Introduction to Component Based Design for C++ Games

Sean Middleditch

About Me

- Sean Middleditch
 - DigiPen RTIS Senior
 - Game Projects
 - Subsonic (2010)
 - SONAR (2011)
 - Core (2012)
 - 18 years C/C++ experience
 - http://seanmiddleditch.com

About This Lecture

- Introduction to C++ and OOP
- Component Based Design Overview
- Data Driven Components
- Best Practices

- What is OOP?
 - Designing code in functional units
 - Communicating between units with abstract interfaces
 - Encapsulating implementation details
 - Making code comprehensible

- What is OOP not?
 - Designing code around real-world objects
 - One-to-one mappings of programmer ideas and classes
 - Useless abstractions and excess layers

- Classes vs Interfaces vs Objects
 - Classes are concrete functionality
 - "A sprite"
 - Interfaces define how different parts of a program communicate
 - "Something that can be drawn"
 - Objects are specific instances of a concept
 - "The hero sprite"

- Interfaces in C++
 - Defining an interface

```
class IDrawable
{
public:
    virtual IDrawable() {}

    virtual void Draw(RenderContext* ctx) const = 0;

    virtual bool IsVisible() const = 0;
    virtual void SetVisible(bool mode) = 0;
};
```

- Interfaces in C++
 - Consuming an interface

```
class DrawableSprite : public IDrawable
{
public:
    virtual void Draw(RenderContext* ctx) const;
    virtual bool IsVisible() const;
    virtual void SetVisible(bool mode);

    Sprite* m_Sprite;
    Vec2 m_Position;
};
```

"Classic" Object Oriented Design

Common Misinformation

- Proper OOP design practices have been well understood for several decades
- However, bad practices are frequently used for introductory material
 - and there's not really much "advanced design" material around
- The result is that there are a lot of programmers who learned how to use OOP totally wrong
- You don't want to be one of them

- Common Bad Design Practice
 - Almost always the basis for "what is OOP" course material and tutorials
 - Classes that reflect things in real life
 - A car class
 - Interfaces that reflect things people do
 - A gas pedal interface
 - Objects that represent a specific real thing
 - A Ford 2003 Focus object instance

- Real World Object Complexity
 - Car class, abundance of interfaces
 - Door locking, opening, closing
 - Windows up, down
 - Wipers on, off
 - Brake pressure
 - Gas pressure
 - Steering
 - Stereo
 - Etc.

- Real World Object Code Proliferation
 - Car class
 - Tank class
 - Motorcycle class
 - Jet class
 - Sailboat class
 - Steam locomotive class

- Real World Taxonomy
 - Sharing code between "similar" objects
 - Vehicle base class
 - Motorized class
 - Wheel class
 - Jet class
 - Boat class
 - ... sailboats are a problem

- Real World Taxonomy
 - Try number two
 - Vehicle base class
 - LandVehicle class
 - MotorLandVehicle class
 - ManualLandVehicle class
 - NauticalVehicle class
 - MotorboatVehicle class
 - WindPoweredVehicle class
 - "Motorized" code is duplicated

- Real World Taxonomy
 - The "solution" (not really)
 - Vehicle base class
 - Land functionality
 - Water functionality
 - Motor functionality
 - Sailing functionality
 - Subclasses to select options
 - Car class (enables land, motor)
 - Plane class (enables air, motor)
 - Sailboat class (enables water, sailing)
 - All subclasses have all data/features

Real World Taxonomy



- Real World Taxonomy
 - Creates very large objects with excess data
 - Creates very large interfaces that are hard to document and use
 - Creates huge class diagrams that are hard to explain to new developers
 - Creates a big mess that will slow you down

Good Object Oriented Design

- Computers are all about data and logic
 - Data structures contain information
 - What objects exist in the world
 - What color are the walls
 - How much life does the player have
 - Logic uses data to simulate the game world
 - Objects and textures used to draw world
 - Player and enemy weapon data used in combat

- Computers are all about data and logic
 - Classes and interfaces for data
 - Vehicle body mesh
 - Engine performance characteristics
 - Storage compartment contents and dimensions
 - Classes and interfaces for logic
 - Navigation logic
 - Traction control logic
 - Physical simulation logic

- Focus on abstractions
 - Motor, not CarEngine
 - Navigation, not NauticalCharting
 - Storage, not TruckBed
- Reusable components
 - Motor can be used with a car, train, plane, boat, crane, conveyer belt, bottling machine, elevator, automatic door, ventilation system, etc.

