Unit 6: Peer review

(!) This is a preview of the published version of the quiz

Started: Apr 18 at 3:31pm

Quiz Instructions

I will periodically check for new submissions of the essay assignment for this unit.

Once you have submitted your essay, you will receive 2 things from me via email:

- 1. Another student's Essay
- 2. The access code to complete this peer-review assignment

Please read over their essay carefully (at least twice) and then answer these questions. The answers you give will affect the other student's grade on the assignment and will be the main form of feedback they receive. Therefore, it is essential that you be thoughtful, honest, and clear in your feedback.

There is no curve for these assignments. Therefore, there is no incentive to give dishonest scores.

This peer review assignment is worth 3 points:

1 point for completion

Up to 2 points for quality

Feedback is of high quality when it is thoughtful, clear, and (in the essay answers) presented with both honesty and kindness.

The author will rate the quality of your feedback in the metareview assignment. Their rating will affect the grade you receive. I will also be comparing your feedback to my own impression of the author's work when I determine your grade for this peer review

Note: Canvas will automatically give you full credit (3 points) when you submit this. However, since there's only 1 point for completing it, your grade may go down once I have graded it.

Question 1 1 pts

The author clearly explained Floridi's claim that moral agents must be interactive
Strongly agree
O Agree
Disagree
Strongly disagree

Question 2	1 pts
The author clearly explained Floridi's claim that moral agents must be <u>adaptable</u> .	
Strongly agree	
Agree	
Disagree	
Strongly disagree	

Question 3	1 pts
The author clearly explained Floridi's claim that moral agents must be	
independent. (Note: 'independent' is my term; he says 'autonomous').	
Strongly agree	
Agree	

https://canvas.csur	n.edu/courses/77522)/anizzes/18635	1/take?preview-1
iitips.//caiivas.csui	1.cuu/courses////222	L'quizzes/10033	1/take:preview-1

Strongly disagree	
Question 4	1 pt
The author did a good job of arguing that a Floridi's 3 criteria for moral agency.	gatekeeper algorithm could meet
Strongly agree	
Agree	
Disagree	
Strongly disagree	
Question 5	1 pt
The author did a good job of exploring how algorithms (as moral agents) when they ca	. •
algorithms (as moral agents) when they ca Hint: It will probably be easiest to do this b algorithms to how we respond to other kind	use harm. y comparing the moral agent ds of moral agents (e.g., regular
algorithms (as moral agents) when they ca Hint: It will probably be easiest to do this b algorithms to how we respond to other kind	use harm. y comparing the moral agent ds of moral agents (e.g., regular
algorithms (as moral agents) when they ca Hint: It will probably be easiest to do this b algorithms to how we respond to other kind adult humans, smallish children, the legally	use harm. y comparing the moral agent ds of moral agents (e.g., regular
algorithms (as moral agents) when they ca Hint: It will probably be easiest to do this by algorithms to how we respond to other kind adult humans, smallish children, the legally Strongly agree	use harm. y comparing the moral agent ds of moral agents (e.g., regular

Question 6	1 pts
The author carefully explored a problem with Floridi's understanding of agency.	f moral
Strongly agree	
O Agree	
Disagree	
Strongly disagree	

Question 7	1 pts
Overall, the author's answer explained everything in mostly their lit didn't feel like they were just paraphrasing the lectures.	own words.
Strongly agree	
Agree	
Disagree	
Strongly disagree	

Question 8 1 pts

I feel the spelling, grammar, and style of the answer were appropriate to a college essay.

a	TT	_	T	•
Survey:	Unit	6:	Peer	review

Strongly agre	Э		
Agree			
Disagree			
Strongly disag	jree		

Question 9	1 pts
I feel the answer deserves full credit	
Strongly agree	
Agree	
Disagree	
Strongly disagree	

Question 10 1 pts

Identify at least 1 important aspect of the answer where you believe the author could've done a better job and explain how they could have done better.

Notes

Don't just say, "You should've done x better." They might not understand what you mean by x and they'll have no idea how you think it should've been done.
:

Instead, start by paraphrasing or quoting from their answer, e.g., "You said blah blah about x." Then explain what would have been better and why, e.g., "But I feel this would've been better if you had done yeah yeah (s).

That's because yeah yeah yeah(s) is Even the best answer can be improve	
	HTML Editor

Question 11 1 pts


Identify at least 1 aspect of the answer where you believe the author did a good job and explain why.

Notes

If you learned something from their answer, it would be great to include that.

HTML Editor

0 words




Question 12 1 pts

Please give the author some written comments. This can be short, e.g., "great job!", or you can use it to explain why you selected particular answers above, et cetera.

HTML Editor





Not saved Submit Quiz