

Quantum Cohomology and the Gromov-Witten Theory of \mathbb{P}^2

Adam Monteleone

November 26, 2024

1 Introduction

We would like to know the answer to the following question:

Question 1.1. *What is the number of rational curves N_d of degree d that pass through $(3d - 1)$ points which are in general position in the plane?*

One way to proceed is to get our hands dirty and see if we can spot some kind of pattern. Well, the case when $n = 1$ reduces to a basic question that one first encounters early in school, namely

Question 1.2. *How many lines pass between two points in the plane?*

The answer is of course 1. So the number of degree 1 rational curves that pass through 2 marked points which are in general position is 1. The next iteration of the question would be

Question 1.3. *How many conics pass between five points in the plane?*

Once again the answer is classically known to be 1. The answer to this question has been known at least since the time of Apollonius ($\sim 200\text{B.C.}$). We can show this by construction, take points (x_i, y_i) with $1 \leq i \leq 5$ in general position and consider the determinant

$$f(X, Y) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & X & Y & X^2 & Y^2 & XY \\ 1 & x_1 & y_1 & x_1^2 & y_1^2 & x_1 y_1 \\ 1 & x_2 & y_2 & x_2^2 & y_2^2 & x_2 y_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & y_3 & x_3^2 & y_3^2 & x_3 y_3 \\ 1 & x_4 & y_4 & x_4^2 & y_4^2 & x_4 y_4 \\ 1 & x_5 & y_5 & x_5^2 & y_5^2 & x_5 y_5 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

This determinant is a polynomial of degree at most 2 and $f(x_i, y_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 5$ is clear since it would yield two identical rows.

Question 1.4. *How many cubics pass between 8 points in the plane?*

This is a much more complicated question whose answer turns out to be 12, as computed by Chasles/Steiner in the early 19th century. A sketch of the argument goes as follows:

ADD ARGUMENT

Summarising we have found that $N_1 = N_2 = 1$ and $N_3 = 12$. It was until the late 19th century that $N_4 = 620$ was computed by Schubert/Zeuthen, and it wasn't until the mid 20th century $N_5 = 87304$. So our pattern is therefore given by

$$1, 1, 12, 620, 87304, \dots$$

and it is not all clear what N_6 should be, and that was the state of things... until Maxim Kontsevich discovered the general recursive formula finding N_d for all $d \geq 0$ in 1993. Obtaining this recursion will be the subject of these notes on the way we will introduce build on the basics of Gromov-Witten theory introduced thus far in the seminar and in particular give motivation for the introduction of quantum cohomology.

2 Quantum Cohomology

Let X be a smooth, projective, homogeneous variety. Begin by observing that on $H^*(X)$ there is an associative cup product and a bilinear non-degenerate pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : H^*(X) \times H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ given by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle := \int \alpha \cup \beta. \quad (2)$$

The cup product and the non-degenerate bilinear pairing $\langle -, - \rangle$ give $H^*(X)$ the structure of a Frobenius algebra, with unit the fundamental class $1_X \in H^0(X)$. Now we generalize this structure by defining the multiplication:

$$\alpha_1 *_{\beta} \alpha_2 = \text{ev}_{3*}(\text{ev}_1^*(\alpha_1) \cup \text{ev}_2^*(\alpha_2)), \quad (3)$$

where the moduli space considered is $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}(X, \beta)$. We introduce a formal parameter q^{β} for each element $\beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})_+$ with rule $q^{\beta_1} q^{\beta_2} = q^{\beta_1 + \beta_2}$. Thus we define

$$\alpha_1 * \alpha_2 = \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X)} (\alpha_1 *_{\beta} \alpha_2) q^{\beta} \quad (4)$$

and extend this product $\mathbb{Q}[[H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})_+]]$ -linearly to $H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})_+]]$. The coefficients are the genus-zero, three point Gromov-Witten invariants. That is, if $\{T_i\}_{i=0}^m$ is a basis for $H^*(X)$ then we can write the small quantum product as

$$\alpha_1 * \alpha_2 = \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X)} \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, T_i \rangle_{0,3,\beta} g^{ij} T_j q^{\beta} \text{ where } g_{ef} = \int_X T_e \cup T_f, \text{ and } g^{ef} := (g_{ef})^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

The resulting structure on this vector space $QH_s^*(X)$ is called the **small quantum cohomology** of X .

Theorem 2.1. *The small quantum cohomology $QH_s^*(X)$ is a Frobenius algebra with the same unit of $H^*(X)$.*

Example 2.2. $QH_s^*(\mathbb{P}^n) \cong \mathbb{Q}[H][[q]]/(H^{n+1} - q)$ where H is the class of a hyperplane in $H^2(\mathbb{P}^n)$.

