



This draft instrument reflects feedback received during extensive government-wide engagement exercise that is now concluded. We would like to thank the many contributors for their input.

Directive on Identity Management

(Publié aussi en français sous le titre *Directive sur la gestion de l'identité*)

FINAL 19 April 2018

Contents

1.	Effective date.....	1
2.	Authorities	1
3.	Objectives and expected results	1
4.	Requirements	2
5.	Roles of other government organizations	3
6.	Application.....	3
7.	References.....	3
8.	Enquiries.....	3
	Appendix A: Standard on Identity and Credential Assurance	5
	Appendix B: Definitions.....	8



This draft instrument reflects feedback received during extensive government-wide engagement exercise that is now concluded. We would like to thank the many contributors for their input.

1. Effective date

- 1.1 This directive takes effect on June 30, 2017. (*Note: date to be set three months after date of Treasury Board approval.*)
- 1.2 It replaces the *Directive on Identity Management* (July 1, 2009).

2. Authorities

- 2.1 This directive is issued pursuant to the same authorities indicated in section 2 of the Policy on Government Security.

3. Objectives and expected results

- 3.1 The objectives of this directive are as follows:
 - 3.1.1 To manage identity in a manner that mitigates risks to personnel, organizational and national security while protecting program integrity and enabling trusted citizen-centred service delivery;
 - 3.1.2 To manage identity consistently and collaboratively within the Government of Canada and with other jurisdictions and industry sectors where identity of employees, organizations, devices and individuals is required; and
 - 3.1.3 To manage credentials, authenticate users or accept trusted digital identities for the purposes of administering a program or delivering an internal or external service.
- 3.2 The expected results of this directive are as follows:
 - 3.2.1 Interoperability, as appropriate, that supports participation in arrangements for federated identity; and
 - 3.2.2 Integration of a standardized identity assurance level framework into departmental programs, activities and services, and aligned with a government-wide approach.



This draft instrument reflects feedback received during extensive government-wide engagement exercise that is now concluded. We would like to thank the many contributors for their input.

4. Requirements

4.1 Program and service delivery managers are responsible for the following:

- 4.1.1 Applying identity management requirements when **any** of the following conditions apply:
 - 4.1.1.1. Unique identification is required for the purposes of administering a federal program or service enabled by legislation; or
 - 4.1.1.2. Disclosure of identity is required before receiving a government service, participating in a government program or becoming a member of a government organization.
 - 4.1.1.3. Accuracy and rightful use by individuals, organizations and devices of credential and identity information is required;
- 4.1.2 Ensuring there is a need and the lawful authority for identification in support of program administration, government-wide service delivery, and, as required, to facilitate law enforcement, national security, and defence-related activities;
- 4.1.3 **Documenting** identity management risks, program impacts, required levels of assurance and risk mitigation options;
- 4.1.4 Selecting an appropriate set of identity attributes to sufficiently distinguish a unique identity to meet program needs, which balances risk and flexibility and allows alternative methods of identification, where appropriate;
- 4.1.5 Evaluating identity and credential risks using an assessment of harms related to a program, activity, service or transaction;
- 4.1.6 **Applying** the required identity and credential assurance levels and related controls for achieving assurance level requirements in accordance with the *Standard on Identity and Credential Assurance*;
- 4.1.7 Accepting trusted digital identities provided through an approved trust framework as an equivalent alternative to in-person interactions through an assessment of the following processes:
 - 4.1.7.1. **Identity information and program-specific information required for the purposes of program administration or service delivery.**



This draft instrument reflects feedback received during extensive government-wide engagement exercise that is now concluded. We would like to thank the many contributors for their input.

- 4.1.7.2. Identity assurance, Credential assurance as outlined in the *Standard on Identity and Credential Assurance*.
- 4.1.7.3. Identity registration – association of identity and personal information with a credential issued to an individual.
- 4.1.7.4. Notice and consent – provision of notice or obtaining the individual's consent to lawfully collect, use and disclose identity information and related program-specific information.
- 4.1.8 Consulting the Chief Information Officer for the Government of Canada when establishing federating identity agreements or adopting trust frameworks; and
- 4.1.9 Using mandatory enterprise services for identity management, credential management and cyber authentication.
- 4.2 Heads of Human Resources are responsible for the following:
 - 4.2.1 Assigning each federal public service employee a unique personal record identifier (PRI) for the management of employee-related information and transactions; and
 - 4.2.2 Assigning a different unique identifier to each employee who must be identified to an organization external to the federal public service.

5. Roles of other government organizations

- 5.1 The roles of other government organizations in relation to this directive are described in section 5 of the Policy on Government Security.

