JSON-B: Java™ API for JSON Binding

Version 1.0 Early Draft
March 11, 2015

Editors:
Martin Grebac

Comments to: users@jsonb-spec.java.net

Oracle Corporation
500 Oracle Parkway, Redwood Shores, CA 94065 USA.

JSR-367 Java API for JSON Binding ("Specification")
Version: 1.0
Status: Early Draft
Release: March 11, 2015

TBD

Contents

Chapter 1

Introduction

This specification defines binding API between Java objects and JSON [4] documents. Readers are assumed to be familiar with JSON; for more information about JSON, see:

- Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures[7]
- The REST Wiki[8]
- JSON on Wikipedia[5]

1.1 Status

This is an early draft; this specification is not yet complete. A list of open issues can be found at:

http://java.net/jira/browse/JSONB_SPEC

The corresponding Javadocs can be found online at:

http://jsonb-spec.java.net/

The reference implementation will be obtained from:

http://eclipselink.org/

The expert group seeks feedback from the community on any aspect of this specification, please send comments to:

users@jsonb-spec.java.net

1.2 Goals

The following are the goals of the API:

JSON Support binding (marshalling and unmarshalling) for all RFC 7159 compatible JSON documents.

Relationships to JSON Related specifications JSON related specifications will be surveyed to determine their relationship to JSON-Binding.

Consistency Maintain consistency with JAXB (Java API for XML Binding) and other JavaEE and SE APIs where appropriate.

Convention Define default mapping of Java classes and instances to JSON document counterparts.

Customization Allow to customize the default mapping definition.

Ease Of Use Default use of the APIs SHOULD NOT require prior knowledge of the JSON document format and specification.

Partial Mapping In many usecases, only a subset of JSON Document is required to be mapped to a Java object instance.

Integration Define or enable integration with following Java EE technology standards:

- JSR 374 - Java API for JSON Processing (JSON-P) 1.1
- JSR 349 - Bean Validation (BV) 1.1
- JSR 370 - JavaTM API for RESTful Web Services (JAX-RS) 2.1

1.3 Non-Goals

The following are non-goals:

Preserving equivalence (Round-trip) The specification recommends, but does not require equivalence of content for unmarshalled and marshalled JSON documents.

JSON Schema Generation of JSON Schema from Java classes, as well as validation based on JSON schema is out of scope of this specification.

JEP 198 Lightweight JSON API Support Support and integration with Lightweight JSON API as defined within JEP 198 is out of scope of this specification. Will be reconsidered in future specification revisions.

1.4 Conventions

The keywords 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119[1].

Java code and sample data fragments are formatted as shown in figure 1.1:

URIs of the general form 'http://example.org/...' and 'http://example.com/...' represent application or context-dependent URIs.

All parts of this specification are normative, with the exception of examples, notes and sections explicitly marked as 'Non-Normative'. Non-normative notes are formatted as shown below.

Note: *This is a note.*

Figure 1.1: Example Java Code

```
1  package com.example.hello;
2
3  public class Hello {
4      public static void main(String args[]) {
5          System.out.println("Hello World");
6      }
7  }
```

1.5 Terminology

Databinding Process which defines representation of information in a JSON document as an object instance, and vice versa.

Unmarshalling Process of reading a JSON document and constructing a tree of content objects, where each object corresponds to part of JSON document, thus the content tree reflects the document's content.

Marshalling Inverse process to unmarshalling. Process of traversing content object tree and writing a JSON document that reflects the tree's content.

1.6 Expert Group Members

This specification is being developed as part of JSR 367 under the Java Community Process. It is the result of the collaborative work of the members of the JSR 367 Expert Group. The following are the present expert group members:

- Martin Grebac (Oracle)
- Martin Vojtek (Oracle)
- Hendrik Saly (Individual Member)
- Gregor Zurowski (Individual Member)
- Inderjeet Singh (Individual Member)
- Eugen Cepoi (Individual Member)
- Przemyslaw Bielicki (Individual Member)
- Kyung Koo Yoon (TmaxSoft, Inc.)
- Otavio Santana (Individual Member)
- Rick Curtis (IBM)
- Alexander Salvanos (Individual Member)
- Romain Manni-Bucau (Tomitribe)

1.7 Acknowledgements

During the course of this JSR we received many excellent suggestions. Special thanks to ... .

