

Tutor feedback: Design Document

Grade	95 % (Distinction)
--------------	--------------------

Thank you for your excellent effort with this piece of work, and the care and attention that you have given to its preparation. In some constructive feedback: I would like to see more explicit attention being given to the security aspects of your system in the opening sections of your report, as this is our priority. Later on in the report, you present excellent security-focused detail, which proves that you have the knowledge; I just feel there is an opportunity to immediately pull your reader in to our priority of security earlier on. Please ensure that all functional and non-functional requirements are ones that you have control over, and can influence in the system that you are designing. If you are not enabling them from a practical perspective, then they do not need to be included as a requirement of your project. I make this comment in relation to the requirement that, 'Ground control can add astronauts to space ships and send them to and from the ISS.' From the perspective of the system that you are developing, how will this requirement influence your design? Excellent consideration has been given to the attack surfaces of your system in Table 1. Attention has also been given to an object-oriented design - well done. *Excellent* inclusion of academic articles in your Reference list - please ensure that the publisher detail is given.

I have left further feedback in the marked copy of your report. Please let me know if there's anything I can explain in more detail.

In terms of feedback that I wish to deliver to the entire group:

* Please submit your reports in MS Word so that I can edit and comment directly on the report.

* Where there is a word target for an assessment, please ensure that the number of words which have been used in the report are explicitly expressed, as this is a factor on which you are being assessed.

* Please include student names, student identifiers, and/or team number on all documents submitted.

* Please ensure that all figures are numbered and have labels.

* Please categorise the non-functional requirements, using the exemplar categories at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/9781119202660.app6>

* Please avoid using "etc." You are the expert of your domain, not me. Therefore, tell me explicitly what you want me to understand, and don't take the risk of me assuming something incorrectly.

* Please avoid using Americanized English i.e., "organized".

* It is convention to number and label tables at the top of the table, and to number and label figures at the bottom.

* Please use bookmarks in MS Word so that I can easily click and be transferred to your Appendix content.

Again, please let me know if there's anything I can explain more clearly.

In relation to the peer review, thank you for engaging with the self-reflection questions, Adam. A little more detail would be great: What did you learn about working in a team? Is it a rewarding or a stressful experience? In what way(s) have you tried to guide the group? How might you evidence that you were a team player? What will you do to support you in preparing more effectively for meetings?