

MQE: Economic Inference from Data:

Module 3: Instrumental Variables

Claire Duquennois

6/9/2020

You can't always get what you want

Even with fixed effects, certain types of unobservables can still bias our estimates.

For OVB to not be a problem, we want a treatment variable x_i where we know that there does not exist some omitted variable x_{ov} such that

- ▶ $cor(x_i, x_{ov}) \neq 0$
- ▶ and $cor(y_i, x_{ov}) \neq 0$.

This is a tall order...

You can't always get what you want

But if you try sometimes,

You can't always get what you want

But if you try sometimes,
you just might find,

You can't always get what you want

But if you try sometimes,
you just might find,
you get what you need: a good instrumental variable.

An instrument for what?

I am interested in the relationship between y and x_1 .

The true data generating process looks like this:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$$

- ▶ x_i and x_2 are uncorrelated with ϵ
- ▶ x_1 and x_2 they are correlated with each other such that $\text{Cov}(x_1, x_2) \neq 0$

So whats the problem?

- ▶ you don't actually observe x_2 .

Uh oh.

The problem:

The naive approach (but you of course know better than to do this. . .)

Regress y on just x_1 :

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \nu_i$$

where

$$\nu_i = \beta_2 x_{2i} + \epsilon_i.$$

The problem:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{1,OLS} &= \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, y)}{\text{var}(x_1)} \\&= \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \nu)}{\text{var}(x_1)} \\&= \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, \beta_0) + \text{cov}(x_1, \beta_1 x_1) + \text{cov}(x_1, \nu)}{\text{var}(x_1)} \\&= \frac{\beta_1 \text{var}(x_1) + \text{cov}(x_1, \nu)}{\text{var}(x_1)} \\&= \beta_1 + \frac{\text{cov}(x_1, \nu)}{\text{var}(x_1)}\end{aligned}$$

$\text{cov}(x_1, \nu) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_{1,OLS}$ is biased.

All is not lost!

An **instrumental variable** (IV) is a variable that

- ▶ is correlated with the “good” or “*exogenous*” variation in x_1
- ▶ is unrelated to the “bad” or “*endogenous*” or “*related-to- x_2* ” variation in x_1 .

Formally

An IV is a variable, z that satisfies two important properties:

- ▶ $\text{Cov}(z, x_1) \neq 0$ (the first stage).
- ▶ $\text{Cov}(z, \nu) = 0$ (the exclusion restriction).

The First Stage

$$\text{Cov}(z, x_1) \neq 0$$

- ▶ z and x_1 are correlated
- ▶ the IV is useless without a first stage.

We are trying to get a $\hat{\beta}_1$ such that $E[\hat{\beta}_1] = \beta_1$. If our instrument is totally unrelated to x_1 , we won't have any hope of using it to get at β_1 .

The exclusion restriction

$$\text{Cov}(z, \nu) = 0$$

- ▶ z has to affect y **only** through x_1 .
- ▶ $\Rightarrow \text{Cov}(z, \epsilon) = 0$ (because we've already assumed that x_2 is uncorrelated with ϵ).

The IV estimator

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{1,IV} &= \frac{\text{cov}(z, y)}{\text{cov}(z, x)} \\ &= \frac{\text{cov}(z, \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \nu)}{\text{cov}(z, x_1)} \\ &= \beta_1 \frac{\text{cov}(z, x_1)}{\text{cov}(z, x_1)} + \frac{\text{cov}(z, \nu)}{\text{cov}(z, x_1)} \\ &= \beta_1 + \frac{\text{cov}(z, \nu)}{\text{cov}(z, x_1)}.\end{aligned}$$

With the exclusion restriction: $\text{cov}(z, \nu) = 0 \Rightarrow E[\hat{\beta}_{1,IV}] = \beta_1$

Huzzah! We have an unbiased estimator!