ECE367: Matrix Algebra and Optimization

Aman Bhargava

September-December 2020

Contents

	0.1	Introduction and Course Information									
1	Vector Space Review										
	1.1	Basic Terminology									
	1.2	Norms									
	1.3	Inner Products									
	1.4	Projection									
		1.4.1 Projection onto Subspace									
		1.4.2 Affine Projection									
2	Functions and Sets 7										
	2.1	Basic Terminology									
	2.2	Linear and Affine Functions									
	2.3	Affine Approximation									
	2.4	Chain Rule on Gradients									
3	Matrices										
	3.1	Matrix Introduction									
		3.1.1 Matrix Vector Spaces									
	3.2	Function Approximations with Matrices									
	3.3	Matrices as Linear Maps									
		3.3.1 Matrix Inverse									
	3.4	Orthogonal Matrices									
	3.5	Rank, Range, and Null Space									
4	Eigendecomposition 14										
	4.1	How to Solve for Eigenthings									
	4.2	Diagonalization									
5	Syn	nmetric Matrices 16									
	5.1	Symmetric Matrices and Quadratic Functions									
	5.2	Spectral Theorem									

	5.3	Ellipsoids										
	5.4	Matrix Square Root and Cholesky Decomposition 18										
6	_	gular Value Decomposition 20										
	6.1	Motivation										
	6.2	Steps to Creating SVD										
	6.3	Principal Component Analysis										
	6.4	SVD Matrix Properties										
	6.5	Moore-Penrose Pseudo-Inverse										
7	Systems of Linear Equations 24											
	7.1	Mathematical Setup										
	7.2	Over, Under, and Uniquely Determined Least Squares 25										
		7.2.1 Overdetermined										
		7.2.2 Uniquely Determined										
		7.2.3 Underdetermined										
	7.3	Regularized Least Squares										
	1.0	7.3.1 ℓ_2 Regularization										
	7.4	Tikhonov Regularization										
	7.5	Theoretical Aspects of Least Squares										
	1.0	7.5.1 ℓ_1 Regularization										
		7.5.2 SVD Connection										
8	Linear Programming 29											
O	8.1	Definition and Notation										
	8.2	Objective Function										
	8.3	· · ·										
	8.4	Inequality Constraint										
	8.5	Solutions to Linear Programs										
		8.5.1 Simplex Algorithm										
		8.5.2 Other Forms for LP										
9	Quadratic Programs 32											
	9.1	QP Definitions										
	9.2	Geometry										
	9.3	Quadratically Constrained Quadratic Programs										
10	Con	vexity, Sets, and Hulls 35										
		Hulls										
	10.2											
		Properties of Convexity										
		- v										

	10.4	Convex Functions								37
	10.5	Convexity by Restriction to Line								38
11	Con	vex Optimization								39
	11.1	Convex Optimization Definitions								39
	11.2	Theorems on Convex Optimization								30

0.1 Introduction and Course Information

This document offers an overview of the ECE367 course. They comprise my condensed course notes for the course. No promises are made relating to the correctness or completeness of the course notes. These notes are meant to highlight difficult concepts and explain them simply, not to comprehensively review the entire course.

Course Information

• Professor: Stark C. Draper

• Course: Engineering Science, Machine Intelligence Option

• Term: 2020 Fall

Vector Space Review

1.1 Basic Terminology

Subspaces: Set of vectors closed under addition and scaling.

Span: span(S) is the set of linear combinations of set S.

Linear Independence: You cannot express one element of a set as a linear combination of the others.

$$\sum \alpha_i v^{(i)} = 0 \text{ iff } \alpha_i = 0 \forall i \in [m]$$
(1.1)

 \exists unique representation for any vector \in span(V)

Basis: Set B is a basis iff:

- 1. B is linearly independent with d elements (d is the number of dimensions of the space).
- 2. $\operatorname{Span}(B) = V$.

Dimension: Dim(V) is the *cardinality* of any Basis(V).

Cardinality: cardinality(S) is the number of elements in set S.

Direct Sum:

- Let W_1, W_2 be subspaces of V.
- Let $U = W_1 + W_2 = \{w_1 + w_2 | w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2\}.$

- Then $\dim(U) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$
- Direct Sum: $U = W_1 \bigoplus W_2$ iff $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$ This implies that there is a **unique choice** for a representation of a vector in U.

1.2 Norms

Norm Definition: The norm function $\|\cdot\|: V \to \mathbb{R}$ with the conditions:

- 1. Norm is always greater than equal to zero, equalling zero only for the zero vector.
- 2. $||u+v|| \le ||u|| + ||v|| Triangle inequality$, very useful in proofs.
- $3. \|\alpha u\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|u\|$

ℓ_p Norm Family:

$$||x||_p = (\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
 (1.2)

For $1 \le p \le \infty$.

- Euclidian Norm: ℓ_2 norm is our conventional measure of distance in Euclidian space.
- l_1 : Is simply the sum of the absolute values in a vector.
- l_{∞} : Is the absolute value of the maximum magnitude element in the vector.

Norm Ball: $B_p = \{x \in V | ||x||_p \le 1\}.$

Level Sets: $\{x \in V | ||x||_p = c, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$

Cardinality Function: $\operatorname{card}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}\{x_k \neq 0\} \text{ i.e. the number of non-zero elements.}$

1.3 Inner Products

Inner Product Definition: $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$, as

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^T \bar{y} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \bar{y}_k \tag{1.3}$$

Where \bar{y} is the complex conjugate of y.

Cauchy-Schwartz: $|\langle x,y\rangle| \leq ||x||_2 ||y||_2$ holds for **all** inner product spaces.

Holder's Inequality: $|\langle x,y\rangle| \leq ||x||_p ||y||_q$ under the conditions $p,q\geq 1$ AND $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$.

Inner Product Space: Space where the inner product induces a norm (somewhat hand-wavey definition).

Induced Norm: For vector space \mathbb{C}^n : $||x||_2 = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$.

1.4 Projection

Goal: Find vector y^* for some vector x such that

$$y^* = \Pi Y(x) = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in Y} ||y - x|| \tag{1.4}$$

Where

- Y is the set of vectors from which you choose your y^* .
- Π is the projection operator.
- You are trying to choose optimal y^* .