- Classes in C++
 - Think about what they DO, not what they ARE
 - Button, Lever, Switch, and PressurePlate all trigger actions, so just make one Trigger class

- Classes in C++
 - Think about what they DO, not what they ARE
 - Button, Lever, Switch, and PressurePlate all trigger actions, so just make one Trigger class
 - Think about what they CONTAIN
 - PlayerDude, BulletIcon, and EnemyFace all have an image, so just make one Sprite class

- Classes in C++
 - Think about what they DO, not what they ARE
 - Button, Lever, Switch, and PressurePlate all trigger actions, so just make one Trigger class
 - Think about what they CONTAIN
 - PlayerDude, BulletIcon, and EnemyFace all have an image, so just make one Sprite class
 - Think about how they are USED
 - World and Inventory both contain objects, but are used very differently, so make them separate (and thus smaller and simpler)

Aggregation vs Inheritance

- Inheritance allows C++ to "extend from" the data and logic of a class
 - Use this when you have an extended interface
 - Logger can be used anywhere, but FileLogger adds the ability to write to files
 - Almost always has virtual methods
 - Read as "is-a"
 - FileLogger is a Logger

 Inheritance allows C++ to "extend from" the data and logic of a class

```
class Logger
{
public:
    virtual void PrintMessage(std::string message);
};

class FileLogger : public Logger
{
public:
    void OpenFile(std::string filename);
    virtual void PrintMessage(std::string message);
};
```

- Inheritance can "add to" a class
 - Backwards design
 - Doesn't read as "is-a"
 - "Enemy is a Health" doesn't seem right
 - Can cause conflicts
 - Hard to document
 - Just don't do it

```
class Health
public:
 int m_CurrentHP;
 int m_MaxHP;
                  777DO 7777557
class Attack
public:
 int m WeaponSpeed;
 int m_WeaponDamage;
class Enemy : public Health, public Attack
public:
 std::string m_Name;
};
```

Aggregation in C++

- Aggregation is containment
 - Reads as "has" or "has-a"
 - "Enemy has Health"
 - "Enemy has an Attack"
 - Much better
 - Treat objects as data instead of structure
 - Simpler to document
 - Way less problems

Aggregation in C++

```
class Health
public:
 int m_CurrentHP;
 int m_MaxHP;
class Attack
public:
 int m_WeaponSpeed;
 int m_WeaponDamage;
class Enemy
public:
 Health m_Health;
 Attack m_Attack;
 std::string m_Name;
```

Component Based Design

Component Based Design

- Use aggregation for everything
 - A GameObject is not a Sprite
 - Game objects have a Sprite
 - A GameObject is not a Physics
 - Game objects have Physics
 - The Engine is not a Graphics
 - Engines have Graphics

Component Based Design

- More than just aggregation
 - Component based design is a way of thinking about designing systems
 - Aggregation is a way of building a class
 - Component based design is a way of building an entire software application

- Components make up larger systems
 - Components are self-contained pieces of functionality or data that are used to make up a whole
 - A game object has many pieces
 - Visual representation
 - Physics data
 - Al logic
 - Location in world
 - Each of these pieces is a separate component

- Components are separate from each other
 - Graphics does not care about physics
 - Physics does not care about graphics
 - They share the Transform (position, rotation, scale)
 - Three components
 - One for graphics data
 - One for physics data
 - One for shared transform data

- Components are decoupled
 - Graphics does not care about Al
 - You can add or remove AI to a game object without affecting graphics at all
 - Different game objects can have different sets of components
 - The player doesn't have an AI component
 - Enemies don't have a Controller component
 - Items don't have AI or Controller components
 - Triggers don't even have a graphics component

- Communicate along well-defined interfaces
 - Physics component has an interface
 - SetVelocity(x, y)
 - Update(dt)
 - SetMass(kg)
 - GetMass()
 - Controller component uses that interface
 - Player moves forward, Controller component sets a forward velocity on Physics component

- Prefer smaller, simpler interfaces
 - Larger interfaces can be split up
 - "Physics" is two different things
 - Collision detection
 - Collision resolution and integration

- Prefer smaller, simpler interfaces
 - These are two different components
 - Collider component
 - Physics component
 - Don't always need both
 - Triggers need to know if they collide with the player, but can't be pushed around and are not affected by gravity

- Prefer smaller, simpler interfaces
 - Simpler Collider interface
 - IsColliding(GameObject* other_object)
 - Can swap out different implementations
 - BoxCollider vs CircleCollider
 - Both have the same interface
 - Using "good" inheritance in C++
 - Triggers don't care about how they're colliding
 - They only care that they are colliding

```
class Collider
public:
 virtual bool IsColliding(GameObject* object) const = 0;
};
class BoxCollider : public Collider
public:
  virtual bool IsColliding(GameObject* object) const;
 AABB m Box;
class CircleCollider : public Collider
public:
 virtual bool IsColliding(GameObject* object) const;
 float m_Radius;
```

