


# Deductive agents

Madalina Croitoru

University of Montpellier



Based on “An Introduction to MultiAgent Systems” by Michael Wooldridge, John Wiley & Sons, 2002.

<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~mjw/pubs/im as/>

# Agent Architectures

- ▶ An *agent* is a computer system capable of *flexible autonomous action*...
- ▶ Issues one needs to address in order to build agent-based systems...
- ▶ Three types of agent *architecture*:
  - ▶ symbolic/logical
  - ▶ reactive
  - ▶ hybrid

# Agent Architectures

- ▶ Originally (1956-1985), pretty much all agents designed within AI were *symbolic reasoning* agents
- ▶ Problems with symbolic reasoning led to a reaction against this — the so-called *reactive agents* movement, 1985-present
- ▶ From 1990-present, a number of alternatives proposed: *hybrid* architectures, which attempt to combine the best of reasoning and reactive architectures

# Symbolic Reasoning Agents

- ▶ The classical approach to building agents is to view them as a particular type of knowledge-based system
- ▶ This paradigm is known as *symbolic AI*
- ▶ We define a deliberative agent or agent architecture to be one that:
  - ▶ contains an explicitly represented, symbolic model of the world
  - ▶ makes decisions (for example about what actions to perform) via symbolic reasoning

# Symbolic Reasoning Agents

- ▶ Two key (yet unsolved) problems to be solved:

1. *The transduction problem:*  
that of translating the real world into an accurate, adequate symbolic description, in time for that description to be useful...vision, speech understanding, learning
2. *The representation/reasoning problem:*  
how to symbolically represent information about complex real-world entities and processes, and how to get agents to reason with this information in time for the results to be useful...knowledge representation, automated reasoning, automatic planning

# Deductive Reasoning Agents

- ▶ How can an agent decide what to do using theorem proving?
- ▶ Basic idea is to use logic to encode a theory stating the *best* action to perform in any given situation
- ▶ Let:
  - ▶  $\rho$  be this theory (typically a set of rules)
  - ▶  $\Delta$  be a logical database that describes the current state of the world
  - ▶  $Ac$  be the set of actions the agent can perform
  - ▶  $\Delta \mid_{\rho} \varphi$  mean that  $\varphi$  can be proved from  $\Delta$  using  $\rho$

# Deductive Reasoning Agents

*/\* try to find an action explicitly prescribed \*/*

for each  $a \in Ac$  do

if  $\Delta \models_{\rho} Do(a)$  then

return  $a$

end-if

end-for

*/\* try to find an action not excluded \*/*

for each  $a \in Ac$  do

if  $\Delta \not\models_{\rho} \neg Do(a)$  then

return  $a$

end-if


end-for

return *null* */\* no action found \*/*



# Deductive Reasoning Agents

- ▶ An example: The Vacuum World
- ▶ Goal is for the robot to clear up all dirt



# Deductive Reasoning Agents

- ▶ Use 3 *domain predicates* to solve problem:

$In(x, y)$  agent is at  $(x, y)$

$Dirt(x, y)$  there is dirt at  $(x, y)$

$Facing(d)$  the agent is facing direction  $d$

- ▶ Possible actions:

$Ac = \{turn, forward, suck\}$

P.S. *turn* means “turn right”

# Deductive Reasoning Agents

- Rules  $p$  for determining what to do:

$$In(0,0) \wedge Facing(north) \wedge \neg Dirt(0,0) \longrightarrow Do(forward)$$
$$In(0,1) \wedge Facing(north) \wedge \neg Dirt(0,1) \longrightarrow Do(forward)$$
$$In(0,2) \wedge Facing(north) \wedge \neg Dirt(0,2) \longrightarrow Do(turn)$$
$$In(0,2) \wedge Facing(east) \longrightarrow Do(forward)$$

- ...and so on!
- Using these rules (+ other obvious ones), starting at (0, 0) the robot will clear up dirt

# Deductive Reasoning Agents

- ▶ Problems:
  - ▶ How to convert video camera input to *Dirt*(0, 1)?
  - ▶ Decision making assumes a *static* environment: *calculative* rationality
- ▶ Even where we use *propositional* logic, decision making in the worst case means solving co-NP-complete problems (PS: co-NP-complete = bad news!)
- ▶ Typical solutions:
  - ▶ weaken the logic
  - ▶ use symbolic, non-logical representations
  - ▶ shift the emphasis of reasoning from *run time* to *design time*

# Exercise for this week

- ▶ Choose one scenario from last week homework
- ▶ Try to implement it using a symbolic approach using the imperative programming language of your choice
  - ▶ What problems do you see combining imperative programming and deductive agents?
- ▶ (Optional) Try and implement the same scenario using Prolog. Is this solution easier than the imperative programming one?