1.4.1 Projection onto Subspace

Theorem 1 Projection onto multidimensional subspaces: Let $x \in V$ and $S \subseteq V$. Then there exists unique $y^* \in S$ such that

$$y^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in S} ||x - y|| \tag{1.5}$$

• We let $S = span(\{v^{(1)}, ..., v^{(n)}\}).$

• We can now write $y^* = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i v^{(i)}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \langle v^{(1)}, v^{(1)} \rangle & \dots & \langle v^{(1)}, v^{(d)} \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle v^{(d)}, v^{(1)} \rangle & \dots & \langle v^{(d)}, v^{(d)} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle v^{(1)}, x \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle v^{(d)}, x \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.6)

Note that for an orthonormal set of vectors V, the lefthand matrix becomes the identity matrix. Therefore, one can project onto an othonormal set by taking the inner products between the set elements and the vector in question.

Gram-Schmidt Procedure: How to make an orthonormal basis out of a set (go from $v^{(i)}$ to orthonormal $z^{(i)}$).

- 1. Normalize $v^{(1)} \rightarrow z^{(1)}$.
- 2. Let $u^* = \langle v^{(2)}, z^{(1)} \rangle z^{(1)}$.
- 3. $z^{(2)} = \frac{v^{(2)} u^*}{\|v^{(2)} u^*\|}$
- 4. For higher dimension: Repeat steps before where the mth vector $z^{(m)}$ is calculated as

$$z^{(m)} = \frac{w^{(m)}}{\|w^{(m)}\|}$$

Where
$$w^{(m)} = v^{(m)} - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \langle v^{(m)}, z^{(i)} \rangle z^{(i)}$$

QR Decomposition: When you write a matrix as the product of an orthonormal basis and an upper triangular matrix.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & & \vdots \\ v^{(1)} & \dots & v^{(m)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots & & \vdots \\ z^{(1)} & \dots & z^{(m)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \dots & r_{1m} \\ 0 & r_{22} & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & \dots & & r_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.7)

Note that this is a representation of taking the Gram-Schmidt procedure in reverse (i.e. the inverse of the R matrix corresponds to the process described above).

1.4.2 Affine Projection

Affine Set Definition: Affine set is a shift or translation of subspace $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ by some vector $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$A = x^{(0)} + S = \{x^{(0)} + u | u \in S\}$$

- 1. Given $x, A, x^{(0)}$. You want to project x onto affine set $A = S + x^{(0)}$
- 2. Translate x, A by $-x^{(0)}$.
- 3. Project onto the conventional subspace using the procedure above.
- 4. Translate the projection back using $+x^{(0)}$.

Functions and Sets

2.1 Basic Terminology

Prototypical Function:

$$f: X \to Y \tag{2.1}$$

Means that f is a function that maps members of set X to members of set Y.

Sets Related to Functions:

- graph $f = \{(x, f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | x \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$
- epigraph $f = \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \ge f(x) \}$
- level sets: $c_f(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | f(x) = t\}$
- sub level sets: $l_f(t) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | f(x) \le t\}$

2.2 Linear and Affine Functions

Linearity Conditions:

- 1. Homogeneity: $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x)$.
- 2. **Additivity:** f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y).

Resultant property: Super position; $f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x^{(i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i f(x^{(i)})$.

Affine Function: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is affine if $\tilde{f}(x)$ (defined below) is linear

$$\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) - f(0) \tag{2.2}$$

Useful property: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is affine **iff** $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$) such that

$$f(x) = a^T x + b (2.3)$$

Which also implies that all linear functions can be expressed as $f(x) = a^T x$.

2.3 Affine Approximation

Affine Approximation Definition: The affine approximation for function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ about point \bar{x} is:

$$f(x) \approx f(\bar{x}) + \nabla f(\bar{x})^T (x - \bar{x}) \tag{2.4}$$

- First-order increment: $\nabla f(\bar{x})(x-\bar{x})$.
- First-order increment equal to zero (or any constant value value) results in a **hyperplane**.

2.4 Chain Rule on Gradients

Given

- 1. $q: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$
- 2. $f: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$
- 3. $\phi(x) = f(g(x)), x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Goal: Find $\nabla \phi(x)$.

$$\nabla \phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1(x)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_2(x)}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g_m(x)}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_1(x)}{\partial x_n} & \dots & & \frac{\partial g_m(x)}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \nabla f(g(x)) \tag{2.5}$$

Matrices

3.1 Matrix Introduction

This chapter began with a basic review of matrix algebra that will not be re-covered here.

Outer Product View of Matrix Multiplication:

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} & & & | \\ a^{(1)} & \dots & a^{(m)} \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & b^{(1)T} & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & b^{(m)T} & - \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a^{(k)} (b^{(k)})^{T}$$
(3.1)

Important Matrices:

- Square
- Symmetric: $A_{ij} = A_{ji}$; $A = A^T$
- Diagonal: $A_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$
- Identity.
- Upper Triangular: Square matrix with condition $A_{ij} = 0$ if i > j.

3.1.1 Matrix Vector Spaces

Inner Product: $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$

$$\langle A, B \rangle = \operatorname{trace}(A^T B) = \operatorname{trace}(BA^T) = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} [A]_{ij} [B]_{ij}$$
 (3.2)

Trace Operator: For matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$:

$$tr(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [A]_{ii} = [A]_{11} + \dots + [A]_{nn}$$
(3.3)

Frobenius Norm: $\|\cdot\|_F$ where

$$||A||_F \equiv \sqrt{\langle A, A \rangle} \tag{3.4}$$

Which is the equivalent of the ℓ_2 norm of the vector made by flattening A. These definitions and properties make proofs a lot easier when you deeply understand them.

3.2 Function Approximations with Matrices

Second Order (Quadratic) Approximations: For map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, we can approximate by

$$f(x) \approx f(\bar{x}) + \nabla f(\bar{x})^T (x - \bar{x}) + \frac{1}{2} (x - \bar{x})^T \nabla^2 f(\bar{x}) (x - \bar{x})$$
 (3.5)

Where $\nabla^2 f(\bar{x})$ is the **Hessian** of f about point \bar{x} and is defined as:

$$\nabla^2 f(\bar{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_n^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.6)

Conveniently, the Hessian matrix is also symmetric.