During the course of this JSR we received many excellent suggestions on the JSR java.net project mailing lists, thanks in particular to ... for their contributions. The following individuals have also made invaluable technical contributions: ... .

Chapter 2

Runtime API

JSON-B Runtime API provides access to marshalling and unmarshalling operations for manipulating with JSON documents and mapped JSON-B classes and instances. The full specification of the binding framework is available in the javadoc for the `javax.json.bind` package accompanied with this specification.

Chapter 3

Default Mapping

This section defines the default binding (representation) of Java components and classes within Java programming language to JSON documents. The default binding defined here can be further customized as specified in Chapter 4 - Customizing Mapping.

3.1 General

JSON Binding implementations ('implementations' in further text) MUST support binding of JSON documents as defined in RFC 7159 JSON Grammar [4]. Marshalled JSON output MUST conform to the RFC 7159 JSON Grammar [4] and be encoded in UTF-8 encoding as defined in Section 8.1 (Character Encoding) of RFC 7159 [4]. [JSB-3.1-1] Implementations MUST support unmarshalling of documents conforming to RFC 7159 JSON Grammar [4]. [JSB-3.1-2] In addition, implementations SHOULD NOT allow unmarshalling of RFC 7159 [4] non-conforming text (e.g. unsupported encoding, ...) and report error in such case. [JSB-3.1-3] Detection of UTF encoding of unmarshalled document is done as defined in the Section 3 (Encoding) of RFC 4627 [3]. [JSB-3.1-4] Implementations SHOULD ignore presence of UTF byte order mark (BOM) and not treat it as an error.[JSB-3.1-5]

3.2 Errors

Implementations SHOULD NOT allow unmarshalling of RFC 7159 [4] non-conforming text (e.g. unsupported encoding, ...) and report error in such case. [JSB-3.2-1] Implementation should report error also during unmarshalling operation, if it is not possible to represent JSON document value in the expected Java type. [JSB-3.2-2]

3.3 Basic Java Types

Implementations MUST support binding of the following basic Java classes and their corresponding primitive types: [JSB-3.3-1]

- java.lang.String
- java.lang.Character
- java.lang.Byte

- java.lang.Short
- java.lang.Integer
- java.lang.Long
- java.lang.Float
- java.lang.Double
- java.lang.Boolean

3.3.1 java.lang.String, Character

Instances of type java.lang.String and java.lang.Character are marshalled to JSON String values as defined within RFC 7159 Section 7 (Strings) [4] in UTF-8 encoding without byte order mark. [JSB-3.3.1-1] Implementations SHOULD support unmarshaling of JSON text in other (than UTF-8) UTF encodings into java.lang.String instances.[JSB-3.3.1-2]

3.3.2 java.lang.Byte, Short, Integer, Long, Float, Double

Instances of type java.lang.Byte, Short, Integer, Long, Float, Double and their corresponding primitive types are marshalled to JSON Number with conversion defined in specification for their corresponding toString method [JSB-3.3.2-1]. Unmarshalling of JSON value into java.lang.Byte, Short, Integer, Long, Float, Double instance or corresponding primitive type is done with conversion as defined in the specification for their corresponding parse$Type method, such as java.lang.Byte.parseByte for Byte. [JSB-3.3.2-2]

3.3.3 java.lang.Boolean

Instances of type java.lang.Boolean and its corresponding boolean primitive type are marshalled to JSON value with conversion defined in specification for java.lang.Boolean.toString method [JSB-3.3.3-1]. Unmarshalling of JSON value into java.lang.Boolean instance or boolean primitive type is done with conversion as defined in specification for java.lang.Boolean.parseBoolean method. [JSB-3.3.3-2]