- Using data to control logic
 - i.e., read a list of commands from a text file
 - This can include commands for building objects
 - Lists of components and data

```
Enemy {
   Transform { scale = 2 }
   Health { max = 10 }
   Attack { speed = 2, damage = 5 }
   BoxCollider { width = 2, height = 3 }
   Physics { mass = 10, use_gravity = true }
   AI { script = "chase_player" }
   Sprite { image = "mean_dude.png" }
}
```

Easily build new objects from existing components

```
Trap {
   Transform { scale = 1 }
   BoxCollider { width = 4, height = 4 }
   Trigger { script = "hurt_player", fire_once = true }
}

Coin {
   Transform { scale = 1 }
   CircleCollider { radius = 1 }
   Physics { mass = 1, use_gravity = true }
   Trigger { script = "give_coin", fire_once = true }
   Sprite { image = "shiny_penny.png" }
}
```

- Reduces iteration time
 - Designers don't need to wait on programmers for every change
 - Does not require a recompile of the game
- Use it everywhere you can
 - Remove as much data as possible from the code

Coding Components in C++

- Actually using components requires some work
 - This requires some in-depth knowledge of C++
 - Not hard stuff, but it may all be new to you
 - Topics include:
 - Inheritance
 - Virtual functions
 - Standard containers
 - Object lifetime management
 - Constructors and destructors

```
class GameObjectComponent
public:
 virtual std::string GetName() const = 0;
 virtual void Update(double dt) = 0;
 GameObject* m Parent;
class GameObject
public:
 ~GameObject();
  void AddComponent(GameObjectComponent* cmp);
  std::map<std::string, GameObjectComponent*> m_Components;
```

- GameObjectComponent is an interface
 - Every component has a name (Sprite, Transform, etc.)
 - Every component can be updated each frame
 - (actually not that great of a design, but it fits on a slide)
 - "Pure virtual" methods define interface
- GameObject is just a container of components
 - It aggregates other objects to make a more interesting whole

```
class GameObjectComponent
public:
 virtual std::string GetName() const = 0;
 virtual void Update(double dt) = 0;
 GameObject* m_Parent;
class GameObject
public:
 ~GameObject();
 void AddComponent(GameObjectComponent* cmp);
 GameObjectComponent* GetComponent(std::string name);
 std::map<std::string, GameObjectComponent*> m Components;
```

- Sprite and Trigger are specific components
 - They implement the same component interface
 - Can ask for their name and update them
 - They do not require any special code to interact with them
 - Yet they do totally different things
 - In C++ terms, they derive from a common base class and override virtual methods

- Add a component to an object
 - Really simply
 - Basic use of STL containers
 - Inserts component into map, sets parent

```
void GameObject::AddComponent(GameObjectComponent* component)
{
    m_Components[component->GetName()] = component;
    component->m_Parent = this;
}
```

- Finding components
 - Basic (but really ugly) use of STL containers
 - Checks if component exists and returns it

```
GameObjectComponent* GameObject::GetComponent(std::string name)
{
   std::map<std::string, GameObjectComponent*>::iterator i =
        m_Components.find(name);
   if (i == m_Components.end())
        return NULL;
   else
        return i->second;
}
```

- Updating components
 - Basic (but really ugly) use of STL containers
 - Forwards a method call to all components

```
void GameObject::Update(double dt)
{
    for (std::map<std::string, GameObjectComponent*>::iterator
        i = m_Components.begin(), e = m_Components.end();
        i != e; ++i)
    {
        i->second->Update(dt);
    }
}
```

- Clean up after yourself
 - GameObject owns its components
 - It's responsible for freeing their resources

```
GameObject::~GameObject()
{
   for (std::map<std::string, GameObjectComponent*>::iterator
        i = m_Components.begin(), e = m_Components.end();
        i != e; ++i)
        {
        delete i->second;
     }
}
```

- Real power of components comes from data
 - Ability to dynamically create objects from text files
 - Needs a text file reader/parser
 - TinyXML
 - http://www.grinninglizard.com/tinyxml2/index.html
 - Jansson
 - http://www.digip.org/jansson/
 - IO Streams
 - Standard C++ library

- Mapping text to code
 - Text file will specify names of components
 - Text file will specify component data
 - Need a method to create a component from text name
 - Need a method to popular component fields from text values

- Factory pattern
 - A factory is a method for creating objects based on an identifier
 - Often implemented as a simple switch statement or a series of if/else statements
 - More complicated and flexible factories are possible, but totally unnecessary in most cases

- Component factory
 - Add an "if" statement for each component

```
GameObjectComponent* ComponentFactory(std::string name)
{
  if (name == "Sprite")
    return new SpriteComponent();
  else if (name == "Trigger")
    return new TriggerComponent();
  else
    return NULL;
}
```