3.3 Matrices as Linear Maps

Linear Map Definition: map $f: V \to W$ is linear if

$$f(\alpha_1 x^{(1)} + \alpha_2 x^{(2)}) = \alpha_1 f(x^{(1)}) + \alpha_2 f(x^{(2)})$$
(3.7)

Key Finding: ANY LINEAR MAP can be represented by a matrix $\in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ for map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$

3.3.1 Matrix Inverse

A matrix is **NOT** invertable if m < n for map represented by $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ due to the many-to-one mapping. It is **POTENTIALLY** invertible if $m \ge n$.

Square Matrix Invertability: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is invertible iff there exists $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$AB = BA = I \tag{3.8}$$

If B exists, it is unique and is equal to A^{-1} .

Invertability conditions: If any of these hold true, then the matrix is invertable.

- 1. $det(A) \neq 0$
- 2. None of A's eigenvalues are equal to zero.

Invertible Matrix Properties: Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ both be invertible. Then:

- 1. $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$.
- 2. $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$.
- 3. $\det(A) = \det(A^T) = \frac{1}{\det(A^{-1})}$

Pseudo Inverse: For non-square matrix there is no inverse, though the pseudo inverse A^{pi} satisfies:

$$AA^{pi}A = A (3.9)$$

That is, either $AA^{pi} = I_m$ or $A^{pi}A = I_n$.

Case I: Tall and thin matrix A with m > n

- The "left inverse" exists iff the **columns** of the matrix are **linearly** independent.
- $A^{li}A = I_n$.
- \bullet This only exists if the mapping of A is unique (i.e. it is a full-rank matrix).

Case II: Wide and fat matrix A with m < n

- The "right inverse" exists iff the **rows** of the matrix are linearly independent.
- $AA^{ri} = I_m$.
- If A^{ri} exists then A is "right invertible".
- $A^{ri}y$ gives one of infinitely many $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that satisfy Ax = y.

3.4 Orthogonal Matrices

Orthogonality Conditions: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is orthogonal if:

- 1. Column vectors are all mutually orthogonal.
- 2. Column vectors are all **normalized**.

If we let A have columns $q^{(i)}$ such that $A = [q^{(1)}...q^{(n)}]$, then

$$\langle q^{(i)}, q^{(j)} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j \\ 1, & i = j \end{cases}$$

$$(3.10)$$

It follows:

- \bullet $A^TA = I$
- $\bullet \ AA^T = I$
- $\bullet \ A^T = A^{-1}$

Necessary and sufficient condition for orthogonality: $A^T = A^{-1}$.

Unitary Matrix: If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and all of the above apply, then:

$$U^H = \text{conjugate}(U^T)$$

Geometric Implications of Orthogonality: If Ax = y and $A\tilde{x} = \tilde{y}$:

- ||x|| = ||y|| That is, there is no **scaling** because all the columns of A are normalized.
- $\langle y, \tilde{y} \rangle = \langle x, \tilde{x} \rangle$ that is, the **angles** between vectors are maintained.

Which implies that the transformation of A can **only** be composed of (1) rotation and (2) reflection.

3.5 Rank, Range, and Null Space

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Then $A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $A^T : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

Domain and Range:

- **Domain:** $dom(\cdot)$ is the vector space the operator acts on.
- Range: $\mathcal{R}\{\cdot\}$ is the SPAN OF THE COLUMNS of the matrix.

How to Find Basis of $\mathcal{R}(A)$:

- 1. Manipulate A into reduced row-echelon form.
- 2. We define **pivots** as the first non-zero entries of each row in the reduced row-echelon form.
- 3. Basis($\mathcal{R}(A^T)$) are the **non-zero rows** of A_{REF} .
- 4. Basis($\mathcal{R}(A)$) are the columns of A correspondinging to the columns of the **pivots**.

Thus $\dim(\mathcal{R}(A^T)) = \dim(\mathcal{R}(A))$

Nullspace of a Matrix

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \{x | Ax = 0\} \tag{3.11}$$

It is the **orthogonal compliment** of $\mathcal{R}(A^T)$. To solve for this, you must start with Ax = 0 and find the implied conditions on x.

Fundamental Theorem of Linear Algebra

- 1. $\mathcal{N}(A) \bigoplus \mathcal{R}(A^T) = \mathbb{R}^n$
- 2. $\dim(\mathcal{N}(A)) + \operatorname{rank}(A) = n$
- 3. $\mathcal{R}(A) \bigoplus \mathcal{N}(A^T) = \mathbb{R}^m$
- 4. $\operatorname{rank}(A) + \dim(\mathcal{N}(A^T)) = m$

Consequence of the Fundamental Theorem: We can express any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$x = A^T y + z (3.12)$$

- $z \in \mathcal{N}(A)$
- $y \in \mathcal{R}(A^T)$

Eigendecomposition

How to Solve for Eigenthings 4.1

$$Av = \lambda v$$

$$Av - I\lambda v = 0$$

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$
(4.1)

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

Once you solve for the **characteristic polynomial roots**, you can plug them back into the initial formula and solve for the corresponding eigenvalue.

Theorem 2 All $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ have ≥ 1 eigenvalue.

- Full set of eigenvectors has the same number of eigenvectors as eigenvalues.
- Partial set (defective matrix) has fewer eigenvectors than eigenvalues.

Multiplicity of Eigenvalues: We have two types of multiplicity.

- 1. Algebraic Multiplicity: $AM(\lambda_i) = \mu_i$ - number of times λ_i is repeated in the characteristic polynomial.
- 2. Geometric Multiplicity: $GM(\lambda_i) = \nu_i = \dim(\mathcal{N}(A \lambda_i I) \text{number})$ of eigenvectors corresponding to the given eigenvalue.

$$1 < \nu_i = GM(\lambda_i) < AM(\lambda_i) = \mu_i$$

Eigenspace: For each λ there is a space E_{λ} :

$$E_{\lambda} = \operatorname{span}\{u|Au = \lambda u\} = \mathcal{N}(A - I\lambda)$$
 (4.2)

The geometric multiplicity of λ gives the dimensionality of the eigenspace. Each E_{λ} is invariant to A.

4.2 Diagonalization

Condition for Diagonalizability: If A has a full set of eigenvalues (i.e. distinct λ_i for $i \in [k]$, $k \le n$) such that $\nu_i = GM(\lambda_i) = AM(\lambda_i) = \mu_i$ for all $i \in [k]$, then A is diagonalizable.