3.3.4 java.lang.Number

Instances of type java.lang.Number (if their more concrete type is not defined elsewhere in this chapter) are marshalled to JSON string by retrieving double value returned from java.lang.Number.doubleValue() method and converting the value to JSON Number as defined in subsection 3.3.2 java.lang.Byte, Short, Integer, Long, Float, Double. [JSB-3.3.4-1].
Unmarshalling of JSON value into Java type java.lang.Number should return instance of java.math.BigDecimal by using conversion as defined in the specification for constructor of java.math.BigDecimal with java.lang.String. [JSB-3.3.4-2]

3.4 Specific Standard Java SE Types

Implementations MUST support binding of the following standard Java SE classes: [JSB-3.4-1]

- java.math.BigInteger

- java.math.BigDecimal
- java.net.URL
- java.net.URI
- java.util.Optional
- java.util.OptionalInt
- java.util.OptionalLong
- java.util.OptionalDouble

3.4.1 java.math.BigInteger, BigDecimal

Instances of type java.math.BigInteger, BigDecimal are marshalled to JSON Number with conversion defined in specification for their toString method [JSB-3.4.1-1]. Unmarshalling of JSON value into java.math.BigInteger, BigDecimal instance is done with conversion as defined in the specification for constructor of java.math.BigInteger, BigDecimal with java.lang.String. [JSB-3.4.1-2]

3.4.2 java.net.URL, URI

Instances of type java.net.URL, URI are marshalled to JSON String value with conversion defined in specification for their toString method [JSB-3.4.2-1]. Unmarshalling of JSON value into java.net.URL, URI instance is done with conversion as defined in the specification for constructor of java.net.URL, URI with java.lang.String input. [JSB-3.4.2-2]

3.4.3 java.util.Optional, OptionalInt, OptionalLong, OptionalDouble

Non-empty instances of type java.util.Optional, OptionalInt, OptionalLong, OptionalDouble are marshalled to JSON value by retrieving their contained instance and converting it to JSON value based on its type and corresponding mapping definitions within this chapter. [JSB-3.4.3-1] Empty optional instances marshalled as object instance properties are ignored in marshalling. [JSB-3.4.3-2] Empty optional instances marshalled as JSON array elements are marshalled as null value [JSB-3.4.3-3]. Unmarshalling into Optional, OptionalInt, OptionalLong, OptionalDouble returns empty optional value for properties which are not present in JSON document or contain null value. [JSB-3.4.2-4] Otherwise any non-empty Optional, OptionalInt, OptionalLong, OptionalDouble value is constructed of type unmarshalled based on mappings defined in this chapter.[JSB-3.4.2-5]

3.5 Dates

Implementations MUST support binding of the following standard Java date/time classes: [JSB-3.5-1]

- java.util.Date
- java.util.Calendar
- java.util.GregorianCalendar
- java.util.TimeZone
- java.util.SimpleTimeZone

- java.time.Instant
- java.time.Duration
- java.time.Period
- java.time.LocalDate
- java.time.LocalTime
- java.time.LocalDateTime
- java.time.ZonedDateTime
- java.time.ZoneId
- java.time.ZoneOffset
- java.time.OffsetDateTime
- java.time.OffsetTime

If not specified otherwise in this section, GMT standard time zone and offset specified from UTC Greenwich is used. [JSB-3.5-2] If not specified otherwise, date time format for marshalling and unmarshalling is ISO 8601 without offset, as specified in java.time.format.DateTimeFormatter.ISO_DATE. [JSB-3.5-3] Implementations MUST report error if the datetime string in JSON document does not correspond to the expected datetime format. [JSB-3.5-4]

3.5.1 java.util.Date, Calendar, GregorianCalendar

Marshalling format of java.util.Date, Calendar, GregorianCalendar instances with no time information is ISO_DATE. [JSB-3.5.1-1]. If time information is present, the format is ISO_DATE_TIME [JSB-3.5.1-2].

