Quantum Cohomology and the Gromov-Witten Theory of \mathbb{P}^2

Adam Monteleone

November 26, 2024

1 Introduction

We would like to know the answer to the following question:

Question 1.1. What is the number of rational curves N_d of degree d that pass through (3d-1) points which are in general position in the plane?

One way to proceed is to get our hands dirty and see if we can spot some kind of pattern. Well, the case when n = 1 reduces to a basic question that one first encounters early in school, namely

Question 1.2. How many lines pass between two points in the plane?

The answer if of course 1. So the number of degree 1 rational curves that pass through 2 marked points which are in general position is 1. The next iteration of the question would be

Question 1.3. How many conics pass between five points in the plane?

Once again the answer is classically known to be 1. The answer to this question has been known at least since the time of Apollonius (~ 200 B.C). We can show this by construction, take points (x_i, y_i) with $1 \le i \le 5$ in general position and consider the determinant

$$f(X,Y) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & X & Y & X^2 & Y^2 & XY \\ 1 & x_1 & y_1 & x_1^2 & y_1^2 & x_1y_1 \\ 1 & x_2 & y_2 & x_2^2 & y_2^2 & x_2y_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & y_3 & x_3^2 & y_3^2 & x_3y_3 \\ 1 & x_4 & y_4 & x_4^2 & y_4^2 & x_4y_4 \\ 1 & x_5 & y_5 & x_5^2 & y_5^2 & x_5y_5 \end{vmatrix}.$$
(1)

This determinant is a polynomial of degree at most 2 and $f(x_i, y_i) = 0$ for i = 1, ..., 5 is clear since it would yield two identical rows.

Question 1.4. How many cubics pass between 8 points in the plane?

This is a much more complicated question whose answer turn out to be 12, as computed by Chasles/Steiner in the early 19th century. A sketch of the argument goes as follows:

Consider a line with coordinate t in the space of cubics, f(x,y)+tg(x,y)=0 where $\deg(f)=\deg(g)=3$. Regarding this family over $\mathbb{P}^1_{[t]}$ can compute the Euler characteristic of the total space in two ways. By Bezout's theorem f and g intersect at nine points, giving rise to nine lines if $P\in\mathbb{P}^2$ is not one of those nine points then there is one cubic in the family that contains such a point. The total space is recognized as the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at 9 points, and hence has Euler characteristic $\chi=3-9\cdot 1+9\cdot 2=12$. Considering the family fiberwise, the generic fiber is a smooth cubic (torus) and the nodal cubic has $\chi(C_{\text{nodal}})=1$ therefore $\chi=n_{\text{nodal}}\chi(C_{\text{nodal}})+n_{\text{smooth}}\chi(C_{\text{smooth}})=n_{\text{nodal}}$, equating then gives the desired result.

Summarising we have found that $N_1 = N_2 = 1$ and $N_3 = 12$. It was until the late 19th century that $N_4 = 620$ was computed by Schubert/Zeuthen, and it wasn't until the mid 20th century $N_5 = 87304$. So our pattern is therefore given by

$$1, 1, 12, 620, 87304, \dots$$

and it is not all clear what N_6 should be, and that was the state of things... until Maxim Kontsevich discovered the general recursive formula finding N_d for all $d \ge 0$ in 1993. Obtaining this recursion will be the subject of these notes on the way we will introduce build on the basics of Gromov-Witten theory introduced thus far in the seminar and in particular give motivation for the introduction of quantum cohomology.

2 Quantum Cohomology

Let X be a smooth, projective, homogeneous variety. Begin by observing that on $H^*(X)$ there is an associative cup product and a bilinear non-degenerate pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : H^*(X) \times H^*(X) \to H^*(X)$ given by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle := \int \alpha \cup \beta. \tag{2}$$

The cup product and the non-degenerate bilinear pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ give $H^*(X)$ the structure of a Frobenius algebra, with unit the fundamental class $1_X \in H^0(X)$. Now we generalize this structure by defining the multiplication:

$$\alpha_1 *_{\beta} \alpha_2 = \operatorname{ev}_{3*}(\operatorname{ev}_1^*(\alpha_1) \cup \operatorname{ev}_2^*(\alpha_2)), \tag{3}$$

where the moduli space considered is $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X,\beta)$. We introduce a formal parameter q^{β} for each element $\beta \in H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})_+$ with rule $q^{\beta_1}q^{\beta_2}=q^{\beta_1+\beta_2}$. Thus we define

$$\alpha_1 * \alpha_2 = \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X)} (\alpha_1 *_{\beta} \alpha_2) q^{\beta} \tag{4}$$

and extend this product $\mathbb{Q}[[H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})_+]]$ -linearly to $H^*(X)\otimes\mathbb{Q}[[H_2(X;\mathbb{Z})_+]]$. The coefficients are the genus-zero, three point Gromov-Witten invariants. That is, if $\{T_i\}_{i=0}^m$ is a basis for $H^*(X)$ then we can write the small quantum product as

$$\alpha_1 * \alpha_2 = \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X)} \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, T_i \rangle_{0,3,\beta} g^{ij} T_j q^{\beta} \text{ where } g_{ef} = \int_X T_e \cup T_f, \text{ and } g^{ef} := (g_{ef})^{-1}.$$
 (5)

The resulting structure on this vector space $QH_s^*(X)$ is called the **small quantum cohomology** of X.