This means that we can create $n \times n$ matrix U with columns equal to eigenvectors of A and matrix $\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n)$ such that

$$A = U\Lambda U^{-1} \tag{4.3}$$

Utility of Diagonalization:

• We can take arbitrary powers of A very easily now by cancelling U, U^{-1} .

Determinants of Diagonal Matrices If we can write $A = U\lambda U^{-1}$, then

$$|\det A| = |\prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i| \tag{4.4}$$

Symmetric Matrices

5.1 Symmetric Matrices and Quadratic Functions

Symmetric Matrix Definition: S^n is the set of all symmetric matrices and is defined as

$$S^n = \{ A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} | A = A^T \}$$
 (5.1)

Quadratic Function Definition: $q: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a quadratic function if

$$q(x) = x^T A x + c^T x + d (5.2)$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $d \in \mathbb{R}$.

Important Alternate Forms of Quadratics:

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^{T}(A + A^{T})x + c^{T}x + d$$
 (5.3)

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} x^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A + A^T & c \\ c^T & 2d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (5.4)

Important note: The 2x2 matrix there is $\in S^{n+1\times n+1}$! This is the strong connection between quadratics and symmetric matrices.

5.2 Spectral Theorem

Tl;dr: Eigenthings are really nice and simple with symmetric matrices.

Theorem 3 Nice things about symmetrical matrices: Let $A \in S^n$ have n (potentially non-distinct) eigenvalues. Then

- 1. $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ which means that the eigenvectors are **purely real**.
- 2. $GM(\lambda_i) = AM(\lambda_i)$ which means there is a full set of eigenvalues $\rightarrow A$ is diagonalizable!
- 3. All eigen spaces are **mutually orthogonal** (not just linearly independent).

Spectral Decomposition: If $A \in S^n$ then there are n orthonormal eigenvectors. We let each eigenvector $u^{(i)}$ be a column in $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then:

$$A = U\Lambda U^T \tag{5.5}$$

Rayleigh Quotients:

$$\lambda_{min} \le \frac{x^T A x}{\|x\|^2} \le \lambda_{max} \tag{5.6}$$

- The Rayliegh quotient when $x = u^{(1)}$ (the maximum λ eigenvector) is equal to $\lambda_{max}(A)$.
- Same goes for when $x = u^{(n)}$ with respect to the smallest eigenvalue λ_n .
- This connects eigenvectors and singular values.

Positive Semi-Definite Matrices: if

$$x^T A x \ge 0 \tag{5.7}$$

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $A \in S^n_+$ (A is a positive semidefinite matrix).

Positive Definite Matrices: if strict inequality holds such that

$$x^T A x > 0 (5.8)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then A is a positive definite matrix $(A \in S_{++}^n)$.

Theorem 4 Convenient things about S_+^n , S_{++}^n :

- $A \in S^n_+$ iff $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in [n]$.
- $A \in S_{++}^n$ iff $\lambda_i > 0$ for all $i \in [n]$.
- Any positive definite matrix is invertible. Positive semi-definite matrices are invertible iff they are positive definite.

5.3 Ellipsoids

Ellipsoid definition: An ellipsoid is a set

$$\varepsilon = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n | (x - x^{(0)})^T P^{-1} (x - x^{(0)}) \le 1 \}$$
 (5.9)

Where $P \in S_{++}^n$, $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- The condition is a quadratic function!
- You can apply spectral decomposition to P^{-1} .

Plotting Ellipses:

$$1 \ge \bar{x}^T (U\Lambda U^T)^{-1} \bar{x}$$

$$1 \ge \tilde{x}^T \lambda^{-1} \tilde{x}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{\tilde{x}_i}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}})^2$$
(5.10)

Where $\bar{x} = (x - x^{(0)})$, $\tilde{x} = U^T \bar{x}$. To plot:

- 1. Generate 'plot' in \tilde{x} space (note the key positions).
- 2. Unrotate by multiplying key position vectors by U.
- 3. Un-shift by adding $x^{(0)}$

Sample Mean and Covariance: for m data vectors $x^{(i)}$, $i \in [m]$:

- 1. Sample Mean: $\hat{x} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x^{(i)}$
- 2. Sample Covariance: $S = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x^{(i)} \hat{x})(x^{(i)} \hat{x})^T \in S^n$

Data ellipse: $\varepsilon_{\lambda} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | (x - \hat{x})^T S^{-1}(x - \hat{x}) < \gamma \}$ where γ is usually 1. This ellipse can help describe the geometry of the data.

5.4 Matrix Square Root and Cholesky Decomposition

Matrix Square Root Definition: For any $A \in S_+^n$:

$$A^{\frac{1}{2}} = U\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}U\tag{5.11}$$

Where $\lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, ..., \sqrt{\lambda_n})$.

- $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a unique PSD matrix.
- A is a PSD matrix **iff** $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ **is PSD**.

Cholesky Decomposition: Any PSD A can be factored as

$$A = B^T B \tag{5.12}$$

Where $B = \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}U$ and $B^T = U\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

B also has a QR (orthogonal times upper triangular) decomposition, so:

$$A = B^{T}B = (QR)^{T}(QR) = R^{T}Q^{T}QR = R^{T}R$$
 (5.13)

To create Choleski Decomposition:

- 1. Select R such that diagonals $R_{ii} > 0$.
- 2. If A is PD, then **there exists unique** R which forms the Choleski Decomposition.
- 3. There exist non-unique R for A is PSD.

Solving Ax = b with Choleski Decomposition:

- 1. $A = R^T R$
- $2. R^T R x = b$
- 3. Let y = Rx

4. Forward Substitution:

- (a) $y_1R_{11} = b_1$ Everything but y_1 is known, so we solve for y_1 !
- (b) $y_1R_{21} + y_2R_{22} = b_2$ Everything but y_2 is known, so we can solve for y_2 !
- (c) We continue this until we know the entire y vector!

5. Back Substitution:

(a) We use a similar procedure to solve from the bottom up for Rx = y

Singular Value Decomposition

6.1 Motivation

Theorem 5 We can write any linear map $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ as

$$A = U\Sigma V^T \tag{6.1}$$

Where

- U is $m \times m$ orthonormal matrix composed of **left singular vectors**.
- V is $n \times n$ orthonormal matrix composed of **right singular vectors**.
- Σ is $m \times n$ diag $(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_r)$ singular values.