Implementations MUST support unmarshalling of both ISO_DATE and ISO_DATE_TIME into java.util.Date, Calendar, GregorianCalendar instances. [JSB-3.5.1-3] For properties and fields specified as java.util.Calendar type, instance of java.util.GregorianCalendar SHOULD be returned. [JSB-3.5.1-4]

3.5.2 java.util.TimeZone, SimpleTimeZone

Implementations MUST support unmarshalling of any time zone format specified in java.util.TimeZone into field or property of type java.util.TimeZone, SimpleTimezone. [JSB-3.5.2-1] Implementations MUST report error for deprecated three-letter time zone IDs as specified in java.util.Timezone. [JSB-3.5.2-2] For properties and fields specified as java.util.TimeZone, instance of java.util.SimpleTimeZone SHOULD be returned. [JSB-3.5.2-3] Marshalling format of java.util.TimeZone, SimpleTimeZone is NormalizedCustomID as specified in java.util.TimeZone. [JSB-3.5.2-4]

3.5.3 java.time.*

Marshalling output for java.time.Instant instance is ISO_INSTANT format, as specified in java.time.format.DateTimeFormatt
[JSB-3.5.3-1] Implementations MUST support unmarshalling of ISO_INSTANT format from JSON string to a java.time.Instant instance. [JSB-3.5.3-2]

Analogically, for other java.time.* classes, following mapping table matches Java types and corresponding formats: [JSB-3.5.3-3]

Java Type	Format
java.time.Instant	ISO_INSTANT
java.time.LocalDate	ISO_LOCAL_DATE
java.time.LocalTime	ISO_LOCAL_TIME
java.time.LocalDateTime	ISO_LOCAL_DATE_TIME
java.time.ZonedDateTime	ISO_ZONED_DATE_TIME
java.time.OffsetDateTime	ISO_OFFSET_DATE_TIME
java.time.OffsetTime	ISO_OFFSET_TIME

Implementations MUST support unmarshalling of any time zone ID format specified in java.time.ZoneId into field or property of type java.time.ZoneId. [JSB-3.5.3-4] Marshalling format of java.time.ZoneId is normalized zone ID as specified in java.time.ZoneId. [JSB-3.5.3-5]

Implementations MUST support unmarshalling of any time zone ID format specified in java.time.ZoneOffset into field or property of type java.time.ZoneOffset. [JSB-3.5.3-6] Marshalling format of java.time.ZoneOffset is normalized zone ID as specified in java.time.ZoneOffset. [JSB-3.5.3-7]

Implementations MUST support unmarshalling of any duration format specified in java.time.Duration into field or property of type java.time.Duration. [JSB-3.5.3-8] This is super-set of ISO 8601 duration format. Marshalling format of java.time.Duration is ISO 8601 seconds based representation, such as `PT8H6M12.345S`. [JSB-3.5.3-9]

Implementations MUST support unmarshalling of any period format specified in java.time.Period into field or property of type java.time.Period. [JSB-3.5.3-10] This is super-set of ISO 8601 period format. Marshalling format of java.time.Period is ISO 8601 period representation. [JSB-3.5.3-11] Zero length period is represented as zero days `'P0D'`. [JSB-3.5.3-12]

3.6 Untyped mapping

For unspecified output type of unmarshal operation, as well as where output type is specified as Object.class, implementations should unmarshal JSON document using Java runtime types specified in table below: [JSB-3.6-1]

JSON value	Java type
object	java.util.LinkedHashMap <String,Object >
array	java.util.ArrayList <Object >
string	java.lang.String
number	java.math.Integer—Long—BigDecimal
true, false	java.lang.Boolean
null	null

JSON number values are unmarshalled into smallest of types Integer, Long, BigDecimal which can hold the value represented by number without loss of value or precision.[JSB-3.6-2]

