

## MSE\_AnTeDe\_Lab2 – Adrian Willi

Questions	Answers
<b>Lab 2b</b> We extract the features with CountVectorizer, removing the stop words automatically. Something goes wrong. What is it?	We didn't lemmatize our tokens and for this reason, the tokens <i>bear</i> and <i>bears</i> are carrying the same information. We should avoid that by lemmatizing our tokens.
<b>Lab 2b</b> In this example, <i>Bern</i> and <i>Zurich</i> get much higher weights than <i>canton</i> and <i>capital</i> . Why?	Because <i>canton</i> and <i>capital</i> are appearing in all documents which lead to a lower score while <i>Zurich</i> and <i>Bern</i> are each appearing twice in only one document.
<b>Lab 2b</b> Bonus question: how was the MNB able to classify <i>It is the city of Zwingli.</i> , given that <i>Zwingli</i> doesn't appear in the training data?	Maybe the classifier used <i>city</i> to classify the sentence and not particularly <i>Zwingli</i> . In case there would be only unknown tokens in a sentence then the MNB would not be able to classify it.
<b>Lab 2c</b> How many training documents and how many test documents are there in the dataset? If the answer you get is 6 of each, you made a mistake.	11'314 Training documents 7'532 Test documents
<b>Lab 2c</b> Now run <i>mnf_tfidf</i> without removing the stopwords and analyze its performance. Why doesn't the performance drop as much as it did with CountVectorizer?	Words that appear frequently over different documents have low tf-idf scores because they don't contribute a lot information to a single sentence. For this reason, the score is better than with CountVectorizer.
<b>Lab 2c</b> Experiment with other classifiers (other than MNB) from <i>scikit-learn</i> . For instance, try Stochastic Gradient Descent. What's the best performance you can get using the default parametrization of the <i>scikit-learn</i> classifiers?	SGD with Tfidf Vectorizer: 0.71 (Accuracy) Decision Tree with Tfidf: 0.445