Utility of SVD:

- 1. Understand rank of relevant spaces to A.
- 2. Spectral norm (direction of maximum gain).
- 3. Orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{N}(A)$, $\mathcal{R}(A)$.
- 4. Solve systems of linear equations relating to A.

6.2 Steps to Creating SVD

Key Starting Ideas: For $m \times n$ real matrix A:

- 1. AA^T, A^TA are both PSD matrices. $x^TA^TAx = ||Ax||_2^2$.
- 2. $\operatorname{rank}(A^T A) = \operatorname{rank}(AA^T) = \operatorname{rank}(A)$.
- 3. AA^T and A^TA have the same r eigenvalues with r = rank(A).

Singular Value Definition: The singular values of A are

$$\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i(A^T A)} = \sqrt{\lambda_i(AA^T)} > 0 \tag{6.2}$$

Where $\lambda_i(B)$ is the *i*th eigenvalue of matrix B.

First r Right Singular Values of A: We take the spectral decomposition of $A^TA = V\Lambda V^T$.

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} v^{(1)} & \dots & v^{(r)} & vrule & \\ v^{(1)} & \dots & v^{(r)} & v^{(r+1)} & \dots & v^{(n)} \\ vrule & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(6.3)$$

- The first $r \ v^{(i)}$ relate to $\lambda_i \neq 0$.
 - THESE ARE OUR RIGHT SINGULAR VECTORS.
- They are all mutually orthogonal.
- The remaining vectors are associated with $\lambda_i = 0$.
 - We get to choose our own arbitrary basis for $\mathcal{N}(A)$.

First r Left Singular Values of A: The left singular vectors are denoted by $u^{(i)}$ and are given by

$$u^{(i)} = Av^{(i)} (6.4)$$

You could also get them by taking the spectral decomposition of AA^T but you run the risk of inverting the polarity (i.e. $u^{(i)} \to -u^{(i)}$ which would mess up the entire decomposition. Just use the above formula to be safe and efficient.

Each $u^{(i)}$ are associated with the same singular values $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$.

Compact SVD: We can package our first r right (v) and left (u) singular vectors into V_r and U_r respectively to decompose A as follows:

$$A = U_r \Sigma V_r^T \tag{6.5}$$

Where $\Sigma = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_r)$.

Full SVD: To get the 'Full' SVD, we add vectors to U_r, V_r such that they form bases for $\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n$ respectively.

- $u^{(i)} \in \mathcal{N}(A^T)$ for all $i \in \{r+1, ..., m\}$
- $v^{(i)} \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ for all $i \in \{r+1, ..., n\}$
- Each of the above sets are **orthonormal bases** for their respective null spaces.

Now we have full SVD:

$$A = U\tilde{\Sigma}V^T \tag{6.6}$$

Where $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is the original sigma padded with zeros such that it is an $m \times n$ matrix.

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$
(6.7)

6.3 Principal Component Analysis

Goal: Get minimum error when you project data vectors onto some affine set.

Approach: We can optimize the variance of projected data points onto a unit vector by aligning it such that it is an **eignevalue** of the covariance matrix $\frac{1}{m}X^TX$

6.4 SVD Matrix Properties

Fundamental Subspaces from SVD: Assuming that $A = U\tilde{\Sigma}V^T$, $U = [U_r, U_{nr}]$, $V = [V_r, V_{nr}]$:

- 1. Basis of $\mathcal{R}(A)$: columns of U_r .
- 2. Basis of $\mathcal{N}(A)$: columns of V_{nr} .
- 3. Basis of $\mathcal{N}(A^T)$: columns of U_{nr} .
- 4. Basis of $\mathcal{R}(A^T)$: columns of V_r .

Moore-Penrose Pseudo-Inverse 6.5

For rank r matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with MP Pseudo-Inverse Definition: SVD $A = U\tilde{\Sigma}V^T$,

$$A^{\dagger} = V \tilde{\Sigma}^{\dagger} U^T = V_r \Sigma^{-1} U_r^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$$
 (6.8)

Where

$$\tilde{\Sigma}^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma^{-1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (6.9)

And $\Sigma^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\sigma_1}, ..., \frac{1}{\sigma_r})$ **Recall:** B is a pseudo inverse of A if ABA = A. Moore-Penrose gives proper inverse (true, left, right) given the dimensions of the matrix A.

Systems of Linear Equations

7.1 Mathematical Setup

General Form:

$$Ax = y \tag{7.1}$$

- $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a set of **known** matrix coefficients.
- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the **unknown** vector we want to solve for.
- $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the **known** constraint vector.

It is possible that there are no solutions, one solution, or infinite solutions.

Existence of Solutions: Since $Ax \in \mathcal{R}(A)$, the solution only exists for $y \in \mathcal{R}(A)$.

Simple Test for Existence: If

$$Rank([A \ y]) = Rank(A) \tag{7.2}$$

Then the solution exists. Otherwise, it doesn't (in this case, y adds to the column space and is therefore not in mathcalR(A)).

Simple Test for Uniqueness: If $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{\emptyset\}$, then the solution is unique.

Consistent Solution to Least Squares: Using the Moore-Penrose pseudo inverse A^{\dagger} , we have

$$x^* = A^{\dagger} y \tag{7.3}$$

This will always work, and in the underdetermined case, will produce the solution that minimizes $||x^*||_2$.

7.2 Over, Under, and Uniquely Determined Least Squares

Theorem 6 Normal Equation: The optimal solution x^* to any (unconstrained) least squares problem satisfies

$$A^T A x^* = A^T y (7.4)$$

7.2.1 Overdetermined

For "tall and thin" matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with m > n, we have the dimension of the column space of A (or $\mathcal{R}(A)$) limited by n. Since the output of Ax is in \mathbb{R}^n , most of the possible y vectors will not be in $\mathcal{R}(A)$.

Therefore, we are forced to search for the **best solution**:

$$x^* = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||Ax - y|| \tag{7.5}$$

Which looks an awful lot like the definition of **projection** of $y \to \text{column span}(A)$. We use the **normal equation** (seen above) to solve for this.