3.7 Java Class

Any instance passed to unmarshalling operation MUST have public or protected no-argument constructor. [JSB-3.7-1] This limitation does not apply to marshalling operations. [JSB-3.7-2]

3.7.1 Scope and Field access strategy

For unmarshalling operation for a Java property, if a matching setter method exists, the method is called to set the value of the property, otherwise direct field assignment is used. [JSB-3.7.1-1] For marshalling operation, if a matching getter method exists, the method is called to obtain value of the property, otherwise the value is obtained directly from the field. [JSB-3.7.1-2]

JSON Binding implementations MUST NOT unmarshal into transient, final or static fields and report error if JSON document contains values corresponding to such fields. [JSB-3.7.1-3]

Implementations MUST support marshalling of final fields. [JSB-3.7.1-4] Transient and static fields MUST be ignored during marshalling operation. [JSB-3.7.1-5]

3.7.2 Nested Classes

Implementations MUST support binding of public and protected nested classes. [JSB-3.7.2-1] For unmarshalling operations, both nested and encapsulating class MUST fulfil same instantiation requirement as specified in subsection 3.7.1 Scope and Field access strategy. [JSB-3.7.2-2]

3.7.3 Static Nested Classes

Implementations MUST support binding of public and protected static nested classes. [JSB-3.7.3-1] For unmarshalling operations, the nested class MUST fulfil same instantiation requirement as specified in subsection 3.7.1 Scope and Field access strategy. [JSB-3.7.3-2]

3.7.4 Anonymous Classes

Unmarshalling into anonymous classes is not supported, marshalling of anonymous classes is supported by default object mapping. [JSB-3.7.2-1]

3.8 Polymorphic Types

Unmarshalling into polymorphic types is not supported by default mapping. [JSB-3.8-1]

3.9 Enum

Enum instances are marshalled to JSON String value with conversion defined in specification for their toString method [JSB-3.9-1]. Unmarshalling of JSON value into enum instance is done by calling enum's valueOf(String) method. [JSB-3.9-2]

3.10 Interfaces

Implementations MUST support unmarshalling of specific interfaces defined in section 3.11 Collections, and subsection 3.3.4 java.lang.Number. [JSB-3.10-1] Unmarshalling to other interfaces is not supported and implementations SHOULD report error in such case. [JSB-3.10-2] If class property is defined with

an interface, and not concrete type, mapping for marshalling the property is resolved based on its runtime type.[JSB-3.10-3]

3.11 Collections

Implementations MUST support binding of the following collection interfaces, classes and their implementations. [JSB-3.11-1] Implementations of interfaces below MUST provide accessible default constructor.[JSB-3.11-2] JSON Binding implementations MUST report unmarshalling error if default constructor is not present or is not in accessible scope. [JSB-3.11-3]

- java.util.Collection
- java.util.Map
- java.util.Set
- java.util.HashSet
- java.util.NavigableSet
- java.util.SortedSet
- java.util.TreeSet
- java.util.LinkedHashSet
- java.util.TreeHashSet
- java.util.HashMap
- java.util.NavigableMap
- java.util.SortedMap
- java.util.TreeMap
- java.util.LinkedHashMap
- java.util.TreeHashMap
- java.util.List
- java.util.ArrayList
- java.util.LinkedList
- java.util.Deque
- java.util.ArrayDeque
- java.util.Queue
- java.util.PriorityQueue
- java.util.EnumSet
- java.util.EnumMap

For interfaces defined above, following table defines default implementation types. Default implementation type for a class, field or property with interface type is the exact type used at runtime to unmarshall JSON values into the field or property. [JSB-3.11-4]

Interface	Default implementation type
java.util.Collection	java.util.ArrayList
java.util.Set	java.util.HashSet
java.util.NavigableSet	java.util.TreeSet
java.util.SortedSet	java.util.TreeSet
java.util.Map	java.util.HashMap
java.util.SortedMap	java.util.TreeMap
java.util.NavigableMap	java.util.TreeMap
java.util.Deque	java.util.ArrayDeque
java.util.Queue	java.util.ArrayDeque

