEAKNESSES

This section contains the list of discovered weaknesses.

## 1. MISSING INCORRECT SIGNER CHECK IN PERMIT FUNCTION

SEVERITY: **Medium**

REMEDIATION: add a zero address check in the permit function

STATUS: **fixed**

### DESCRIPTION:

The builtin `ecrecover()` function on the line 47 in the file `UChildDAI.sol` returns `address(0)` if the signature is malformed, thus there is a possibility to approve any amount for the zero address. As there is no impact with the current **ERC20** implementation that is being used by `UChildDAI`, the severity is lowered.

```
require(holder == ecrecover(digest, v, r, s), "UChildDAI: INVALID-PERMIT");
require(expiry == 0 || now <= expiry, "UChildDAI: PERMIT-EXPIRED");
require(nonce == nonces[holder]++, "UChildDAI: INVALID-NONCE");
require(msg.sender != address(this), "UChildDAI:
PERMIT_META_TX_DISABLED");
uint wad = allowed ? uint(-1) : 0;
_approve(holder, spender, wad);
```

## 2. DISCREPANCY IN PARSING OF LISTS IN RLPREADER AND BOR

SEVERITY: **Medium**

REMEDIATION: make sure that short lists and long lists are parsed in the same manner in RLPReader and Bor

STATUS: **acknowledged**

### DESCRIPTION:

There are two ways of encoding lists in RLP:

- If the total payload of a list is 0-55 bytes long, the RLP encoding consists of a single byte with value **0xc0** plus the length of the list and RLP encoded items
- If the total payload of a list is more than 55 bytes long, the RLP encoding consists of a single byte with value **0xf7** plus the length in bytes of the length of the payload in binary form, followed by the length of the payload, followed by RLP encoded items

It is possible to encode a short list (<55 bytes long) using an encoding for long lists:

```
'[1,2]' → 0xc20102 // short list encoding
```

```
'[1,2]' → 0xf8020102 // long list encoding
```

**RLPReader** used in **Polygon** smart contracts recognises short lists encoded as long lists and returns correct results. However, **RLP** parser in **Bor** does not parse such payloads:

```
~/test$ node_modules/rlp/bin/rlp encode '[1,2]'  
~/test$ cd ^C  
~/test$ echo -e '\xf8\x02\x01\x02' | /home/ubuntu/bor/build/bin/rlpdump  
rlp: non-canonical size information
```

This discrepancy may lead to unexpected behaviours when the same transaction coming from an adversary is parsed with **RLPReader** and **Bor**.

### 3. MISSING EXPLICIT HANDLING OF ALL CONTROL FLOW BRANCHES

SEVERITY: **Low**

REMEDIATION: add an explicit revert or return false after the "for" statement

STATUS: **fixed**

DESCRIPTION:

The path of reaching the code after the for-loop on line 94 in the file **MerklePatriciaProof.sol** should be unreachable and it is better not to depend on the compiler/optimizer cleaning up the stack correctly for the function to be guaranteed to return false in that case.

```
pathPtr += traversed;
    nodeKey = bytes32(RLPReader.toUintStrict(currentNodeList[1]));
} else {
    return false;
}
}
}
```



## 4. MISSING LOGIC

SEVERITY: **Low**

REMEDIATION: add the logic for more consistent security review

STATUS: **fixed**

### DESCRIPTION:

The `_processMessageFromRoot()` implementation for child and root tunnels on line 6 in the files `ChildTunnel.sol` and `RootTunnel.sol` are missing, although the function is part of a crucial mechanism for message transmission between the chains.

```
contract ChildTunnel is BaseChildTunnel {  
    function _processMessageFromRoot(bytes memory message) internal  
    override {  
        // implement your core logic here  
    }  
}
```

## 5. GAS OPTIMISATION

SEVERITY: **Informational**

REMEDIATION: use a regular increment instruction

STATUS: **fixed**

### DESCRIPTION:

As the nonces are initialized with 0 values, the increment operation is practically impossible to overflow, since it will take  $2^{256}$  calls for every signer on line 50 in the file **NativeMetaTransaction.sol**.

```
nonces[userAddress] = nonces[userAddress].add(1);
```

## 6. UNUSED RETURN VALUE

SEVERITY: **Informational**

REMEDIATION: consider removing the variable if there is no usage for it

STATUS: **fixed**

### DESCRIPTION:

The variable `createdAt` that is being fetched from `_checkpointManager.headerBlocks` is being returned by the `_checkBlockMembershipInCheckpoint()` function on line 399 and 423 in the file `RootChainManager.sol`, although the return value is never being used.

```
_checkBlockMembershipInCheckpoint(  
    payload.getBlockNumber(),  
    payload.getBlockTime(),  
    payload.getTxRoot(),  
    payload.getReceiptRoot(),  
    payload.getHeaderNumber(),  
    payload.getBlockProof()  
);
```

hexens