Solving the Normal Equation To solve $A^TAx^* = A^Ty$ we have several cases:

1. $A^T A$ is invertible (i.e. A is full rank). In this case,

$$x^* = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T y (7.6)$$

and we can project y onto the columns of A to get the approximation of y we achieve.

$$\hat{y}^* = Ax^* \tag{7.7}$$

2. $A^T A$ is **degenerate** (i.e. not full rank). In this case,

- One or more coolumns of A can be removed without detracting from $\mathcal{R}(A)$ since columns are not linearly independent due to degeneracy.
- **Procedure:** Remove redundant columns from A and follow case 1 to generate x^* and \hat{y}^* .

Using SVD to Solve Over Determined Least Squares: $A = U_r \Sigma V_r^T$ where U_r is a basis for the column space of A. Then

$$\hat{y}^* = \sum_{i=1}^r \langle u^{(i)}, y \rangle u^{(i)} \tag{7.8}$$

7.2.2 Uniquely Determined

If the solution is uniquely determined, A has full rank, is square, and therefore has an inverse.

$$x^* = A^{-1}y (7.9)$$

7.2.3 Underdetermined

For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, we have a "short and fat" matrix. In other words, m < n. Therefore, we have fewer constraints than we have manipulable variables. We have a **choice** between infinite x^* .

Convention: We use the shortest x^* vector (i.e. we minimize $||x^*||_2$.)

Our infinite set of possible x^* can be represented by the affine set where \bar{x} satisfies $A\bar{x} = y$.

$$\mathcal{A} = \bar{x} + \mathcal{N}(A) \tag{7.10}$$

We can then project $y \to A$.

Solution to Underdetermined Case:

$$x^* = A^T (AA^T)^{-1} y (7.11)$$

With the norm of x^* given by the following

$$||x^*||_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \langle u^{(i)}, y \rangle^2$$
 (7.12)

Where $A = U_r \Sigma V_r^T$ is the SVD of A.

7.3 Regularized Least Squares

The idea is to introduce another term to the "cost function" for least squares. We *penalize* the solution x^* , generally based on some measure of its magnitude (e.g. an ℓ_p norm, a weighted sum, etc.)

7.3.1 ℓ_2 Regularization

$$x^* = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} ||y - Ax||_2^2 + \gamma ||x||_2^2$$
 (7.13)

Solving ℓ_2 Regularized Least Squares:

1. Let

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ \sqrt{\gamma} I_{n \times n} \end{bmatrix} \tag{7.14}$$

$$\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{7.15}$$

2. Solve as before, knowing that

$$||Ax - y||_2^2 + \gamma ||x||_2^2 \equiv ||\bar{A}x - \bar{y}||_2^2$$
 (7.16)

$$x^* = (\bar{A}^T \bar{A})^{-1} \bar{A}^T \bar{y} = (A^T A + \gamma I)^{-1} A^T y$$
 (7.17)

7.4 Tikhonov Regularization

Also known as ridge regression, weight decay.

Governing Formula: We introduces matrices W_1, W_2 to indicate "priority" of minimizing different error terms (and linear combinations of error terms) and variable $x^{(0)}$ to represent the "zero effort point" as follows:

$$\min_{x} \|W_1(Ax - y)\|_2^2 + \|W_2(x - x^{(0)})\|_2^2$$
 (7.18)

- $W_1 \in S_{++}^n$: How much do we weigh residuals (i.e. indices of Ax y)?
- W_2 : How much do we weigh deviations of x^* from the **zero effort** point $x^{(0)}$?

Solution: We find algebraic equivalence to the following unregularized least squares problem:

$$\min_{x} \|\bar{A}x - \bar{y}\|_{2}^{2} \tag{7.19}$$

Where

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 A \\ W_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{7.20}$$

$$\bar{y} = \begin{bmatrix} W_1 y \\ W_2 x^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} \tag{7.21}$$

7.5 Theoretical Aspects of Least Squares

For the solution of regularized least squares,

$$\min_{x} ||Ax - y||_{2}^{2} + \gamma ||x||_{2}^{2} \tag{7.22}$$

Our objective function can be re-written w.r.t. x as the following sum of constants and quadratics:

$$||Ax_{LS}^* - y||_2^2 + (x - x_{LS}^*)^T A^T A(x - x_{ls}^*) + \gamma x^T x$$
 (7.23)

One can think of it as two "gravity wells" or "potential energy wells" that pull the optimal solution x^* towards them. One is centered at the solution to the un-regularized least squares problem and the other at the **zero effort point** (in the above equations, that is the origin). Since they are quadratic cost surfaces, they actually do have very similar to shape as gravity wells (other than their eccentricity).

7.5.1 ℓ_1 Regularization

LASSO = "Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator" is the term for ℓ_1 regularization. It generally produces sparsity in approximations.

7.5.2 SVD Connection

After much symbol-shunting of ℓ_2 regularized least squares,

$$y^* = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\sigma_i^2}{\sigma_i^2 + \gamma} \langle u^{(i)}, y \rangle u^{(i)}$$
 (7.24)

Linear Programming

While linear and quadratic programs are numerical problems (i.e. there are no closed form solutions), they are such nice, well developed fields of study that any problem you can formulate as an LP or QP can be broadly considered "solved".

8.1 Definition and Notation

Theorem 7 Linear Program Form:

$$arg \ min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} c^T x + d \tag{8.1}$$

such that
$$Gx \le h$$
 (8.2)

$$Ax = b (8.3)$$

- $f_0(x) = c^T x + d$ is the scalar linear objective function.
- $Gx \le h$ and Ax = b are inequality and equality constraints respectively and lead to the **feasible set**.

$$p^* = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} c^T x + d \tag{8.4}$$

Where constraints are satisfied is the minimum cost. If the feasible set is empty, we have $p^* = \infty$. If you can make it arbitrarily small, then p^* DNE.

8.2 Objective Function

$$f_0(x) = c^T x + d (8.5)$$

This is simply a hyperplane. Therefore, we can minimize to $-\infty$ by taking taking $x = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \alpha(-c)$. If $c = \vec{0}$, then x does not matter and the arg min is the entirety of \mathbb{R}^n .

Key Lesson: To minimize the objective, we want to move x in the -c direction.