3.12 Arrays

JSON Binding implementations MUST support binding of Java arrays of all supported Java types from this chapter into/from JSON array structures as defined in Section 5 of RFC 7159 [4]. [JSB-3.12-1] Arrays of primitive types and multi-dimensional arrays MUST be supported. [JSB-3.6-2]

3.13 Attribute order

For marshalling operation the implementations MAY, but are NOT REQUIRED to preserve order of declared fields into resulting JSON document. [JSB-3.13-1] However, the attribute order MUST be deterministic within given implementation - any two marshalling operations within same JSON Binding implementation on the same object instance MUST output the same JSON document. [JSB-3.13-2]

3.14 Null value handling

3.14.1 Null Java field

The result of marshalling java field with null value is absence of the property in resulting JSON document. [JSB-3.14.1-1] Unmarshalling operation of a property absent in JSON document MUST not set the value of the field, setter (if available) MUST not be called, thus original value of the field MUST be preserved. [JSB-3.14.1-2]

3.14.2 Null Array Values

The result of unmarshalling n-ary array represented in JSON document is n-ary Java array. [JSB-3.14.2-1]. Null value in JSON array is represented by null values in Java array. [JSB-3.14.2-2] Marshalling operation on Java array with null value at index i must output null value at index i of the array in resulting JSON document. [JSB-3.14.2-3]

3.15 Names and identifiers

According to RFC 7159 Section 7 [4], every Java identifier name can be transformed using identity function into a valid JSON String. Identity function should be used for transforming Java identifier names into

name Strings in JSON document. [JSB-3.15-1] For unmarshal operations defined in section 3.6 Untyped mapping section, identity function is used to transform JSON name strings into Java String instances in the resulting map Map<String, Object>. [JSB-3.15-2] Identity function is used also for other unmarshalling operations. [JSB-3.15-3] If a Java identifier with corresponding name does not exist or is not accessible, the implementations MUST report error. [JSB-3.15-4] Naming and error reporting strategies can be further customized in chapter 4 Customizing Mapping.

3.16 Generics

JSON Binding implementations MUST support binding of generic types. [JSB-3.16-1] Due to type erasure, there are situations when it is not possible to obtain generic type information.

There are two ways for JSON Binding implementations to obtain generic type information. If there is a class file available (in the following text referred as static type information), it is possible to obtain generic type information (effectively generic type declaration) from Signature attribute (if this information is present). [JSB-3.16-2] The second option is to provide generic type information at runtime. To provide generic type information at runtime, an argument of java.lang.reflect.Type MUST be passed to Jsonb::toJson or to Jsonb::fromJson method. [JSB-3.16-3]

3.16.1 Type resolution algorithm

There are several levels of information JSON Binding implementations may obtain about the type of field/class/interface: [JSB-3.16.1-1]

1. runtime type provided via java.lang.reflect.Type parameter passed to Jsonb::toJson or Jsonb::fromJson method
2. static type provided in class file (effectively stored in Signature attribute)
3. raw type
4. no information about the type

If there is no information about the type, JSON Binding implementation MUST treat this type as java.lang.Object. [JSB-3.16.1-2] If only raw type of given field/class/interface is known, then the type MUST be treated like raw type. [JSB-3.16.1-3] For example, if the only available information is that given field/class/interface is of type java.util.ArrayList, than the type MUST be treated as java.util.ArrayList<Object>.