- Reading component data
 - Components have member variables
 - e.g., SpriteComponent has an image property
 - Need to convert from text to these values
 - Called "deserialization" or "unmarshalling"
 - Convert to a file is "serialization" or "marshalling".
 - Requires a new interface method in GameObjectComponent

Add a new set of methods to the interface

```
class GameObjectComponent
{
    //...
    virtual void Deserialize(Reader* reader) = 0;
    //...
};

class SpriteComponent : public GameObjectComponent
{
    //...
    virtual void Deserialize(Reader* reader);
    //...
};
```

- Reader is an interface
 - It has a ReadNext() method
 - What or how it reads is irrelevant
 - Maybe it reads XML, maybe JSON, maybe binary, maybe some custom text protocol
 - Components don't need to know or care
 - Actual implement might be XmlReader
 - Same interface no matter which implementation
 - We only care about what it DOES, not what it IS

- Implement Deserialize for each component
 - Add a new "if" statement for each property
 - Simple, unsophisticated, works just fine

```
void SpriteComponent::Deserialize(Reader* reader)
{
   std::string name, value;
   while (reader->ReadNext(name, value))
   {
     if (name == "image")
        m_Image = value;
   }
}
```

- Logic or Data?
 - Components can contain logic, like the Update() method
 - Components contain data used by logic
 - Some components are just data, like Transform
 - Some components are just logic, like Al
 - Some components can be both, like Sprite
 - It contains data about the sprite to draw
 - It can have the logic to draw... but should it?

- Components should be used everywhere
 - That means that the game engine is made up of components, too
 - No, not GameObjectComponents, but EngineComponents, i.e. Systems
 - PhysicsSystem, GraphicsSystem, InputSystem, LogicSystem, etc.
 - Should these be primarily logic or data?
 - Does GraphicsSystem do any drawing, or does it just contain scene data for game objects?

- Use logic in engine systems
 - All physics logic is done by PhysicsSystem
 - All rendering is done by GraphicsSystem
- Use only data in game object components
 - SpriteComponent just references a sprite
 - PhysicsComponent just has mass and velocity
- Systems manipulate game objects
 - Iterate list of game objects, or lists of components

- Advantages to keeping logic in systems
 - Control over ordering
 - Ensuring all physics updates happen before all graphics updates
 - Efficiency
 - No need to call Update() on objects that don't have any components that need updating
 - Easier to handle more complex inter-object logic needs
 - Sorting sprites for rendering, etc.

- Intrusive linked lists are your friends
 - Each component class has next and previous members
 - Each system has a Register/Unregister pair of methods to register their relevant components
 - Components register themselves with systems
 - Systems can iterate over all relevant components easily

```
class SpriteComponent : public GameObjectComponent
 SpriteComponent* m Previous;
 SpriteComponent* m_Next;
SpriteComponent::SpriteComponent()
 g_Engine->m_GraphicsSystem.Register(this);
SpriteComponent::~SpriteComponent()
 g_Engine->m_GraphicsSystem.Unregister(this);
```

```
class GraphicsSystem
public:
  SpriteComponent* m_Head;
};
GraphicsSystem::Register(SpriteComponent* cmp)
  cmp->m Next = m Head;
  cmp->m_Previous = NULL;
 m_{Head} = cmp;
GraphicsSystem::Unregister(SpriteComponent* cmp)
  // seriously, you know how to do this
```

- There are many places to use components
- Al Behaviors
 - Build complex intelligent agents out of small, simpler behaviors
 - ChaseBehavior
 - FleeBehavior
 - GuardBehavior
 - PatrolBehavior
 - FindCoverBehavior

- User Interface Controls
 - ClickableControl
 - ScrollableControl
 - DraggableControl
 - TextControl
 - IconControl
 - ContainerControl

- Particle effect system
 - SpawnEffect
 - GravityEffect
 - TimeEffect
 - DistanceEffect
 - ForceEffect
 - InterpolateEffect

Closing Thoughts

Closing Thoughts

- Components are a tool
 - You don't need to use every tool
 - For simpler games, the costs of adding components might outweigh the benefits
 - Thousands and thousands of games have been written, shipped, and loved, all without components
 - Same goes for other tools (scripting, metadata, editors, even C++)

Additional Resources

- Evolving Your Hierarchy (Mick West)
 - http://cowboyprogramming.com/2007/01/05/evolve-y
- Game Object Structure: Inheritance vs Aggregation (Kyle Wilson)
 - http://www.gamearchitect.net/Articles/GameObjects
- Game 200 Lectures (Chris Peters, Ben Ellinger)
 - DigiPen Institute of Technology, GameCentral on Moodle

Questions?

```
std::queue<Question> m_QuestionQueue;

void EndLecture()
{
  while (!m_QuestionQueue.empty())
  {
    Answer(m_QuestionQueue.front());
    m_QuestionQueue.pop();
  }
}
```