The small quantum cohomology ring of \mathbb{P}^n is a deformation of the usual cohomology ring that contains the 3-point information. Therefore the gromov witten numbers N_d do not appear in the small quantum cohomology of \mathbb{P}^2 . If we want to go beyond the information of 3-point functions and consider n -point functions, we need to study an object known as the big quantum cohomology associated to X . We define the Gromov-Witten potential:

$$\Phi(\gamma) := \sum_{n \geq 3} \sum_{\beta} \frac{\langle \gamma^n \rangle_{0,n,\beta}}{n!} q^{\beta} \quad (6)$$

Here we use the notation that $\gamma^n = \gamma, \dots, \gamma$, n -times. Let $\gamma = \sum_i y_i T_i$, we obtain a formal power series in the y_i given by:

$$\Phi(y_0, \dots, y_m) = \sum_{\substack{n_0 + \dots + n_m = n \\ \beta \in H_2(X; \mathbb{Z})}} \langle T_0^{n_0}, \dots, T_m^{n_m} \rangle_{0,n,\beta} \frac{y_0^{n_0}}{n_0!} \dots \frac{y_m^{n_m}}{n_m!}. \quad (7)$$

Remark 2.3. By [[FP97], Lem 15] we have that for any n , there are only finitely many β such that $\langle \gamma^n \rangle_{0,n,\beta}$ is nonzero.

Example 2.4. The Gromov-Witten potential for \mathbb{P}^2 with $\gamma = y_0 1 + y_1 H + y_2 H^2 \in H^*(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is given by

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(y_0 y_1^2 + y_0^2 y_2) + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} N_d \frac{y_2^{3d-1}}{(3d-1)!} e^{dy_1} \quad (8)$$

It will be convenient to define the following bit of notation $\Phi_{ijk} := \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k \Phi$ with $0 \leq i, j, k \leq m$.

Definition 2.5. Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $\{T_i\}_i$ be a basis for $H^*(X)$. The big quantum product on $H^*(X)[[y_0, \dots, y_m, q]]$ is defined on the basis T_0, \dots, T_m as

$$T_i *_b T_j := \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{ije} T^e, \text{ where } T^e = \sum_{f=1} g^{ef} T_f \quad (9)$$

where the product is then extended $\mathbb{Q}[[y_0, \dots, y_m]]$ -linearly to a product on the $\mathbb{Q}[[y_0, \dots, y_m]]$ -module $H^*(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}[[y_0, \dots, y_m]]$ making it a $\mathbb{Q}[[y_0, \dots, y_m]]$ -algebra.

Remark 2.6. One needs to check that the product defined above is indeed well-defined. That is, given a basis T'_0, \dots, T'_m , there is a linear change of coordinates from $H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[y_0, \dots, y_m]]$ to $H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[y'_0, \dots, y'_m]]$ identifying the two product structures.

Example 2.7. The big quantum product for \mathbb{P}^2 with basis $\gamma_0 = 1, \gamma_1 = [L]$ and $\gamma_2 = [pt]$ for $H^*(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is given by

$$T^0 = T_2 = [pt] \quad T^1 = T_1 = [L] \quad \text{and} \quad T^2 = T_0 = 1 = [\mathbb{P}^2]. \quad (10)$$

Using the potential for \mathbb{P}^2 defined above we have

$$T_1 * T_1 = \sum_{k=0}^2 \Phi_k T^k = \Phi_{110}[pt] + \Phi_{111}[L] + \Phi_{112}[\mathbb{P}^2] = \left(\int_{\mathbb{P}^2} T_1 \cup T_1 \right) [pt] + \Phi_{111}[L] + \Phi_{112}[\mathbb{P}^2] \quad (11)$$

since $1 = \int_{\mathbb{P}^2} [L] \cup [L]$. Note that the big quantum product returns the classical product with correction terms.

It's natural to ask what properties such a multiplication has, in particular whether it's associative or commutative. Well, commutativity is clear as the product is symmetric in the subscripts i.e $\phi_{ijk} = \Phi_{jik}$

$$T_j * T_i = \sum_k \Phi_{jik} T^k = \sum_k \Phi_{ijk} T^k = T_i * T_j. \quad (12)$$

Associativity enforces that

$$(T_i * T_j) * T_k = \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{ije} g^{ef} T_f * T_k = \sum_{e,f} \sum_{c,d} \Phi_{ije} g^{ef} \Phi_{fkc} g^{cd} T_d \quad (13)$$

$$T_i * (T_j * T_k) = \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{jke} g^{ef} T_i * T_f = \sum_{e,f} \sum_{c,d} \Phi_{jke} g^{ef} \Phi_{ifc} g^{cd} T_d, \quad (14)$$

and since the matrix g^{cd} is non-singular the equality of $(T_i * T_j) * T_k = T_i * (T_j * T_k)$ is equivalent to the following non-linear partial differential equation

$$\sum_{e,f} \Phi_{ije} g^{ef} \Phi_{fkl} = \sum_{e,f} \Phi_{jke} g^{ef} \Phi_{ifl}, \quad (15)$$

called the Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verline (WDVV) equation¹. A formal solution to this nonlinear PDE is called a Gromov-Witten potential. One can also show $T_0 = 1$ is a unit for the $*$ -multiplication. Altogether we have

