INQUIRY LOG #1

1

Name: Armant Touche

Date: 4/7/2020

Quotes

1. "The potentials for plants were seen purely through the lens of animal capac-

ity." (Kimmerer, 2013, p. 19)

2. "Why can't we compare and contrast with another way of doing science from an

indegenious perspective" (Bartlett, 2012)

3. "This method [Native Science], also an organized belief system, has sustained Na-

tive peoples and cultures for millennia against nearly overwhelming odds. But,

because of this world view, traditional peoples often find themselves ill-prepared

to protect their own best interest." (Masayesva, 2014)

Observe

I set out on a walk from house Thursday morning-ish with the intent to stretch

my legs since being cooped up in my house for eighteen days but whose counting. As I

began to distance myself from my house, a slight mist occurred as did a overcast too.

I have like-dislike relationship with the weather but I try to remain indifferent to the

weather here because that is normal for the PNW. My goal for the walk was to walk

at least five miles and listen to no music. The feel of the moisture is gradually becom-

ing more saturated on my face but I do not mind. I noticed pedestrians on the various

points on the sidewalk hurrying to escape this mist. I ask why? The faster you move

through the rain or mist, the more you become saturated. I always remembered this

when I used to do ruck-runs in the military when I used to run. Just enjoy it. So I en-

joyed it. Of course, it doesn't hurt to have an umbrella. I had one. Reading Braiding

Sweetgrass and this walk reminds me of the dichotomy between man and nature. Hu-

mans are part of the animal kingdom, which comprises not just of humans avoiding the

rain but the squirrels traveling from tree to tree or the birds flying in the rain.

Reflect & Theme

I never took the time to ponder the shortcomings of Western science but I think the reading Brading Sweetgrass begs the question, "Why don't you care to know more about native science?" The answer is simple which is, "Native science or any of it's teachings was never a subject in my school." Pretty straightforward for me and probably a large majority of American public-school attendees. I felt catapulted into public school with no questions asked. I try to maintain a curiosity about nature because growing up in the South, I was very much an outdoors-person and you could always catch me in the woods or looking to climb trees. I loved to climb trees, especially Magnolia trees because they were shorter compared to the common pine-tree. I was expose to the death very early because my father would take me deer hunting. Before going on the hunt, him and I would prepare our gear and practice shooting targets. Target practice, if carried out right, would carry over to a humane death for the soon-to-be, stalked deer. Now that maybe a little graphic but growing up poor and having the farthermost grocery being two hours ways, we couldn't and definitely didn't waste any part of the deer. The lack of wasting Nature's materials emphasizes the same philosophy Kimmerer described when she was harvesting sap to make syrup. Also, white-tail were and still are considered destructive to crops that farmers work hard to supply to the communities. Deer served a purpose for my family and also served a purpose in for many Indigenous tribes (Kuhnlein & Humphries, n.d.). Deer also served a purpose for family growing up so practicing not being wasteful was ingrained in me from an early age and think Kimmerer was ingrained with similar teachings too.

There is balance with everything around us and continuously maintaining a good reciprocal relationship between nature and man is crucial to sustaining a healthy environment. Another theme I liked was Kimmererr imaginative approach to relating animacy to her studies. Often, I feel I sometimes lack her level appreciation towards validating animals and inanimate objects as living beings. Even rocks get the same level of validation which is unusual but I understand the sentiments she posses and I do not think she has an over exaggerated attitude towards animals and inanimate ob-

jects. Overall, I feel very secure with my openess and I think we can greatly benefit with having Native Science being a subject taught in public schools.

Question

Do you think we (students) can benefit from using the compare and contrast method when comparing Western Science and Native Science? I think students from any background can benefit from examining the difference between Western Science viewpoint of it's surroundings and Indeginuos People's viewpoint of it's surroundings. I feel that so-called "disordered" systems often contain novel emergent properties that can be beneficial. For instance, when Kimmerer was collecting sap. After collection of sap was made, she used cold temperatures to separate the water from the sap and created syrup versus the modern way of burning wood to heat evaporate the water from the sap into a syrup. She noticed the parameters required to making a syrup and tweaked the syrup making process in a way that was more environmentally kosher, so to speak. There maybe other novel approaches like Kimmerer's way of making syrup that may also be a solution to modern problem.

Words

797 words

References

- Bartlett, C. (2012, November). Two Eyed Seeing. Quote @ 3:48. Retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_CY-iGduw5c%5C&feature=youtu.be
- Kimmerer, R. (2013). Braiding Sweetgrass. Milkweed Editions.
- Kuhnlein, H., & Humphries, M. (n.d.). Deer Entography. Retrieved from http://traditionalanimalfoods.org/mammals/hoofed/page.aspx?id=6133
- Masayesva, V. (2014, July). Western Science vs. Native Science Cultural Imperialism.

 Retrieved from https://www.investigativemedia.com/western-science-vs-native-science-cultural-imperialism/