6. Application

- 6.1 This directive applies to the organizations described in section 6 of the Policy on Government Security.

7. References

- 7.1 The references indicated in sections 7 and 8 of the Policy on Government Security apply to this directive.

8. Enquiries

- 8.1 Members of the public may contact [Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat Public Enquiries](#) for any questions regarding this directive.

Directive on Identity Management



This draft instrument reflects feedback received during extensive government-wide engagement exercise that is now concluded. We would like to thank the many contributors for their input.

- 8.2 Individuals from departments should contact their departmental security management group for any questions regarding this directive.
- 8.3 Individuals from the departmental security group may contact [Security and Identity Management Division](#) of Treasury Board Secretariat for interpretations.



This draft instrument reflects feedback received during extensive government-wide engagement exercise that is now concluded. We would like to thank the many contributors for their input.

Appendix A: Standard on Identity and Credential Assurance

A.1 Effective date

- A.1.1 This standard takes effect on June 30, 2017. *(Note: date to be set three months after date of Treasury Board approval.)*
- A.1.2 This standard replaces the Standard on Identity and Credential Assurance (February 1, 2013).

A.2 Standards

- A.2.1 This standard provides details on the requirements set out in section 4.1.7 of the Directive on Identity Management.
- A.2.2 Standards are as follows:

Identity assurance levels

- A.2.2.1 Level 4: Very high confidence required that an individual is who they claim to be.
- A.2.2.2 Level 3: High confidence required that an individual is who they claim to be.
- A.2.2.3 Level 2: Some confidence required that an individual is who they claim to be.
- A.2.2.4 Level 1: Little confidence required that an individual is who they claim to be.

Credential assurance levels

- A.2.2.5 Level 4: Very high confidence required that an individual has maintained control over a credential that has been entrusted to them and that the credential has not been compromised.
- A.2.2.6 Level 3: High confidence required that an individual has maintained control over a credential that has been entrusted to them and that the credential has not been compromised.
- A.2.2.7 Level 2: Some confidence required that an individual has maintained control over a credential that has been entrusted to them and that the credential has not been compromised.
- A.2.2.8 Level 1: Little confidence required that an individual has maintained control over a credential that has been entrusted to them and that the credential has not been compromised.

Directive on Identity Management

This draft instrument reflects feedback received during extensive government-wide engagement exercise that is now concluded. We would like to thank the many contributors for their input.

A.2.3 Ensure that the minimum requirements for establishing an identity assurance level are met, as defined in the following table, or appropriately manage the related identity risks:

Requirement	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Uniqueness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Define identity information Define context 			
Evidence of identity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No restriction on what is provided as evidence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One instance of evidence of identity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Two instances of evidence of identity (at least one must be foundational evidence of identity) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Three instances of evidence of identity (at least one must be foundational evidence of identity)
Accuracy of identity information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acceptance of self-assertion of identity information by an individual 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identity information acceptably matches assertion by an individual and evidence of identity; and Confirmation that evidence of identity originates from an appropriate authority. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identity information acceptably matches assertion by an individual and all instances of evidence of identity; and Confirmation of the foundational evidence of identity using an authoritative source; and Confirmation that supporting evidence of identity originates from an appropriate authority, using an authoritative source. <p>Where any of the above cannot be applied:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inspection by trained examiner. 	
Linkage of identity information to individual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No requirement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No requirement 	<p>At least one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Knowledge-based confirmation Biological or behavioural characteristic confirmation Trusted referee confirmation Physical possession confirmation 	<p>At least three of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Knowledge-based confirmation Biological or behavioural characteristic confirmation Trusted referee confirmation Physical possession confirmation



This draft instrument reflects feedback received during extensive government-wide engagement exercise that is now concluded. We would like to thank the many contributors for their input.

A.2.4 Assurance levels for trusted digital identities when participating in an approved trust framework are as follows:

- A.2.4.1 Level 4: Very high confidence in the electronic representation of a person, used exclusively by that same person;
- A.2.4.2 Level 3: High confidence in the electronic representation of a person, used exclusively by that same person;
- A.2.4.3 Level 2: Some confidence in the electronic representation of a person, used exclusively by that same person; and
- A.2.4.4 Level 1: Little confidence in the electronic representation of a person, used exclusively by that same person.



This draft instrument reflects feedback received during extensive government-wide engagement exercise that is now concluded. We would like to thank the many contributors for their input.

Appendix B: Definitions

Definitions to be used in the interpretation of this directive can be found in Appendix B of the Policy on Government Security.