JSON Binding implementations MUST use the most specific type derived from the information available. [JSB-3.16.1-4]

Let's consider situation where there is only static type information of a given field/class/interface known, and there is no runtime type information available. Let GenericClass$< T_1, \ldots, T_n >$ be part of generic type declaration, where GenericClass is name of the generic type and $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ are type parameters. For every T_i, where i in $1, \ldots, n$, there are 3 possible options: [JSB-3.16.1-5]

1. T_i is concrete parameter type
2. T_i is bounded parameter type

3. T_i is wildcard parameter type without bounds

In case 1, the most specific parameter type MUST be given concrete parameter type T_i. [JSB-3.16.1-6]

For bounded parameter type, using bounds $B_1, \ldots, B_m$. If m = 1, then the most specific parameter type MUST be derived from the given bound B_1. [JSB-3.16.1-7] If B_1 is class or interface, the most specific parameter type MUST be the class or interface. [JSB-3.16.1-8] Otherwise, the most specific parameter type SHOULD be java.lang.Object. [JSB-3.16.1-9]

If multiple bounds are specified, the first step is to resolve every bound separately. Let's define result of such resolution as $S_1, \ldots, S_m$ specific parameter types. If $S_1, \ldots, S_m$ are java.lang.Object, then the bounded parameter type T_i MUST be java.lang.Object. [JSB-3.16.1-10] If there is exactly one S_k, where $1 <= k <= m$ is different than java.lang.Object, then the most specific parameter type for this bounded parameter type T_i MUST be S_k. [JSB-3.16.1-11] If there exists S_{k1}, S_{k2}, where $1 <= k1 <= k2 <= m$, then the most specific parameter type is S_{k1}. [JSB-3.16.1-12]

For wildcard parameter type without bounds, the most specific parameter type MUST be java.lang.Object. [JSB-3.16.1-13]

Any unresolved type parameter MUST be treated as java.lang.Object. [JSB-3.16.1-14]

If runtime type is provided via java.lang.reflect.Type parameter passed to Jsonb::toJson or Jsonb::fromJson method, than that runtime type overrides static type declaration wherever applicable. [JSB-3.16.1-15]

There are situations when it is necessary to use combination of runtime and static type information.

Figure 3.1: Example Type resolution

```
1  public class MyGenericType<T,U> {
2      public T field1;
3      public U field2;
4  }
```

To resolve type of field1, runtime type of MyGenericType and static type of field1 is required.

Chapter 4

Customizing Mapping

JSON-B TBD

Bibliography

[1] Scott Bradner. Key words for use in rfcs to indicate requirement levels. RFC, IETF, March 1997.

[2] T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, and L. Masinter. RFC 3986: Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax. RFC, IETF, January 2005. See http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3986.txt.

[3] Douglas Crockford. The application/json media type for javascript object notation (json). RFC, IETF, July 2006.

[4] Ed. T. Bray. The javascript object notation (json) data interchange format. RFC 2070-1721, IETF, March 2014.

[5] JSON. Web site, Wikipedia. See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/JSON.

[6] Representational State Transfer. Web site, Wikipedia. See http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Representational_State_Transfer.

[7] R. Fielding. Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures. Ph.d dissertation, University of California, Irvine, 2000. See http://roy.gbiv.com/pubs/dissertation/top.htm.

[8] REST Wiki. Web site. See http://rest.blueoxen.net/cgi-bin/wiki.pl.

[9] James Gosling, Bill Joy, Guy Steele, and Gilad Bracha. The Java Language Specification - second edition. Book, Sun Microsystems, Inc, 2000. http://java.sun.com/docs/books/jls/second_edition/html/j.title.doc.html.

[10] Kohsuke Kawaguchi. The Java Architecture for XML Binding (JAXB). JSR, JCP, December 2009. See http://jcp.org/en/jsr/detail?id=222.

[11] Rajiv Mordani. Common Annotations for the Java Platform. JSR, JCP, July 2005. See http://jcp.org/en/jsr/detail?id=250.

[12] Emmanuel Bernard. Bean Validation 1.1. JSR, JCP, March 2013. See http://jcp.org/en/jsr/detail?id=349.

[13] Kin-Man Chung. Java API for JSON Processing. JSR, JCP, 2015. See http://jcp.org/en/jsr/detail?id=374.

[14] Marek Potociar Santiago Pericas-Geertsen. Java API for RESTful Web Services. JSR, JCP, 2015. See http://jcp.org/en/jsr/detail?id=370.