8.3 Feasible Set

Equality Constraints: Ax = b boils down to a bunch of intersecting hyperplanes. If we let the rows of A be $\alpha^{(i)}$, then

$$x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} \{x | \langle \alpha^{(i)}, x \rangle = b_i \}$$
 (8.6)

8.4 Inequality Constraint

 $Gx \leq h$ boils down to a bunch of intersecting **half spaces**. Letting rows of G be $g^{(i)}$, then

$$x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{q} \{x | \langle g^{(i)}, x \rangle \le h_i \}$$
(8.7)

Note that the normal vectors to the half space boundaries contained as rows of G point **outward** from the feasible set.

Feasible Set: The intersection of the sets described by the two constraints if \mathcal{F} , the **feasible set**. It is a **polytope** (i.e. n-dimensional polygon/polyhedron generalization).

8.5 Solutions to Linear Programs

Solution Possibilities:

- 1. x^* is unique and p^* is finite. The optimum is at a vertex of the polytope.
- 2. x^* is not unique and p^* is finite. The optimum is at an edge of facet of the polytope.
- 3. x^* does not exist:
 - $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ is an infeasible LP. $p^* = \infty$.
 - \mathcal{F} is unbounded with no minimum. $p^* = \infty$.

8.5.1 Simplex Algorithm

The basic idea is to traverse the edges of the LP feasible set.

- 1. Start at a vertex within the feasible set.
- 2. Identify an edge E of the feasible set where the angle $\angle cE$ is **obtuse** (i.e. you're decreasing cost by going along E).
- 3. Move along the edge as far as you can until you bump into a constraint or another vertex.
- 4. Repeat until no edge available has $\angle cE > 90^{\circ}$.

8.5.2 Other Forms for LP

Inequality Form:

$$\min_{x} c^{T} x + d \tag{8.8}$$

such that
$$Gx \le h$$
 (8.9)

$$Ax \le b \tag{8.10}$$

$$-Ax \le -b \tag{8.11}$$

Standard Form

$$\min_{x} \tilde{c}^{T} \tilde{x} + \tilde{d} \tag{8.12}$$

such that
$$\tilde{A}\tilde{x} = \tilde{b}$$
 $\tilde{x} \ge 0$ (8.13)

- $Gx \le h$ becomes Gx + s = h with $s \ge 0$ are "slack variables" (common technique for formulating problems).
- $x \to x^+ x^-$ such that $x_i^+, x_i^- \ge 0$ for all $i \in [n]$.
- $\bullet \ \tilde{c}^T = [c^T \ -c^T \ 0].$
- $\tilde{d} = d$.

$$\tilde{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x^+ \\ x^- \\ s \end{bmatrix} \tag{8.14}$$

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} G & -G & I \\ A & -A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{8.15}$$

$$\tilde{b} = \begin{bmatrix} h \\ b \end{bmatrix} \tag{8.16}$$

Quadratic Programs

9.1 QP Definitions

A quadratic program has the same feasible set definition as a linear program, but has a **quadratic** objective function w.r.t. x.

Theorem 8 Quadratic Program Definition:

(arg)
$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} x^T H x + c^T x + d$$
 (9.1)

$$such that Ax = b (9.2)$$

$$Gx \le h$$
 (9.3)

9.2 Geometry

No Loss in Generality for $H \in S^n$. For the objective f_0 ,

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{2}x^T H x + c^T + d (9.4)$$

We have $x^T H x = \frac{1}{2} x^T (H + H^T) x$. Therefore, **spectral decomposition** is valid for all quadratic objective functions.

Properties of H

- 1. H is diagonalizable $(H = U\Lambda U \text{ with orthonormal columns in } U)$.
- 2. Eigenvalues are **real** and therefore can be ordered.
- 3. Eigenvectors can be chosen to be **orthogonal**.

Properties of the Objective Function

- 1. If $H \notin S^n_+$ then $p^* = -\infty$.
 - The quadratic "bowl" points downward, so if we follow vector c, we can find an unconstrained minimum.
- 2. If $H \in S_{++}^n$ then p^* exists and can be found (i.e. is not $\pm \infty$).
 - No directions of infinite descent because "bowl" points up and asymptotically dominates the linear components.
 - The objective if a **convex function** in this case.
- 3. If $H \in S^n_+ \notin S^n_{++}$, we have two cases:
 - (a) If $c \in \mathcal{R}(H)$: p^* exists and can be found.
 - (b) If $c \notin \mathcal{R}(H)$: $p^* = -\infty$

9.3 Quadratically Constrained Quadratic Programs

Theorem 9 Form for QCQP's:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} x^T H_0 x + c_0^T x + d_0 \tag{9.5}$$

$$such that \frac{1}{2}x^{T}H_{i}x + c_{i}^{T}x + d_{i} \le 0, \qquad \forall i \in [m]$$

$$(9.6)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}x^T \tilde{H}_i x + \tilde{c}_i^T x + \tilde{d}_i = 0, \qquad \forall i \in [q]$$
 (9.7)

(9.8)

Though the intersections of these constraints may lead to some nonconvex feasible sets, we generally focus on **convex** QCQP's.

Theorem 10 Form for Convex QCQP's:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} x^T H_0 x + c_0^T x + d_0 \tag{9.9}$$

such that
$$\frac{1}{2}x^T H_i x + c_i^T x + d_i \le 0,$$
 $\forall i \in [m]$ (9.10)

$$\tilde{c}_i^T x + \tilde{d}_i = 0, \qquad \forall i \in [q]$$
 (9.11)

(9.12)

Where $H_0 \in S^n_+$ and each $H_i \in S^n_+$.

QCQP Feasible Sets

- These are generally the intersection of half spaces, paraboloids, and ellipsoids.
- Paraboloids are kind of like half spaces but have a quadratic instead of a flat plane separating the spaces.

Convexity, Sets, and Hulls

10.1 Hulls

A hull is an infinite set of points that can be defined with respect to a set of vectors. For the following examples, we let \mathcal{P} be some set of m vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 11 Linear Hull Definition:

$$Lin(\mathcal{P}) = \{x | x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i x^{(i)}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$$
(10.1)

• Which is exactly the same as the **span** of set \mathcal{P} .