Theorem 2.1. The small quantum cohomology $QH_s^*(X)$ is a Frobenius algebra with the same unit of $H^*(X)$.

Example 2.2. $QH_s^*(\mathbb{P}^n) \cong \mathbb{Q}[H][[q]]/(H^{n+1}-q)$ where H is the class of a hyperplane in $H^2(\mathbb{P}^n)$.

The small quantum cohomology ring of \mathbb{P}^n is a deformation of the usual cohomology ring that contains the 3-point information. Therefore the gromov witten numbers N_d do not appear in the small quantum cohomology of \mathbb{P}^2 . If we want to go beyond the information of 3-point functions and consider n-point functions, we need to study an object known as the big quantum cohomology associated to X. We define the Gromov-Witten potential:

$$\Phi(\gamma) := \sum_{n>3} \sum_{\beta} \frac{\langle \gamma^n \rangle_{0,n,\beta}}{n!} q^{\beta} \tag{6}$$

Here we use the notation that $\gamma^n = \gamma, \dots, \gamma$, *n*-times. Let $\gamma = \sum_i y_i T_i$, we obtain a formal power series in the y_i given by:

$$\Phi(y_0, \dots, y_m) = \sum_{\substack{n_0 + \dots + n_m = n \\ \beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})}} \langle T_0^{n_0}, \dots, T_m^{n_m} \rangle_{0, n, \beta} \frac{y_0^{n_0}}{n_0!} \cdots \frac{y_m^{n_m}}{n_m!}.$$
 (7)

Remark 2.3. By [FP97], Lem 15] we have that for any n, there are only finitely many β such that $\langle \gamma^n \rangle_{0,n,\beta}$ is nonzero.

Example 2.4. The Gromov-Witten potential for \mathbb{P}^2 with $\gamma = y_0 1 + y_1 H + y_2 H^2 \in H^*(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is given by

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(y_0y_1^2 + y_0^2y_2) + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} N_d \frac{y_2^{3d-1}}{(3d-1)!} e^{dy_1}$$
(8)

It will be convenient to define the following bit of notation $\Phi_{ijk} := \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k \Phi$ with $0 \le i, j, k \le m$.

Definition 2.5. Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $\{T_i\}_i$ be a basis for $H^*(X)$. The big quantum product on $H^*(X)[[y_0,\ldots,y_m,q]]$ is defined on the basis T_0,\ldots,T_m as

$$T_i *_b T_j := \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{ije} T^e$$
, where $T^e = \sum_{f=1} g^{ef} T_f$ (9)

where the product is then extended $\mathbb{Q}[[y_0,\ldots,y_m]]$ -linearly to a product on the $\mathbb{Q}[[y_0,\ldots,y_m]]$ -module $H^*(X)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}[[y_0,\ldots,y_m]]$ making it a $\mathbb{Q}[[y_0,\ldots,y_m]]$ -algebra.

Remark 2.6. One needs to check that the product defined above is indeed well-defined. That is, given a basis T'_0, \ldots, T'_m , there is a linear change of coordinates from $H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[y_0, \ldots, y_m]]$ to $H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[y'_0, \ldots, y'_m]]$ identifying the two product structures.

Example 2.7. The big quantum product for \mathbb{P}^2 with basis $T_0 = 1, T_1 = [L]$ and $T_2 = [pt]$ for $H^*(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is given by

$$T^0 = T_2 = [pt]$$
 $T^1 = T_1 = [L]$ and $T^2 = T_0 = 1 = [\mathbb{P}^2].$ (10)

Using the potential for \mathbb{P}^2 defined above, we find

$$[L] * [L] = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \Phi_k T^k = \Phi_{110}[pt] + \Phi_{111}[L] + \Phi_{112}[\mathbb{P}^2] = \left(\int_{\mathbb{P}^2} [L] \cup [L]\right) [pt] + \Phi_{111}[L] + \Phi_{112}[\mathbb{P}^2]. \tag{11}$$

Using $\Phi_{110} = 1 = \int_{\mathbb{P}^2} [L] \cup [L]$ we see that we now have additional higher order terms to the classical cup product.

