Unit 8: Peer review

⚠ This is a preview of the published version of the quiz

Started: Nov 18 at 11:16am

Quiz Instructions

I will periodically check for new submissions of the essay assignment for this unit.

Once you have submitted your essay, you will receive 2 things from me via email:

1. Another student's Essay
2. The access code to complete this peer-review assignment

Please read over their essay carefully (at least twice) and then answer these questions. The answers you give will affect the other student's grade on the assignment and will be the main form of feedback they receive. Therefore, it is essential that you be thoughtful, honest, and clear in your feedback.

There is no curve for these assignments. Therefore, there is no incentive to give dishonest scores.

This peer review assignment is worth 3 points:

    1 point for completion

    Up to 2 points for quality

Feedback is of high quality when it is thoughtful, clear, and (in the essay answers) presented with both honesty and kindness.

The author will rate the quality of your feedback in the metareview assignment. Their rating will affect the grade you receive. I will also be comparing your feedback to my own impression of the author's work when I determine your grade for this peer review

Note: Canvas will automatically give you full credit (3 points) when you submit this. However, since there's only 1 point for completing it, your grade may go down once I have graded it.

Question 1	1 pts

The author clearly explained Floridi's claim that moral agents must be <u>interactive</u>

○ Strongly agree

○ Agree

○ Disagree

○ Strongly disagree

Question 2

1 pts

The author clearly explained Floridi's claim that moral agents must be <u>adaptable</u>.

○ Strongly agree

○ Agree

○ Disagree

○ Strongly disagree

Question 3

1 pts

The author clearly explained Floridi's claim that moral agents must be <u>independent.</u> (Note: 'independent' is my term; he says 'autonomous').

○ Strongly agree

○ Agree

○ Disagree

○ Strongly disagree

Question 4

1 pts

The author did a good job of arguing that a gatekeeper algorithm could meet Floridi's 3 criteria for moral agency.

○ Strongly agree

○ Agree

○ Disagree

○ Strongly disagree

Question 5   1 pts

The author did a good job of exploring how we should respond to gatekeeper algorithms (as moral agents) when they cause harm.

Hint: It will probably be easiest to do this by comparing the moral agent algorithms to how we respond to other kinds of moral agents (e.g., regular adult humans, smallish children, the legally insane) when they cause harm.

○ Strongly agree

○ Agree

○ Disagree

○ Strongly disagree

Question 6   1 pts

The author carefully explored a problem with Floridi's understanding of moral agency.

○ Strongly agree

○ Agree

○ Disagree

○ Strongly disagree

Question 7   1 pts

Overall, the author's answer explained everything in mostly their own words. It didn't feel like they were just paraphrasing the lectures.

○ Strongly agree

○ Agree

○ Disagree

○ Strongly disagree

Question 8   1 pts

I feel the spelling, grammar, and style of the answer were appropriate to a college essay.

○ Strongly agree

○ Agree

○ Disagree

○ Strongly disagree

Question 9   1 pts

Identify at least 1 important aspect of the answer where you believe the author could've done a better job and explain how they could have done better.

Notes

Don't just say, "You should've done x better." They might not understand what you mean by x and they'll have no idea how you think it should've been done. ;

Instead, start by paraphrasing or quoting from their answer, e.g., "You said blah blah blah about x." Then explain what would have been better and why, e.g., "But I feel this would've been better if you had done yeah yeah yeah(s). That's because yeah yeah yeah(s) is..."

Even the best answer can be improved. Don't just say "It's all great"

HTML Editor

| B | *I* | U | A ▾ | A ▾ | T͟ₓ | ≡ | ≡ | ≡ | ≡ | ≡ | x² | x₂ | ≔ | ≔ |

⊞ ▾   ▣   🔗   ✂   🖼   √x   ▶ ¶ ¶ 12pt   ▾   Parag

0 words

Question 10   **1 pts**

Identify at least 1 aspect of the answer where you believe the author did a good job and explain why.

Notes

If you learned something from their answer, it would be great to include that.

HTML Editor

B *I* U A ▾ A ▾ T̲ₓ ≡ ≡ ≡ ≡ ≡ x² x₂ ⋮≡ ⅓≡

⊞▾ ▶ 𝒫 ⅗ 🖾 √x ▷ ▸¶ ¶◂ 12pt ▾ Parag

0 words

Question 11   **1 pts**

Please give the author some written comments. This can be short, e.g., "great job!", or you can use it to explain why you selected particular answers above, et cetera.

HTML Editor

B *I* U A ▾ A ▾ T̲ₓ ≡ ≡ ≡ ≡ ≡ x² x₂ ⋮≡ ⅓≡

▦ ▾   ▣▶   𝒫   ⤬   🖼   √×   ▷   ¶⃗   ⃗¶   12pt   ▾   Parag

0 words

Question 12   1 pts

Having read the author's essay carefully, I feel it deserves this many points.

[Remember, the essay grade is: 1 point for completion; 3 from the reviewer (what you're assigning here); and 3 from the instructor]

○ 0

○ 1

○ 2

○ 3

Quiz saved at 11:17am   Submit Quiz