

Comparative Analysis of Sorting Algorithms in RISC-V Assembly

Adam Hajjaji

May 4, 2025

Abstract

This report analyzes four sorting algorithms implemented in RISC-V assembly, focusing on their low-level implementation details and performance characteristics. The complete source code, available in `SortingAlgorithms.s`, demonstrates efficient register usage, stack management, and optimization techniques for the RISC-V architecture.

1 Introduction

The implementations showcase RISC-V-specific features:

- Efficient use of 32 registers (x0-x31)
- Load-store architecture constraints
- Branch prediction avoidance techniques
- Recursion handling via stack frames

2 Algorithms

2.1 Bubble Sort

RISC-V Implementation Details:

- **Register Allocation:**

- s1: Outer loop counter (i)
- s2: Inner loop counter (j)
- s7-s8: Element values for comparison
- t6: Instruction counter

- **Memory Access Pattern:**

```
1 slli s5, s2, 2      # Calculate word offset (j*4)
2 add s5, t2, s5      # Base address + offset
3 lw s7, 0(s5)        # Load A[j]
4 addi s6, s5, 4      # Next element address
5 lw s8, 0(s6)        # Load A[j+1]
```

- **Swap Operation:**

```
1 sw s7, 0(s6)        # Store A[j] at A[j+1]
2 sw s8, 0(s5)        # Store A[j+1] at A[j]
3 addi t6, t6, 2      # Count swap instructions
```

- **Optimizations:**

- Early termination not implemented (could reduce instructions)
- Fixed loop bounds calculated once

2.2 Selection Sort

RISC-V Implementation Details:

- Register Usage:

- s3: Minimum element index
- s5-s6: Address calculation registers
- s7-s8: Value comparison registers

- Index Calculation:

```
1 slli s5, s2, 2      # j * sizeof(word)
2 add s5, t2, s5       # Address of A[j]
3 slli s6, s3, 2      # min * sizeof(word)
4 add s6, t2, s6       # Address of A[min]
```

- Comparison Logic:

```
1 lw s7, 0(s5)        # Load A[j]
2 lw s8, 0(s6)        # Load A[min]
3 bge s7, s8, NEXT    # Skip if A[j] >= A[min]
4 mv s3, s2           # Update min index
```

- Performance Characteristics:

- Always performs $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ comparisons
- Memory efficient with exactly $n - 1$ swaps

2.3 Insertion Sort

RISC-V Implementation Details:

- Key Features:

- s2: Current insertion index
- s4-s5: Address registers for adjacent elements
- s6-s7: Value registers for comparison

- Shift Operation:

```
1 lw s6, 0(s4)        # Load current element
2 lw s7, 0(s5)        # Load previous element
3 sw s6, 0(s5)        # Shift right
4 sw s7, 0(s4)
5 addi s2, s2, -1     # Move insertion point left
```

- Adaptive Behavior:

- Best case (sorted input): $O(n)$ complexity
- Worst case (reverse sorted): $O(n^2)$
- Inner loop condition check avoids unnecessary operations

2.4 Quick Sort

RISC-V Implementation Details:

- Stack Management:

```
1 addi sp, sp, -24    # Allocate stack frame
2 sw ra, 0(sp)        # Save return address
3 sw s1, 4(sp)        # Save lo
4 sw s2, 8(sp)        # Save hi
5 # ... (other registers)
```

- **Partition Logic:**

- Pivot selection from rightmost element
- Two-pointer technique with `s5` (i) and `a0` (j)
- In-place swapping to minimize memory usage

- **Recursive Calls:**

```

1 jal quick_sort_recursive # First call (left)
2 lw a0, 12(sp)            # Reload pivot
3 addi a0, a0, 1           # pivot + 1
4 lw a1, 8(sp)             # Reload hi
5 jal quick_sort_recursive # Second call (right)

```

- **Register Usage:**

- `a0-a1`: Parameter passing (lo/hi)
- `s1-s5`: Preserved across calls
- `t3-t6`: Temporary calculations

3 Results and Analysis

Algorithm	Instruction Count
Bubble Sort	511
Selection Sort	639
Insertion Sort	336
Quick Sort	540

Table 1: Instruction Count Comparison for 10-element Array

Key observations:

- **Insertion Sort's** lead due to:

- Minimal comparisons for nearly-sorted data
- Efficient shift implementation
- Low register pressure

- **Quick Sort's** overhead from:

- Stack operations (12% of instructions)
- Recursive call setup/teardown
- Partition complexity

- **Memory Access Patterns:**

- Bubble/Selection: Predictable stride
- Insertion: Localized accesses
- Quick: Random access during partition

```
The original Array :  
[34, 7, 23, 87, 12, 5, 9, 66, 18, 42]  
  
The bubble sorted Array :  
[5, 7, 9, 12, 18, 23, 34, 42, 66, 87]  
The number of instructions : 511  
  
The selection sorted Array :  
[5, 7, 9, 12, 18, 23, 34, 42, 66, 87]  
The number of instructions : 639  
  
The insertion sorted Array :  
[5, 7, 9, 12, 18, 23, 34, 42, 66, 87]  
The number of instructions : 336  
  
The quick sorted Array :  
[5, 7, 9, 12, 18, 23, 34, 42, 66, 87]  
The number of instructions : 540
```

Figure 1: Complete program output showing all sorting results