Definition 2.8. The big quantum product endows $H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[y_0, \dots, y_m]]$ with a multiplication. The ring

$$QH^*(X) := H^*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[[y_0, \dots, y_m]], \quad (16)$$

is called the **big quantum cohomology ring** of X .

Theorem 2.9 ([FP97], Thm 4). *The big quantum cohomology ring $QH^*(X)$ is a commutative, associative $\mathbb{Q}[[y_0, \dots, y_m]]$ -algebra with unit T_0 , i.e a Frobenius algebra.*

Example 2.10. When $X = \mathbb{P}^2$ the big quantum cohomology ring of X has the identification

$$QH^*(\mathbb{P}^2) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}[[y_0, y_1, y_2]][Z]}{Z^3 - \Phi_{111}Z^2 - 2\Phi_{112}Z - \Phi_{122}}, \quad (17)$$

which we can compare with the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbb{P}^2) \cong \mathbb{Q}[Z]/Z^3$ with basis $\{1, Z, Z^2\}$. This

¹No, Verlinde-Verlinde is not a typo. Erik and Herman Verlinde are brothers who are both particle physicists.

3 The Gromov-Witten Theory of \mathbb{P}^2

Recall the Gromov-Witten potential for \mathbb{P}^2 , where $\gamma = y_0 1 + y_1 H + y_2 H^2 \in H^*(X)$ is given by

$$\Phi(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(y_0^2 y_2 + y_0 y_1^2) + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} N_d \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-1}}{(3d-1)!} q^d. \quad (18)$$

Using the associativity of the big quantum cohomology product gives the Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde equation for \mathbb{P}^2 to be

$$\Phi_{222} = \Phi_{112}^2 - \Phi_{111} \Phi_{122}. \quad (19)$$

The left hand side is given by

$$\Phi_{222} = \partial_2^3 \Phi \quad (20)$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} N_d \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-4}}{(3d-4)!} q^d \quad (21)$$

Moreover

$$\Phi_{112}^2 = \left(\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d^2 N_d \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-2}}{(3d-2)!} q^d \right)^2 \quad (22)$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d=d_1+d_2} d_1^2 d_2^2 N_{d_1} N_{d_2} \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-4}}{(3d_1-2)!(3d_2-2)!} q^d \quad (23)$$

and

$$\Phi_{111} \Phi_{122} = \partial_1^3 \Phi \cdot \partial_1 \partial_2^2 \Phi \quad (24)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d^3 N_d \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-1}}{(3d-1)!} q^d \right) \left(\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} d N_d \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-3}}{(3d-3)!} q^d \right) \quad (25)$$

$$= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d=d_1+d_2} d_1^3 d_2 N_{d_1} N_{d_2} \frac{e^{dy_1} y_2^{3d-3}}{(3d_1-1)!(3d_2-3)!} \quad (26)$$

Equating coefficients of both sides for a fixed value of d we obtain

$$\frac{N_d}{(3d-4)!} = \sum_{d=d_1+d_2} N_{d_1} N_{d_2} \left[\frac{d_1^2 d_2^2}{(3d_1-1)!(3d_2-2)!} + \frac{d_1^3 d_2}{(3d_1-1)!(3d_2-3)!} \right] \quad (27)$$

Rearranging and using $d_2 = d - d_1$, we derive Kontsevich's formula for the Gromov-Witten invariants of \mathbb{P}^2

Theorem 3.1 (Kontsevich 1993). *The number of rational curves N_d of degree d that pass through $(3d-1)$ points which are in general position in the \mathbb{P}^2 , satisfies the recursion relation*

$$N_d = \sum_{d=d_1+d_2} N_{d_1} N_{d_2} \left[d_1^2 d_2^2 \binom{3d-4}{3d_1-2} - d_1 d_2 \binom{3d-4}{3d_1-1} \right]. \quad (28)$$

where $N_1 = 1$.

Computing N_d for $d = 1, \dots, 8$ using Kontsevich's formula gives

$$N_1 = 1, \quad N_2 = 1, \quad N_3 = 12, \quad N_4 = 620, \quad N_5 = 87,304,$$

$$N_6 = 26,312,976, \quad N_7 = 14,616,808,192,$$

$$N_8 = 13,525,751,027,392.$$

References

[FP97] W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande. Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology, 1997.