Theorem 12 Affine Hull Definition:

$$Aff(\mathcal{P}) = \{x | x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i x^{(i)}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i = 1\}$$
 (10.2)

- All affine hulls are affine sets.
- You can symbol-shunt the definition to make one of the vectors the **offset** vector and the rest create a subspace.
- Affine hull can be written, for any $x^{(k)}$

$$x^{(k)} + \operatorname{span}(\{x^{(i)} - x^{(k)} | \forall i \in [m], i \neq k\})$$
(10.3)

Theorem 13 Convex Hull Definition:

$$Co(\mathcal{P}) = \{x | x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i x^{(i)}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i = 1\}$$

$$(10.4)$$

- Given two points in a convex hull, all the points on the line connecting the two points are inside of the hull, too.
- Very convenient property.

Theorem 14 Conic Hull Definition:

$$conic(\mathcal{P}) = \{x | x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i x^{(i)}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_i \ge 0\}$$
 (10.5)

• Can be re-written as

$$x = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}x^{(1)} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}x^{(2)})$$
 (10.6)

- The first sum being a "gain" term greater than zero and the fractions of lambda being any independent factors each between 0 and 1.
- Boils down to any point between the two vectors "zoomed" anywhere from the origin to infinity.
- $\operatorname{conic}(\mathcal{P}) = \gamma \operatorname{Convex}(\mathcal{P})$ where γ is some positive gain.

10.2 Sets

Theorem 15 Affine Set Definition: $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is affine iff for $x, y \in A$,

$$\{z|z = \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \in A \tag{10.7}$$

- This is algebraically the same as our initial definition.
- It boils down to all the points on an infinite line going through two point in the set must also be in the set.

Theorem 16 Convex Set Definition: $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex iff $\forall x, y \in C$,

$$\{z|z = \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y, \lambda \in [0,1]\} \in C$$
 (10.8)

- All points on a line segment connecting two points in the set must also be in the set.
- Strict convexity occurs when we have $\lambda \in (0,1)$ and all points in the line segment are in the **relative interior** of C.

Relative Interior:

$$\operatorname{relint}(\mathcal{D}) = \{ x \in D | B(x, r) \cap \operatorname{aff}(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{D} \text{ for some } r > 0 \}$$
 (10.9)

Where $B(x,r) = \{y | ||y - x|| \le r\}$

Convexifying: If set S is not convex, we can create S' = Co(S) to create minimum set that includes all $s \in S$ that is also convex.

Theorem 17 Cone Definition: $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a cone iff $\forall x \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$\{\gamma x | \gamma \ge 0\} \in \mathcal{K} \tag{10.10}$$

• Note: All conic hulls are cones but not all cones are conic hulls.

10.3 Properties of Convexity

These properties are stated without their proofs for ease of reference

- 1. Intersection of multiple convex sets are always convex.
- 2. Affine (linear + offset) maps always preserve convexity.
 - The **pre-image** of a set w.r.t. an affine map is also convex.
- 3. All **norm balls** are convex.
- 4. All **ellipsoids** are convex.
- 5. The set of PSD matrices S_+^n is a **convex cone** in S^n .

10.4 Convex Functions

Theorem 18 Convex Function Definition: A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is **convex** iff for all $x, y \in dom f$,

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y), \ \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

$$(10.11)$$

With strict inequality indicating strict convexity.

Concavity: When -f is convex, f is concave.

Facts about Convex Functions: Not proven here for ease of access.

• If each f_i if convex, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i f_i(x) \, | \, \alpha_i \ge 0$$
 (10.12)

Is also convex.

• Given convex $f: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, then

$$g(x) = f(Ax + b) \tag{10.13}$$

Is also convex.

• For a set of convex functions $\{f_i(x)\}\$, then

$$f(x) = \max(f_i(x)) \tag{10.14}$$

Is also convex.

10.5 Convexity by Restriction to Line

Theorem 19 Restriction to Line: $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex iff $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex with respect to t as defined below.

$$g(t) = f(x^{(0)} + tv) (10.15)$$

For all possible choices of $x^{(0)} \in dom \ f, \ v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$dom \ g = \{t | x^{(0)} + tv \in dom \ f\}$$
 (10.16)

Theorem 20 First Order Condition: Differentiable function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex iff $\forall x, y \in dom f$,

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) \tag{10.17}$$

With strict convexity for strict inequality.

- This can be connected to the "line connecting two points is in the set" point.
- The linear approximation of a convex function is **always underestimating**.

Theorem 21 Second Order Condition: Twice differentiable function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ if convex iff the **Hessian**

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \in S^n_+ \ \forall x \in dom \ f \tag{10.18}$$

In other words, the quadratic approximation is a bowl facing upward.

Convex Optimization

This section was cut short by the reduced term length.

11.1 Convex Optimization Definitions

Theorem 22 Convex Optimization Problem Form:

$$(arg) \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f_0(x) \tag{11.1}$$

such that
$$f_i(x) \le 0$$
 $\forall i \in [m]$ (11.2)

$$h_i(x) = 0 \qquad \forall i \in [q] \tag{11.3}$$

Where

- Objective $f_0: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex.
- Inequality constraints $f_1: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are convex.
- Equality constraints $h_i(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are **affine**.
 - Can be written as Ax = b because $a^{(i)T}x b_i = 0$ is the form of an affine constraint.

11.2 Theorems on Convex Optimization

Theorem 23 Local Minimum Definition: z is a local minimum if $\exists r > 0$ such that

$$f(x) \ge f(z) \forall x \in \{x | ||x - z|| < r\}$$
(11.4)

Theorem 24 Global Minimum Definition: z is a global minimum if

$$f(x) \ge f(z) \, \forall x \in dom \ f \tag{11.5}$$

Theorem 25 Convex Minima: If f_0 is convex and \mathcal{F} is convex, then **any** local minimum is the global minimum.

Theorem 26 Convex Optimization Solution Uniqueness: If f_0 is strictly convex and \mathcal{F} is strictly convex and the set of optimizing $x X_{opt}$ is non-empty, then **there is a unique solution**.

Theorem 27 Up Hill Point Theorem: Given a convex function $f_0(x)$ optimization with convex feasible fest \mathcal{F} , the point $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ is optimal iff, for all $y \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\nabla f_0(x^*)^T (y - x^*) \ge 0 \tag{11.6}$$

Think of this in terms of $\nabla f_0(x^*)$ pointing uphill from the optimal point. The product is the inner product between the uphill vector and the vector from x to y, so it intuitively makes sense that it would be an acute angle.

Gradients on Inequality Constraints: All gradients on inequality constraints $\nabla f_i(x)$ point outward from the feasible set.