It's natural to ask what properties such a multiplication has, in particular whether it's associative or commutative. Well, commutativity is clear as the product is symmetric in the subscripts i.e $\phi_{ijk} = \Phi_{jik}$

$$T_j * T_i = \sum_k \Phi_{jik} T^k = \sum_k \Phi_{ijk} T^k = T_i * T_j.$$
 (12)

Associativity enforces that

$$(T_i * T_j) * T_k = \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{ije} g^{ef} T_f * T_k = \sum_{e,f} \sum_{c,d} \Phi_{ije} g^{ef} \Phi_{fkc} g^{cd} T_d$$
(13)

$$T_i * (T_j * T_k) = \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{jke} g^{ef} T_i * T_f = \sum_{e,f} \sum_{c,d} \Phi_{jke} g^{ef} \Phi_{ifc} g^{cd} T_d, \tag{14}$$

and since the matrix g^{cd} is non-singular the equality of $(T_i * T_j) * T_k = T_i * (T_j * T_k)$ is equivalent to the following non-linear partial differential equation

$$\sum_{e,f} \Phi_{ije} g^{ef} \Phi_{fkl} = \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{jke} g^{ef} \Phi_{ifl}, \tag{15}$$

called the Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verline (WDVV) equation. A formal solution to this nonlinear PDE is called a Gromov-Witten potential. One can also show $T_0 = 1$ is a unit for the *-multiplication. Altogether we have

Definition 2.8. The big quantum product endows $H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[y_0, \dots, y_m]]$ with a multiplication. The ring

$$QH^*(X) := H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[y_0, \dots, y_m]], \tag{16}$$

is called the **big quantum cohomology ring** of X.

Theorem 2.9 ([FP97], Thm 4). The biq quantum cohomology ring $QH^*(X)$ is a commutative, associate $\mathbb{Q}[[y_0,\ldots,y_m]]$ -algebra with unit T_0 , i.e a Frobenius algebra.

Example 2.10. When $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ the big quantum cohomology ring of X has the identification

$$QH^*(\mathbb{P}^2) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[[y_0, y_1, y_2]][Z]}{Z^3 - \Phi_{111}Z^2 - 2\Phi_{112}Z - \Phi_{122}},\tag{17}$$

which we can compare with the cohomology ring $H^*_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \cong \mathbb{Q}[Z]/Z^3$ with basis $\{1, Z, Z^2\}$.

3 The Gromov-Witten Theory of \mathbb{P}^2

Recall the Gromov-Witten potential for \mathbb{P}^2 , where $\gamma = y_0 1 + y_1 H + y_2 H^2 \in H^*(X)$ is given by

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} (y_0^2 y_2 + y_0 y_1^2) + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} N_d \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-1}}{(3d-1)!} q^d.$$
(18)

Using the associativity of the big quantum cohomology product, one can derive the WDVV equation for \mathbb{P}^2 , which has the form

$$\Phi_{222} = \Phi_{112}^2 - \Phi_{111}\Phi_{122}.\tag{19}$$

Computing the term on the left hand side gives

$$\Phi_{222} = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} N_d \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-4}}{(3d-4)!} q^d. \tag{20}$$

On the right hand side we compute

$$\Phi_{112}^2 = \left(\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d^2 N_d \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-2}}{(3d-2)!} q^d\right)^2 \tag{21}$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d=d_1+d_2} d_1^2 d_2^2 N_{d_1} N_{d_2} \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-4}}{(3d_1-2)!(3d_2-2)!} q^d, \tag{22}$$

and

$$\Phi_{111}\Phi_{122} = \partial_1^3 \Phi \cdot \partial_1 \partial_2^2 \Phi \tag{23}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d^3 N_d \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-1}}{(3d-1)!} q^d\right) \left(\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} dN_d \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-3}}{(3d-3)!} q^d\right)$$
(24)

$$= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d=d_1+d_2} d_1^3 d_2 N_{d_1} N_{d_2} \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-3}}{(3d_1-1)!(3d_2-3)!}.$$
 (25)

Equating coefficients of both sides for a fixed value of d we obtain

$$\frac{N_d}{(3d-4)!} = \sum_{d-1+1} N_{d_1} N_{d_2} \left[\frac{d_1^2 d_2^2}{(3d_1-1)!(3d_2-2)!} + \frac{d_1^3 d_2}{(3d_1-1)!(3d_2-3)!} \right]. \tag{26}$$

Rearranging and using $d_2 = d - d_1$, we derive Kontsevich's formula for the Gromov-Witten invariants of \mathbb{P}^2

Theorem 3.1 (Kontseivich 1993). The number of rational curves N_d of degree d that pass through (3d-1) points which are in general position in the \mathbb{P}^2 , satisfies the recursion relation

$$N_d = \sum_{d=d_1+d_2} N_{d_1} N_{d_2} \left[d_1^2 d_2^2 \binom{3d-4}{3d_1-2} - d_1 d_2 \binom{3d-4}{3d_1-1} \right]. \tag{27}$$

where $N_1 = 1$.

Computing N_d for d = 1, ..., 8 using Kontsevich's formula gives

$$N_1 = 1$$
, $N_2 = 1$, $N_3 = 12$, $N_4 = 620$, $N_5 = 87,304$, $N_6 = 26,312,976$, $N_7 = 14,616,808,192$, $N_8 = 13,525,751,027,392$.

Adam Monteleone References

References

 $[\mathrm{FP97}]\,$ W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande. Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology, 1997.